
Subject: MAX function in IDL8.0

Posted by [Anne Martel](#) on Fri, 08 Oct 2010 13:25:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was happy to see that the new version of IDL includes a DIMENSION keyword for the max function but I don't understand why the max_subscript feature returns indices relative to the whole array, rather than the index in the dimension specified. A simple example to illustrate this:

If I create a 2D array q:

```
q=[[1,4,3,2,7,0],[2,4,1,3,4,1]]
```

and run

```
IDL> print,q
```

```
  1  4  3  2  7  0
  2  4  1  3  4  1
```

```
IDL> marr = max(q,ind,dim=2)
```

The returned max array is as expected:

```
IDL> print,marr
```

```
  2  4  3  3  7  1
```

The max_subscripts are

```
IDL> print,ind
```

```
  6  1  2  9  4  11
```

but what i would prefer is the subscripts in the 2nd dimension

```
IDL> print,ind/6
```

```
  1  0  0  1  0  1
```

In this example it is trivial to convert to what I want but if, for example I want to generate a time to peak map from a dynamic sequence of images , or return the most likely class from a stack of probability maps, then I still have to write a procedure to do this.

Can anyone think of some example where the way max_subscript is implemented is superior or should I just campaign for a modification in some future release?

Anne
