
Subject: Re: GRIB data question
Posted by [Fabzou](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 16:54:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 11/10/2011 05:41 PM, David Fanning wrote:
> Normally, if you work with map projection software at all,
> you think of the first row and first column as being
> located in the upper-left corner of the image data.
> This is how ENVI, and GeoTiff files, and any netCDF
> file I have ever worked with think.

Some ncdf files are not like this, e.g. TRMM files, or output files from the community model WRF (which uses meteorological data in GRIB format as input).

I don't know about GRIB files in general, though.

Fab

Subject: Re: GRIB data question
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 17:22:31 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Fabzou writes:

>
> On 11/10/2011 05:41 PM, David Fanning wrote:
>> Normally, if you work with map projection software at all,
>> you think of the first row and first column as being
>> located in the upper-left corner of the image data.
>> This is how ENVI, and GeoTiff files, and any netCDF
>> file I have ever worked with think.
>
> Some ncdf files are not like this, e.g. TRMM files, or output files from
> the community model WRF (which uses meteorological data in GRIB format
> as input).
>
> I don't know about GRIB files in general, though.

I found this piece of documentation. If you read the section entitled The Solution - Part 1, toward the end of the section, you find that the GRIB data has a grid that goes from 90 to -90 in lat and 0 to 360 in lon, and he indicates the (1,1) point is at 90 deg N and 0 deg E. This indicates to me that the convention is the upper-left convention, which is (honestly) what I would expect.

<http://www.iges.org/grads/gadoc/grib2.html>

I see in the GRIB documentation itself that you are encouraged to write the latitude and longitude of not just the first grid cell, but the last grid cell, too, which might remove this ambiguity. But, the data that Mark provided (which he got from a NOAA source) doesn't seem to follow this sensible convention, either. :-(

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: GRIB data question

Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 18:56:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <MPG.2925b646c20b58a9898db@news.giganews.com>, David Fanning <news@dfanning.com> wrote:

> I found this piece of documentation. If you read the
> section entitled The Solution - Part 1, toward the
> end of the section, you find that the GRIB data has
> a grid that goes from 90 to -90 in lat and 0 to 360
> in lon, and he indicates the (1,1) point is at 90
> deg N and 0 deg E. This indicates to me that the
> convention is the upper-left convention, which is
> (honestly) what I would expect.
>
> <http://www.iges.org/grads/gadoc/grib2.html>

This doesn't really have anything to do with image data. When global atmospheric models (particularly spectral transform codes) were first being developed in the 60's and 70's, the designers had to make choices about storage conventions. Some of the original models developed at the time used the convention of ordering data from the north pole to the south pole. This may be in part because some models had both one- and two-hemisphere versions.

Starting at the north pole makes indices consistent in the northern hemisphere (where most models were developed).

Models generally evolve from earlier codes, and changing conventions in the code is likely to be painful and introduce difficulty to track bugs, so it is not surprising that spectral transform models continue to this day to start the latitude indexing at the north pole.

Grid point models are often different.

Similarly, some models (and some data sets) go from (-180, 180) in longitude, while others go from (0, 360). Different developers made, and continue to make, different choices.

I think the choice was unfortunate, because I prefer to have everything in a right-handed coordinate system where a variable $f(x, y, z)$ is stored as $f[i,j,k]$, with i increasing in longitude (0 to 360), j increasing in latitude (-90, 90), and k increasing in altitude (0, top) or decreasing in pressure (1000, 0).

So when I get GRIB files, the first thing I do is put the data into right-handed coordinate systems in netCDF files. This requires some work, but then everything is consistent, making life vastly easier for me and those I work with.

Ken

Subject: Re: GRIB data question

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 19:16:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kenneth P. Bowman writes:

> So when I get GRIB files, the first thing I do is put the
> data into right-handed coordinate systems in netCDF files.
> This requires some work, but then everything is consistent,
> making life vastly easier for me and those I work with.

Yeah, OK, I agree with this. But, how do you do it? How do you know what coordinate system the GRIB file creator had in mind when he or she created the file? How do you know what variables you have to change to get them into your right-handed coordinate system?

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: GRIB data question

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 19:25:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Fanning writes:

> Yeah, OK, I agree with this. But, how do you do it? How
> do you know what coordinate system the GRIB file creator
> had in mind when he or she created the file? How do you
> know what variables you have to change to get them into
> your right-handed coordinate system?

