
Subject: IDL_IDLBridge limited to 4?

Posted by [don.woodraska](#) on Thu, 04 Nov 2010 16:14:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I've been using the IDL_IDLBridge to run tasks in parallel in the background. However, when I increase the number of IDL_IDLBridge objects beyond 4, they don't seem to do anything. Although they are given tasks, the linux top command doesn't show any increase in CPU usage. I've cranked it up from 4 to as many as 14 IDL_IDLBridge objects, but the CPU usage doesn't go beyond 400%.

My box has dual quad cores with hyperthreading, so it looks like 16 CPU cores are available. IDL recognizes all 16 in !CPU, but I can't seem to use them.

IDL> help,!cpu,/str

** Structure !CPU, 6 tags, length=32, data length=32:

HW_VECTOR	LONG	0
VECTOR_ENABLE	LONG	0
HW_NCPU	LONG	16
TPOOL_NTHREADS	LONG	16
TPOOL_MIN_ELTS	LONG64	100000
TPOOL_MAX_ELTS	LONG64	0

I've split my job into 4 pieces and run 4 separate IDL instances with 4 bridge objects each on 4 separate terminals. There has to be a better way.

Is there some kind of an internal limit in IDL preventing me from using more than 4 bridge objects at the same time? Is there a startup parameter I need to change? I'm running version 7.0.8 (no DE) on Redhat 5.

Thanks!

Subject: Re: IDL_IDLBridge limited to 4?

Posted by d.rowenhorst@gmail.co on Wed, 10 Nov 2010 19:13:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Nov 9, 1:26 pm, Donald Woodraska <don.woodra...@gmail.com> wrote:

>> Are you using the NOWAIT keyword for asynchronous operation?

>

> Yes. I first create an array of objects using a call to

> OBJ_NEW('IDL_IDLBridge') for each index in the array.

>

> I create an integer variable called threadcnt (unimaginative) to cycle

> through all of the bridge objects to check if their status() is not

> busy
> if oBridgeArr[threadcnt]->Status() eq 0 then begin
> ...
> oBridgeArr[threadcnt]->execute, cmdlist[i], /NOWAIT
>
> If the /NOWAIT keyword was not set then I couldn't run more than 1 at
> a time. By limiting the number of objects in the array I can see that
> for one object I get 100% CPU usage, for 2 I get 200%, for 3 I get
> 300%, and for 4 I get 400%. For anything more than 4 I always get
> 400%. There is no difference from 4 all the way up to 14.
>
> However, by running 4 separate IDL command-line sessions, I can use
> all 1600% available (nearly).
>
> I saw another post where someone tried using one IDL_IDLBridge object
> to create a bunch of other IDL_IDLBridge objects. I haven't tried
> that, but even if that works, it's just a workaround.
>
> Has anyone else tried to use more than 4 IDL_IDLBridges simultaneously?

When using more than 4 bridges, I have the problem where I can not destroy/cleanup the bridges, and the program gets stuck waiting for the bridge to die.

Subject: Re: IDL_IDLBridge limited to 4?
Posted by [Haje Korth](#) on Fri, 12 Nov 2010 13:34:13 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
> When using more than 4 bridges, I have the problem where I can not
> destroy/cleanup the bridges, and the program gets stuck waiting for
> the bridge to die.

Same problem here. Have an objarr with 12 idl_bridges doing batch processing. Initially I tried to destroy the objects after each execution only to find that some of the objects cannot be destroyed. I changed the code to kill the entire objarr at the very end of processing. This seems to work from the command line, but not from the Workbench, which still hangs. I reported the problem to ITTVIS but they cannot reproduce it. Bummer....

Haje
