
Subject: Re: Spell checking code
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 26 Oct 2010 15:37:02 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paulo Penteado writes:

- > Does anybody know of a good way to spell check IDL source files?
- > Ideally, it would be in an editor that is aware of IDL syntax, so that
- > it does not try to check code, it only looks at the comments (and,
- > possibly, the contents of strings).

My wife is available for hire. She has 12 years of
Catholic School education and is a hell of a
Scrabble player! :-)

Cheers,

David

P.S. Let's just say a larger vocabulary and a penchant
for cheating has made me competitive. :-)

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Spell checking code
Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Tue, 26 Oct 2010 18:56:05 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 10/26/10 9:23 AM, Paulo Penteado wrote:

- >
- > Does anybody know of a good way to spell check IDL source files?
- > Ideally, it would be in an editor that is aware of IDL syntax, so that
- > it does not try to check code, it only looks at the comments (and,
- > possibly, the contents of strings).

That sounds like a good feature request for IDLdoc.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com
Research Mathematician
Tech-X Corporation

Subject: Re: Spell checking code
Posted by [penteado](#) on Tue, 26 Oct 2010 21:27:37 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Oct 26, 4:56 pm, mgalloy <mgal...@gmail.com> wrote:

> On 10/26/10 9:23 AM, Paulo Penteado wrote:

>

>

>

>> Does anybody know of a good way to spell check IDL source files?

>> Ideally, it would be in an editor that is aware of IDL syntax, so that

>> it does not try to check code, it only looks at the comments (and,

>> possibly, the contents of strings).

>

> That sounds like a good feature request for IDLdoc.

That would be excellent to have. IDLdoc has already helped me with spell checking, which I run on the html result. It is awkward to do, but helpful, as most of the text ends up in the IDLdoc comments, and the html does not contain most of the code (it still contains code in the form of examples and keyword / routine names). So I only have to inspect the other comments, in the middle of the code, where errors are much rarer, as they are short, and I read them while writing the programs.
