Subject: Re: !null values in arrays

Posted by David Fanning on Wed, 15 Dec 2010 18:20:13 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Gray writes:

- > However, writing this post is worth it just to include that
- > tautological error message.

Now I have to go looking for my glasses *and* my dictionary. :-(

Cheers.

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: !null values in arrays

Posted by on Thu, 16 Dec 2010 11:30:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Gray,

I see your point and agree to some extent. But I would also see the opposite arguments: what should then be the result of 3 * !NULL or 3 / !NULL ? Do you propose that !NULL is handled as a 0? This might often lead to more confusion, and for indexing purposes it is definitely not a 0.

The eventual advantage is probably not worth it...

Subject: Re: !null values in arrays
Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Thu, 16 Dec 2010 15:14:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

Axel M wrote:

> Hi Gray,

>

- > I see your point and agree to some extent. But I would also see the
- > opposite arguments: what should then be the result of 3 * !NULL or
- > 3 / !NULL ? Do you propose that !NULL is handled as a 0? This might
- > often lead to more confusion, and for indexing purposes it is
- > definitely not a 0.

In IDL, storing a null in an otherwise homogeneous array makes said array a list. Why not use a list? The OP could still call it an array.... :o)

Purely for perspective into the issue, ruby handles operations with null values thusly:

Subject: Re: !null values in arrays
Posted by penteado on Thu, 16 Dec 2010 15:39:54 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Dec 16, 1:14 pm, Paul van Delst <paul.vande...@noaa.gov> wrote:

> Purely for perspective into the issue, ruby handles operations with null values thusly:

> irb(main):001:0> 3 + nil

> TypeError: nil can't be coerced into Fixnum

> from (irb):1:in `+'

> from (irb):1

> and python similarly:

> >>> 3 + None

> Traceback (most recent call last):
```

- > File "<stdin>", line 1, in?
- > TypeError: unsupported operand type(s) for +: 'int' and 'NoneType'

Both appropriate. !null and NaN are not the same, and are not the same as 0. In fact, their lack in more primitive languages is an important hassle.

0 is not, intrinsically, the same as missing data. In particular, most mathematical operations on NaNs (say, adding a value to a NaN) should always return NaN to make sense. If an array has missing data, data cannot be magically created on the missing elements because, say, a scalar was added to the array.

NaN is special in the sense that it is a floating point value, part of the IEEE 754 standard, and properly recognized by hardware and other software. !null is a language construct, which necessarily varies among languages, as they vary in what constitutes a variable. It is an undefined variable, so one can assign to it, but not operate on it, the same way that one cannot operate on an undefined variable, but can create it by assignment.