Incidentally, I think everyone agrees on a right-hand coordinate system (maybe some of the planetary guys do it differently on Mars or something). That's really not the issue.

The issue is how do you start counting columns and rows. The map projection people use a right-handed coordinate system. It's just that they start counting from the upper-left corner. Positive columns go to the right, and positive rows go down (toward the origin of their right-handed coordinate system, if you like).

My question is this: Is there a convention for how and where one starts to count columns and rows for a GRIB 2D array?

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Subject: Re: GRIB data question
Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 22:40:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <MPG.2925d11397c59c409898dc@news.giganews.com>,
David Fanning <news@dfanning.com> wrote:

> Kenneth P. Bowman writes:
>
>> So when I get GRIB files, the first thing I do is put the
>> data into right-handed coordinate systems in netCDF files.
>> This requires some work, but then everything is consistent,
>> making life vastly easier for me and those I work with.
>
> Yeah, OK, I agree with this. But, how do you do it? How
> do you know what coordinate system the GRIB file creator
> had in mind when he or she created the file? How do you
> know what variables you have to change to get them into
> your right-handed coordinate system?
>
> Cheers,
>
> David

A quick and simple sanity check is to plot a global map of
surface temperature or air temperature at the lowest level
(typically 1000 hPa). The continents stand out quite clearly.

You can also compare something like 500 hPa geopotential height
with a trusted source, like maps on the weather service web site.

Ken

Subject: Re: GRIB data question
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 10 Nov 2011 23:08:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kenneth P. Bowman writes:

> A quick and simple sanity check is to plot a global map of
> surface temperature or air temperature at the lowest level
> (typically 1000 hPa). The continents stand out quite clearly.

Sigh...

OK, I'm an old man (well, a hell of a lot older than I was when I started worrying about map projections about three weeks ago for a new book I am writing!), and I've been around the block a couple of times, so problems with data don't particularly surprise me. But, in the example Mark presents, the only "clue" to how to apply the map projection information in the file to the extremely limited-spatial-extent data that is in the file (nothing like something where continents are going to stand out!) is the word "Alaska" in his description of the data set. One would presume that you might find an outline of Alaska somewhere near the image data!

I have every confidence that Mark has displayed the data correctly. My only concern is how he knew to display it in that particular way. Relying on the word "Alaska" seems particularly fraught with unpleasant possibilities. :-(

This is an issue that I would like to address in the book, because I used to run into it over and over again when I was working at NSIDC. How do you know whether or not your data is upside down!? It seems a simple thing, but it really is not. And it is MOST embarrassing to have this pointed out to you in the middle of an important presentation reporting your results!

The problem, as you suggest, is easier to manage with global data sets. It is a LOT harder when the spatial extent of the data is limited. When you are in the middle of the ocean, as we appear to be with this data set, the bets as to which way is up get iffy, at best.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: GRIB data question
Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Fri, 11 Nov 2011 13:55:20 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <MPG.292607687621692a9898de@news.giganews.com>,
David Fanning <news@dfanning.com> wrote:

> The problem, as you suggest, is easier to manage with global
> data sets. It is a LOT harder when the spatial extent of the
> data is limited. When you are in the middle of the ocean, as
> we appear to be with this data set, the bets as to which way
> is up get iffy, at best.

You don't want to get me started on the inadequacy of metadata
in publicly available data sets.

I would, someday, like to see a data set where the metadata
actually provides all of the necessary information about
a dataset.

Ken

Subject: Re: GRIB data question
Posted by [Kenneth P. Bowman](#) on Fri, 11 Nov 2011 14:04:03 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <MPG.292607687621692a9898de@news.giganews.com>,
David Fanning <news@dfanning.com> wrote:

> The problem, as you suggest, is easier to manage with global
> data sets. It is a LOT harder when the spatial extent of the
> data is limited. When you are in the middle of the ocean, as
> we appear to be with this data set, the bets as to which way
> is up get iffy, at best.

Here is a case study in the joy of GRIB ...

http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/blogs/developer/entry/grib_table_case_studies

Ken

Subject: Re: GRIB data question
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 11 Nov 2011 14:45:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Kenneth P. Bowman writes:

> Here is a case study in the joy of GRIB ...

>

> http://www.unidata.ucar.edu/blogs/developer/entry/grib_table_case_studies

My God, I had *no* idea Coyote was moonlighting on me!

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
