Subject: Re: Extract Array positions for a set of Values Posted by wlandsman on Wed, 09 Mar 2011 11:08:05 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

You might try match2.pro from http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftp/pro/misc/match2.pro though it is a bit of overkill.

(You first have to REFORM to a 1-d array)

IDL> match2,reform(a,21),b,suba,subb

IDL> print,reform(suba,7,3)

The output is set to -1 where there is no match in the vector B.

IDL> a[where(suba ge 0)] = 99

IDL> print,a

99	99	99	99	0	0	0
99	99	99	99	0	0	99
0	0	0	0	99	0	99

Subject: Re: Extract Array positions for a set of Values Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Wed, 09 Mar 2011 14:37:33 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wednesday, March 9, 2011 5:48:42 AM UTC-5, Paul Magdon wrote:

- > Hi,
- > have a quite simple problem for which I can find a fas solution:

1.) I have an IntArray A (e.g a result from LABEL REGION)

- >
- > 1111000
- > 1111002
- > 0000902
- > 000090
- > 2.) I have a vector B with Integers (e.g. 1,2,9)
- > Now I want to extract the positions of B in A and set all values in A which are included in B to let's say 99. How can I do this without a loop?
- > I tested HISTOGRAM(,REVERSE_INDICES) but as B is not consecutive (e.g 1,2,3,4) I can't find a solution.
- > Cheers Paul

I'd try remapping the values so that B is consecutive, and then use value_locate (come on, you *knew* it was coming...) to figure out if it's in B or not.

```
b_sorted = b[SORT(b)]
locations = WHERE(b_sorted[VALUE_LOCATE(b_sorted, a)] EQ a, nlocations)
IF nlocations GT 0 THEN a[locations]=99
```

Subject: Re: Extract Array positions for a set of Values Posted by Gray on Wed, 09 Mar 2011 21:29:50 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mar 9, 5:48 am, Paul Magdon <paulmag...@yahoo.de> wrote:

> Hi,

-Jeremy.

> have a quite simple problem for which I can find a fas solution:

> 1.) I have an IntArray A (e.g a result from LABEL_REGION)

- > > 1111000
- > 1111002
- > 0000902

>

>

- > 2.) I have a vector B with Integers (e.g. 1,2,9)
- > Now I want to extract the positions of B in A and set all values in A which are included in B to let's say 99. How can I do this without a loop?
- > I tested HISTOGRAM(,REVERSE_INDICES) but as B is not consecutive (e.g 1,2,3,4) I can't find a solution.
- > Cheers Paul

Here's a solution that uses a FOR-loop and histogram:

```
H = histogram(A,min=0,max=max(B),reverse_indices=ri) for i=0,n_elements(B)-1 do begin if H[B[i]] eq 0 then continue A[ri[ri[B[i]]:ri[B[i]+1]-1]] = 99 endfor
```

Who cares if B isn't consecutive? Just use it to index the histogram (and the reverse_indices array), so you only have to loop over B. I would remove duplicate values, if any, from B beforehand to save redundant iterations.

Subject: Re: Extract Array positions for a set of Values Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Thu, 10 Mar 2011 13:49:41 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

So I tried a little time test of these 3 solutions. Here are the results for one particular set - they vary slightly depending on how big A and B are relative to each other, but the general pattern holds. Code follows at the end:

IDL> .run tester
% Compiled module: \$MAIN\$.
VALUE_LOCATE: 0.43740702
VALUE_LOCATE pre-sorted: 0.43772793
HISTOGRAM: 0.10194707
MATCH2: 2.5133259
(I pre-compiled match2 before the test)

So the conclusion, not surprisingly, is that histogram kicks ass. ;-) Note also that the time in the value_locate solution is essentially all in the value_locate part, not in the sorting step.

-Jeremy.

```
stride=5
blenath = 1000
adimen = [2000, 2000]
seed=1L
b = stride*sort(randomu(seed,blength))
a = floor(stride*blength*randomu(seed, adimen))
; case 1: VALUE LOCATE
t1=systime(/sec)
b sorted = b[sort(b)]
locations = where(b_sorted[value_locate(b_sorted, a)] eq a, nlocations)
if nlocations gt 0 then a[locations]=99
t2=systime(/sec)
b = stride*sort(randomu(seed,blength))
a = floor(stride*blength*randomu(seed, adimen))
; case 2: HISTOGRAM
t3=systime(/sec)
H = histogram(A,min=0,max=max(B),reverse indices=ri)
for i=0,n elements(B)-1 do begin
 if H[B[i]] eq 0 then continue
 A[ri[ri[B[i]]:ri[B[i]+1]-1]] = 99
endfor
```

```
t4=systime(/sec)
b = stride*sort(randomu(seed,blength))
a = floor(stride*blength*randomu(seed, adimen))
; case 3: MATCH2
t5=systime(/sec)
match2, reform(a, n_elements(a)), b, suba, subb
locations = where(suba ge 0, nlocations)
if nlocations gt 0 then a[locations]=99
t6=systime(/sec)
b = stride*lindgen(blength)
a = floor(stride*blength*randomu(seed, adimen))
; case 4: VALUE_LOCATE pre-sorted
t7=systime(/sec)
locations = where(b[value_locate(b, a)] eq a, nlocations)
if nlocations gt 0 then a[locations]=99
t8=systime(/sec)
print, 'VALUE_LOCATE: ',t2-t1
print, 'VALUE_LOCATE pre-sorted: ',t8-t7
print, 'HISTOGRAM: ',t4-t3
print, 'MATCH2: ',t6-t5
end
```

Subject: Re: Extract Array positions for a set of Values Posted by wlandsman on Thu, 10 Mar 2011 14:54:57 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thursday, March 10, 2011 8:49:41 AM UTC-5, Jeremy Bailin wrote:

> So the conclusion, not surprisingly, is that histogram kicks ass. ;-) Note also that the time in the value_locate solution is essentially all in the value_locate part, not in the sorting step.

Yes, histogram is will suited for this problem. HISTOGRAM is not so good when the data values cover a large range say 0 - 1000000.

MATCH2 is overkill because it doesn't assume the values are integers, doesn't assume the values in the B vector are unique, and supplies matching indices for all elements in both A and B.

--Wayne