
Subject: Re: More Map Projection Madness

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2011 16:35:36 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning writes:

> So, bottom line. Don't use the UTM projection with
> the WGS84 datum, don't use map projection names at
> all, and get VERY familiar with proj4 so you can
> check to see if anything at all that comes out of
> an IDL map projection is accurate. :-(

OK, the situation is more complicated than this.

Apparently, I chose a perverse example. Although,
I have to admit, over the past couple of months
I have the distinct feeling that examples are
choosing me, rather than visa versa. :-(

It seems the name "Albers Equal Area" is ambiguous.
In fact, it seems to choose the old MAP_SET routine
"Albers Equal Area Conic" as the map projection.
To make it choose the same projection as projection
103, I have to set the CGTP keyword.

```
alberMap = MAP_PROJ_INIT('albers equal area', /GCTP, $  
    DATUM='WGS 84', $  
    CENTER_LATITUDE=geotag.PROJNATORIGINLATGEOKEY, $  
    CENTER_LONGITUDE=geotag.PROJNATORIGINLONGGEOKEY, $  
    STANDARD_PAR1=geotag.PROJSTDPARALLEL1GEOKEY, $  
    STANDARD_PAR2=geotag.PROJSTDPARALLEL2GEOKEY)
```

Then, this behaves identically to the the projection when
I use the projection index 103.

But, this contradicts what I learned this morning
about the WGS84 datum, because here is behaves
perfectly.

I appreciate Chris's attempt to shed light on this subject.
It is greatly appreciated. But, I am still very confused.
I understand this may not be completely ITTVIS's fault. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: More Map Projection Madness
Posted by [chris_torrence@NOSPAM](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2011 17:30:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi David,

That WGS84 ellipsoid bug was only a problem for the UTM projection. All other projections that accept ellipsoids should be fine. In the MAP_PROJ_INIT documentation, in the table of GCTP projections, under the "Notes" column, it should state which ellipsoids are accepted by each projection.

Please let me know if you see any other issues with the GCTP map projections.

Cheers,
Chris
Exelis VIS

Subject: Re: More Map Projection Madness
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2011 17:32:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning writes:

> But, this contradicts what I learned this morning
> about the WGS84 datum, because here it behaves
> perfectly.

OK. To clarify my clarification. (I guess I'm not the only person confused by map projections this morning.)

First, apparently, the UTM projection is the **only** projection affected by the WGS84 bug, contrary to what I heard this morning.

Second, there is ambiguity when you set the map projection to the name "Albers Equal Area". In fact, Map_Proj_Init will silently select the "Albers Equal Area Conic" map projection for

you and ignore the value selected with the DATUM keyword (more below). You get around this by setting the GCTP keyword to Map_Proj_Init.

The "Albers Equal Area Conic" projection is the "same as" the "Albers Equal Area" projection, as far as I can tell from several sources this morning, but I do note that the old Map_Set "Albers Equal Area Conic" projection can only use a spherical datum. Presumably that is the reason for the different output when these two different values are used to select the map projection.

I also note that there would be less confusion if this selection and the ignoring of the DATUM keyword were flagged for the user. You don't typically see the "Albers Equal Area Conic" selection if you are using on-line help to look for projections to use with Map_Proj_Init. And you certainly wouldn't be aware that they produce different results. In fact, you probably will only learn this when your paper with the incorrect results has gone to print. :-(

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: More Map Projection Madness
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2011 18:02:18 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chris Torrence writes:

> Please let me know if you see any other issues with the GCTP map projections.

I've been frightened off of map projections for awhile.
Maybe I'll go investigate surface plots. Who knows what problems lurk there. ;-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: More Map Projection Madness

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 01 Nov 2011 20:20:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning writes:

```
> It seems the name "Albers Equal Area" is ambiguous.
> In fact, it seems to choose the old MAP_SET routine
> "Albers Equal Area Conic" as the map projection.
> To make it choose the same projection as projection
> 103, I have to set the CGTP keyword.
>
> alberMap = MAP_PROJ_INIT('albers equal area', /GCTP, $
>   DATUM='WGS 84', $
>   CENTER_LATITUDE=geotag.PROJNATORIGINLATGEOKEY, $
>   CENTER_LONGITUDE=geotag.PROJNATORIGINLONGGEOKEY, $
>   STANDARD_PAR1=geotag.PROJSTDPARALLEL1GEOKEY, $
>   STANDARD_PAR2=geotag.PROJSTDPARALLEL2GEOKEY)
>
> Then, this behaves identically to the the projection when
> I use the projection index 103.
```

This silent substitution of command thing is more pernicious than I first expected. Not only is the "albers equal area conic" map projection substituted for the "albers equal area" projection, but the sphere radius that is used for the conic map projection is set to the length of the semi-major axis of the WGS84 ellipse!

