
Subject: Re: Passing more than an initial guess to a function used by NEWTON
Posted by [penteado](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2011 20:32:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Feb 24, 5:13 pm, fgg <fabioquimaraesgoncal...@gmail.com> wrote:

```
> I'd like to solve an equation from within a procedure using Newton's method. e.g.  
>  
> pro my_procedure  
> ...  
> c1 = 3  
> x_guess = 2  
> result = newton(x_guess, 'my_function', itmax=100)  
> print, result  
> ...  
> end  
>  
> ... but I'd like to pass to my_function (i.e. the equation to be solved by NEWTON) not only the  
initial guess, x_guess, but also a few constants that are being defined within my_procedure (e.g.,  
c1). Is that possible? Here's an example of my_function:  
>  
> function my_function, x  
>     return, x^2 + 5*x - c1  
> end
```

I encountered this issue in the past, and would be interested in a way better than system or global variables. It would be so easy if newton() had something like the uvalues used by widgets, or it called a method on an object.

Subject: Re: Passing more than an initial guess to a function used by NEWTON
Posted by [fgg](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2011 20:42:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Btw, the solution I came up with was to write an external "my_function.pro" file from within "my_procedure", before calling NEWTON. Something like this:

```
openw, outunit, '/../my_function.pro', /get_lun  
printf, outunit, 'function my_function, x'  
printf, outunit, 'c1 = ', c1  
printf, outunit, 'return, x^2 + 5*x - c1'  
printf, outunit, 'end  
close, outunit & free_lun, outunit'
```

But this just doesn't feel right... and I run into another problem, as you can see from my previous post:

https://groups.google.com/forum/?fromgroups#!topic/comp.lang.idl-pvwave/iuPqWCO_usl

Thanks again,
fgg

Subject: Re: Passing more than an initial guess to a function used by NEWTON
Posted by [wlandsman](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2011 20:47:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

>
> I encountered this issue in the past, and would be interested in a way
> better than system or global variables. It would be so easy if
> newton() had something like the uvalues used by widgets, or it called
> a method on an object.

Or if one could use the _EXTRA facility to pass parameters to the function..

The problem is that these Numerical Recipes routines are written with great fidelity to the original 1992 C code, and so they don't take advantage of IDL capabilities that would make them easier to use.

Meanwhile, one must live with common blocks. --Wayne

Subject: Re: Passing more than an initial guess to a function used by NEWTON
Posted by [penteado](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2011 21:10:33 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Feb 24, 5:47 pm, wlandsman <wlands...@gmail.com> wrote:

> Or if one could use the _EXTRA facility to pass parameters to the function..

Yes, that would probably be the most elegant simple way to pass the arguments.

Subject: Re: Passing more than an initial guess to a function used by NEWTON
Posted by [fgg](#) on Thu, 24 Feb 2011 21:29:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Common blocks! Thanks for introducing me to it, Wayne. That will do it for now:

```
pro my_procedure
common share, c1
...
c1 = 3
x_guess = 2
result = newton(x_guess, 'my_function', itmax=100)
```

```
print, result
```

```
...
```

```
end
```

```
-----
```

```
function my_function, x  
  common share  
  return, x^2 + 5*x - c1  
end
```

Subject: Re: Passing more than an initial guess to a function used by NEWTON

Posted by [Jeremy Bailin](#) on Fri, 25 Feb 2011 15:49:12 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, I always use common blocks in those situations.
