

John Coxon writes:

> However, since then, it has become apparent that it would be useful to  
> put the contours above some lines that denote the co-ordinate system,  
> and so I began to experiment with putting two separate contour plots on  
> top of those lines. (I now know, thanks to David, that /cell\_fill  
> doesn't ruin my fills in the way I thought it did, so this has become  
> easier and more elegant within the last few minutes!)

Well, a couple of things about this plot. First of all,  
my remarks yesterday had to do with contour plots on MAP  
PROJECTIONS. I really don't know what happens when you  
use CELL\_FILL with Contour without putting it on a map  
projection. Nothing good, probably. :-)

In any case, there are no map projections here, so if  
I were creating this plot, I would be using the FILL keyword.

I have almost no experience with POLAR\_CONTOUR, although  
I see it is simply a wrapper for the Contour command. It  
takes your polar coordinates, translates them to Cartesian  
coordinates, then grids them with Triangulate and TriGrid,  
before passing the result to the Contour command. If things  
go wrong, it is almost certainly in the gridding part of  
the code.

I have never had any luck "layering" filled contour plots.  
I think you have to create them all at once with the data  
you have. If your data truly goes from -1 to 1, I would  
have just created the levels and contour colors like this:

```
levels = Findgen(10) * 0.2 - 1.0  
c_colors = Indgen(10)+1
```

And called Polar\_Contour once, with the FILL keyword set.  
That certainly works with the test data set I created and  
the colors you loaded.

After you get your filled contours, you can overlay contour  
lines in various colors, etc. That should be pretty straightforward.

I guess my general feeling, without the data to work with, is  
that this whole business has been make overly complex. If I were  
having problems with it, I would probably try gridding the data

myself, so I had more control over that part of it, and then using cgContour to explore the rest of it.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

---

Subject: Re: Further adventures in POLAR\_CONTOUR  
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 29 Feb 2012 14:35:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

David Fanning writes:

- > I have almost no experience with POLAR\_CONTOUR, although
- > I see it is simply a wrapper for the Contour command. It
- > takes your polar coordinates, translates them to Cartesian
- > coordinates, then grids them with Triangulate and TriGrid,
- > before passing the result to the Contour command. If things
- > go wrong, it is almost certainly in the gridding part of
- > the code.

After giving this some thought this morning in the shower, I'm almost certain that if I were writing a cgPolarContour program, I would not do it this way. I think I would actually put the contour plot on a Polar Stereo map projection. That way, the polar plot would look, uh, polar, and you could use your map annotation programs (e.g., cgMap\_Grid) to annotate the plots with circles and radial lines, which is how a polar plot *should* be labeled, I think.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

---

Subject: Re: Further adventures in POLAR\_CONTOUR  
Posted by [John Coxon](#) on Wed, 29 Feb 2012 16:47:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 29/02/2012 14:13, David Fanning wrote:

> John Coxon writes:

>

>> However, since then, it has become apparent that it would be useful to  
>> put the contours above some lines that denote the co-ordinate system,  
>> and so I began to experiment with putting two separate contour plots on  
>> top of those lines. (I now know, thanks to David, that /cell\_fill  
>> doesn't ruin my fills in the way I thought it did, so this has become  
>> easier and more elegant within the last few minutes!)

>

> I have never had any luck "layering" filled contour plots.  
> I think you have to create them all at once with the data  
> you have. If your data truly goes from -1 to 1, I would  
> have just created the levels and contour colors like this:

>

> levels = Findgen(10) \* 0.2 - 1.0  
> c\_colors = Indgen(10)+1

>

> And called Polar\_Contour once, with the FILL keyword set.  
> That certainly works with the test data set I created and  
> the colors you loaded.

>

> After you get your filled contours, you can overlay contour  
> lines in various colors, etc. That should be pretty straightforward.

That's *exactly* what my code was doing before, and I don't think it can  
do what I need it to do.

Imagine you plot the co-ordinate lines using PLOT or OPLOT and then use  
the above levels/colours. POLAR\_CONTOUR plots white as the  
background/zero level, which obscures the lines completely.

Doing the reverse (plotting the POLAR\_CONTOUR and then using OPLOT)  
means that the lines are drawn over the fills, which means there are  
grey lines going through each region of blue/red, which looks very messy  
and inelegant.

As such, your suggested method doesn't allow for the plotting of the  
co-ordinate lines (as far as I can tell), and so I've had to resort to

the method I posted here - but I am still curious as to why my original method didn't work and I had to introduce the mentioned fix.

--

John Coxon

<http://www.chickensin envelopes.net/>

---

---

Subject: Re: Further adventures in POLAR\_CONTOUR

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Wed, 29 Feb 2012 17:15:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

John Coxon writes:

> That's *\*exactly\** what my code was doing before, and I don't think it can  
> do what I need it to do.  
>  
> Imagine you plot the co-ordinate lines using PLOT or OPLOT and then use  
> the above levels/colours. POLAR\_CONTOUR plots white as the  
> background/zero level, which obscures the lines completely.  
>  
> Doing the reverse (plotting the POLAR\_CONTOUR and then using OPLOT)  
> means that the lines are drawn over the fills, which means there are  
> grey lines going through each region of blue/red, which looks very messy  
> and inelegant.  
>  
> As such, your suggested method doesn't allow for the plotting of the  
> co-ordinate lines (as far as I can tell), and so I've had to resort to  
> the method I posted here - but I am still curious as to why my original  
> method didn't work and I had to introduce the mentioned fix.

Oh, I see. Yes, what you "need" it to do, and what it "can" do, are often two completely different things. :-)

But, I think you have done a pretty good job of coaxing it into working for you. As to *\*why\** it works like that, I really don't know. Quite a lot of what the Contour command does is a complete and utter mystery to me. :-)

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

---

Subject: Re: Further adventures in POLAR\_CONTOUR  
Posted by [John Coxon](#) on Wed, 29 Feb 2012 18:26:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 29/02/2012 17:15, David Fanning wrote:

- > But, I think you have done a pretty good job of coaxing
- > it into working for you. As to \*why\* it works like that,
- > I really don't know. Quite a lot of what the Contour
- > command does is a complete and utter mystery to me. :-)

As I put it to my PhD supervisor: "CONTOUR is dark, dark magic indeed."

--

John Coxon  
<http://www.chickensinenvelopes.net/>

---

---

Subject: Re: Further adventures in POLAR\_CONTOUR  
Posted by [Brian Wolven](#) on Wed, 29 Feb 2012 19:35:04 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

In hiking, it is often easy to follow a contour. In IDL, not so much.

---