
Subject: Re: Coyote Graphics Updates
Posted by [Fabzou](#) on Thu, 08 Dec 2011 16:34:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks David!

Is cgDCBar now obsolete thanks to the /discrete keyword?

On 12/08/2011 04:16 PM, David Fanning wrote:

> Folks,
>
> I'm not sure what accounts for the burst in activity
> in the past week (fears of having to get a real job
> again, probably!), but I have changed 28 of the 41
> Coyote Graphics routines in the past week!
>
> Most of the changes have been documentation changes,
> as I am switching to the IDLDOC rst method for all
> new programs, and I wanted to retrofit all of my
> Coyote Graphics programs so I could have a good
> set of on-line documentation. There are still
> niggling typos, etc., but you can find the on-line
> documentation here:
>
> <http://www.idlcoyote.com/idldoc/cg/index.html>
>
> There have also been changes to some well-used programs.
>
> cgColor -- I have modified this grandfather of all
> Coyote Graphics programs to be a bit more useful.
> It will now accept a three-element array (a color
> triple) as input, so you can specify *exactly* what
> color you want in a device and color model independent
> way. It will also accept byte and integer values, and it
> will treat such values as indices into the current
> color table. Previously, you had to make a string of
> these values first (i.e., '215', rather than just 215).
> It will also complain vociferously now if you pass
> it the ambiguous long integer.
>
> Eventually, this will make specifying colors for all
> Coyote Graphics routines more robust, but we see the
> effects immediately in changes to cgColorbar.
>
> cgColorBar -- The biggest change to cgColorBar is
> the ability now to include "out-of-bounds" colors
> as triangles on either end of the color bar. The

> keyword OOB_Low and OOB_High allow you to specify the
> colors you want to use and take advantage of cgColor's
> new functionality so there are LOTS of options on
> how you specify the colors you want to use. The shape
> of the triangle can be adjusted with the OOB_Factor
> keyword, for those of you who are anal about such
> things.

>

> I find I use cgColorBar very often with a handful
> of colors, and I would like the colors to look like
> distinct rectangles, in the manner of cgDCBar. This
> involves some manipulation of keywords, that I think
> a lot of people don't know how to use. So, I have added
> a Discrete keyword, that just sets this up for me.

>

```
> cgLoadCT, 33, NColors=10
> cgColorbar, NColors=10, /Discrete
```

>

> To add out-of-bounds colors, you do this. Note
> that the OOB colors are independent of each
> other. You can use one without the other.

>

```
> cgErase
> cgColorbar, NColors=10, /Discrete, $
>   OOB_Low='white', OOB_High='Black'
```

>

> One motivation for the color bar changes was a desire
> to make a color bar that more accurately reflects the
> reality of a filled contour plot.

>

> Often, we create a filled contour plot with a color bar
> like this:

>

```
> data = cgDemoData(2)
> cgLoadCT, 33, NColors=10, Bottom=1
> step = (Max(data) - Min(data)) / 10
> levels = Indgen(10)*step + Min(data)
> cgContour, data, Levels=levels, /Fill, $
>   Position=[0.1, 0.1, 0.9, 0.825], C_Colors=Indgen(10)+1
> cgContour, data, Levels=levels, /Overplot
> cgColorbar, NColors=10, Bottom=1, $
>   Range=[Min(data), Max(data)], Discrete
```

>

> But, this gives us fairly arbitrary contour levels. We often
> want the levels of our choosing, but when we do so, the last
> level usually means something like "this color represents all
> values above this level". In other words, we want something
> that looks like this:

```

>
> data = cgDemoData(2)
> cgLoadCT, 33, NColors=10, Bottom=1
> levels = Indgen(10)*150
> cgContour, data, Levels=levels, /Fill, $
>   Position=[0.1, 0.1, 0.9, 0.825], C_Colors=Indgen(10)+1
> cgContour, data, Levels=levels, /Overplot
> cgColorbar, NColors=9, Bottom=1, OOB_High=10, $
>   Range=[Min(levels), Max(levels)], /Discrete
>
> To make this even easier to do, I have added a new OLEVELS
> keyword to cgContour, so that you can, if you need to, fetch
> the contour levels that the program actually uses. So now,
> you can do the same thing, but using NLevels in the
> cgContour call in the usual way:
>
> data = cgDemoData(2)
> cgLoadCT, 33, NColors=10, Bottom=1
> cgContour, data, NLevels=10, /Fill, OLevels=levels, $
>   Position=[0.1, 0.1, 0.9, 0.825], C_Colors=Indgen(10)+1
> cgContour, data, Levels=levels, /Overplot
> cgColorbar, NColors=9, Bottom=1, OOB_High=10, $
>   Range=[Min(levels), Max(levels)], /Discrete
>
> cgPS2PDF -- The functionality that caused the biggest change
> to Coyote Graphics programs was the addition of the
> cgPS2PDF program that allows me to create PDF files
> in a machine-independent way from PostScript intermediary
> files.
>
> I know people use a lot of different routines to do this,
> and I have tried to retain that kind of flexibility in this
> program. I'm not out to reinvent the wheel, I just really
> want the ability to make PDF files from within cgWindow! :-)
>
> This program will use Ghostscript on Windows and UNIX machines,
> and pstopdf on Macs. If you don't want to use the "gs"
> command on UNIX machines, you can choose the command you
> do want to use. For example, the "epstopdf" command probably
> works better with encapsulated PostScript files than does
> the "gs" command. You can make that substitution in the
> program.
>
> A number of Coyote Graphics programs changed to accommodate
> this new functionality. Among them are cgWindow, cgControl,
> cgWindow_SetDefs, cgWindow_GetDefs, and PS_End. I wouldn't be
> surprised if there were others as well.
>