In other words, this command:

```
mapStruct = Map_Proj_Init('Albers Equal Area', $
  ELLIPSOID='WGS 84', $
  STANDARD_PAR1=21.0, STANDARD_PAR2=-19.0)
```

is silently substituted with this command:

```
mapStruct = Map_Proj_Init('Albers Equal Area Conic', $  
    SPHERE_RADIUS=6378137.0, $  
    STANDARD_PAR1=21.0, STANDARD_PAR2=-19.0)
```

Naturally, you get the wrong results if you don't realize this. You can find out more about this in this article:

http://www.idlcoyote.com/map_tips/choosemap.php

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: More Map Projection Madness

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 03 Nov 2011 18:43:18 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Chris Torrence writes:

> Please let me know if you see any other issues with the GCTP map projections.

What is wrong with the right side of this Equirectangular map projection?

```
IDL> m = map_proj_init(117, datum=19, /gctp)  
IDL> map_grid, map_structure=m, linestyle=0  
IDL> map_continents, map_structure=m
```

There seem to be extra lines at odd angles at the top and bottom of the map on the right-hand side.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Subject: Re: More Map Projection Madness
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 04 Nov 2011 17:34:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning writes:

```
> What is wrong with the right side of this Equirectangular
> map projection?
>
> IDL> m = map_proj_init(117, datum=19, /gctp)
> IDL> map_grid, map_structure=m, linestyle=0
> IDL> map_continents, map_structure=m
>
> There seem to be extra lines at odd angles at
> the top and bottom of the map on the right-hand
> side.
```

As I have received no answer, I thought perhaps my example wasn't working. Here is code that reliable shows the problem I am having with map projections. In particular, when I set up the projection with Map_Proj_Init and try to draw grid lines, I am getting extraneous and ill-positioned lines showing up on the plot. If you look at the right-hand side of the third window, you can see an example of what I mean.

```
*****
,
lons = -180 > Indgen(11)*36-180 < 180
lats = -90 > Indgen(11)*18-90 < 90
window, 0, Title='Normal Map Grid'
map_set, /Cylindrical, Position=[0.1, 0.1, 0.9, 0.9]
map_grid, lats=lats, lons=lons

window, 1, Title='OK GCTP Map Grid'
m = Map_Proj_Init('Miller Cylindrical', Datum='Sphere', /GCTP)
plot, [0,1], xrange=m.uv_box[[0,2]], yrange=m.uv_box[[1,3]], $
  xstyle=5, ystyle=5, /noerase, /nodata, $
  Position=[0.1, 0.1, 0.9, 0.9]
map_grid, lats=lats, lons=lons, map_structure=m

window, 2, Title='Screwed Up GCTP Map Grid'
m = Map_Proj_Init('Cylindrical')
plot, [0,1], xrange=m.uv_box[[0,2]], yrange=m.uv_box[[1,3]], $
```

```
xstyle=5, ystyle=5, /noerase, /nodata, $
Position=[0.1, 0.1, 0.9, 0.9]
map_grid, lats=lats, lons=lons, map_structure=m
END
.*****
,
```

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: More Map Projection Madness

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Mon, 07 Nov 2011 16:19:08 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning wrote:

> Chris Torrence writes:

>

>> Please let me know if you see any other issues with the GCTP map projections.

>

> What is wrong with the right side of this Equirectangular

> map projection?

>

> IDL> m = map_proj_init(117, datum=19, /gctp)

> IDL> map_grid, map_structure=m, linestyle=0

> IDL> map_continents, map_structure=m

>

> There seem to be extra lines at odd angles at

> the top and bottom of the map on the right-hand

> side.

Huh. Apart from an unlabeled X-Y axis from map_grid, I don't get ANY output from the above.

Weird.

cheers,

paulv
