
Subject: Using OOB Colors with Filled Contour Plots
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2012 18:16:49 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Folks,

Several months ago I introduced the notion of out-of-bounds colors into cgColorbar. These can be set with the OOB_LOW and OOB_HIGH keywords.

Since then several people (including me!) have been confused over how to use the out-of-bounds colors with filled contour plots. I had a reason to have another go at this problem today, and I have written an article that I hope will shed some light on this difficult topic.

The problem really is a fundamental one of how the IDL Contour command decides which contour "level" to color. You have to do a few fancy dance steps to work around this problem and get consistent results with both the FILL and CELL_FILL keywords.

I think the article will make it easier for people to set up these results in a more consistent way. You can find the article here:

http://www.idlcoyote.com/cg_tips/oobcontour.php

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Using OOB Colors with Filled Contour Plots
Posted by [Matteo](#) on Mon, 23 Apr 2012 21:18:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David,

thanks this is helpful! In the tutorial it might be beneficial to

substitute:

Levels=[Min(data),levels]

with:

Levels=[Min(data)-1,levels]

or something like that. This way, the code works regardless of whether we have OOB values. In a situation where min(data) is the first level, a situation of the kind levels=[0.0, 0.0, ...] is created, which cannot be contoured.

Am I right?

(one of the "TVLCT" commands is also misspelled)

On Apr 20, 2:16 pm, David Fanning <n...@idlcoyote.com> wrote:

> Folks,

>

> Several months ago I introduced the notion of out-of-bounds
> colors into cgColorbar. These can be set with the OOB_LOW
> and OOB_HIGH keywords.

>

> Since then several people (including me!) have been
> confused over how to use the out-of-bounds colors with
> filled contour plots. I had a reason to have another
> go at this problem today, and I have written an article
> that I hope will shed some light on this difficult
> topic.

>

> The problem really is a fundamental one of how the IDL
> Contour command decides which contour "level" to color.
> You have to do a few fancy dance steps to work around
> this problem and get consistent results with both the
> FILL and CELL_FILL keywords.

>

> I think the article will make it easier for people to
> set up these results in a more consistent way. You
> can find the article here:

>

> http://www.idlcoyote.com/cg_tips/oobcontour.php

>

> Cheers,

>

> David

>

> --

> David Fanning, Ph.D.

> Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

> Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming:<http://www.idlcoyote.com/>
> Sepore ma de ni thui. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Using OOB Colors with Filled Contour Plots
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Mon, 23 Apr 2012 22:22:48 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Matteo writes:

> thanks this is helpful! In the tutorial it might be beneficial to
> substitute:
> Levels=[Min(data),levels]
> with:
> Levels=[Min(data)-1,levels]
> or something like that. This way, the code works regardless of whether
> we have OOB values. In a situation where min(data) is the first level,
> a situation of the kind levels=[0.0, 0.0, ...] is created, which
> cannot be contoured.
>
> Am I right?

Well, I'm not sure. I almost always have Min(data) as the first contour level, because I don't like the background color "showing through" my contour plot. And, which color "shows through" depends on whether you are using the FILL or CELL_FILL keyword, which also bothers me.

You have to be a little careful about subtracting 1 in a general case like this. It works with most "reasonable" data, but always seems to fail when you work with real-world data or are giving an important demo of your software. Better to have a firm theoretical understanding of why you are doing what you are doing! ;-)

> (one of the "TVLCT" commands is also misspelled)

Humm. Thought I fixed that. Maybe I didn't upload the fixed version. I'll check.

Thanks!

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