```

> You can find all of these changes in the latest Coyote Library
> distribution:
>
> [http://www.idlcoyote.com/programs/zip_files/coyoteprograms.z ip](http://www.idlcoyote.com/programs/zip_files/coyoteprograms.zip)
>
> Or, using Subversion at:
>
> [http://idl-coyote.googlecode.com/svn/tags/coyote/coyote_libr ary_1.4](http://idl-coyote.googlecode.com/svn/tags/coyote/coyote_library_1.4)
>
> Cheers,
>
> David

Subject: Re: Coyote Graphics Updates
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 08 Dec 2011 16:37:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Fabzou writes:

> Is cgDCBar now obsolete thanks to the /discrete keyword?

No, I don't think so. The labeling on cgDCBar is different,
for one thing, and it probably has different roles to
play. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Coyote Graphics Updates
Posted by [wlandsman](#) on Fri, 09 Dec 2011 19:01:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David (and Mike),

The IDLDoc documentation looks great, and I am almost ready to switch my own programs to
rst format (even though the documentation is slightly less readable when looking at the original
file). Thanks for doing this. Do have any tricks to minimize the work involved in converting

from the standard IDL documentation to rst? --Wayne

On Thursday, December 8, 2011 10:16:05 AM UTC-5, David Fanning wrote:

>
> Most of the changes have been documentation changes,
> as I am switching to the IDLDOC rst method for all
> new programs, and I wanted to retrofit all of my
> Coyote Graphics programs so I could have a good
> set of on-line documentation. There are still
> niggling typos, etc., but you can find the on-line
> documentation here:
>
> <http://www.idlcoyote.com/idldoc/cg/index.html>
>

Subject: Re: Coyote Graphics Updates

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 09 Dec 2011 19:52:07 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

wlandsman writes:

> Do have any tricks to minimize the work involved in converting from the standard IDL documentation to rst?

Set aside a couple of weeks when you have no hope of making any money and have nothing better to do. :-(

Cheers,

David

P.S. The indenting is pretty important. You have to be anal about it. I would get a template of a program you know has got this right and use this religiously. This was the most tedious part of the conversion for me, since I also want to be able to read the documentation in the file, and I wanted that to look nice.

The other thing that really slowed me down was fixing all the documentation that was obviously wrong, now that I had a chance to actually read it. :-(

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Subject: Re: Coyote Graphics Updates

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Tue, 13 Dec 2011 20:03:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 12/9/11 12:01 PM, wlandsman wrote:

> David (and Mike),

>

> The IDLDoc documentation looks great, and I am almost ready to switch

> my own programs to rst format (even though the documentation is

> slightly less readable when looking at the original file). Thanks for

> doing this. Do have any tricks to minimize the work involved in

> converting from the standard IDL documentation to rst? --Wayne

>

> On Thursday, December 8, 2011 10:16:05 AM UTC-5, David Fanning

> wrote:

There were some one-line scripts created to do some format conversions
on documentation with the introduction of IDLdoc 3.0:

<http://idldoc.idldev.com/wiki/UpgradeTo30>

These can't be used directly to convert from IDL template style to
IDLdoc rst style, but maybe they will provide some direction for
creating scripts to help the process along. I imagine that some manual
fixing up is going to be necessary in any case.

Mike

--

Michael Galloy

www.michaelgalloy.com

Modern IDL, A Guide to Learning IDL: <http://modernidl.idldev.com>

Research Mathematician

Tech-X Corporation
