Subject: Running IDL daily as an automatic task Posted by cedgar3@alaska.edu on Wed, 27 Jun 2012 00:41:29 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Can't find much about this, perhaps someone can help. Have a few IDL procedures which need to run daily, feed to website. At one point there was a command line version for windows, what happened to it? I am now running IDL 8 on a Windows server 2008/R2 box, so can't implement some of the suggestions found on this forum, such as create a linux cron task. Rather not use the IDL 'wait' feature, would complicate other work. Many thanks!

Subject: Re: Running IDL daily as an automatic task Posted by David Fanning on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 14:41:42 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

greg.addr@googlemail.com writes:

- > I tried to do a similar thing, but using idlrt.exe with a compiled .sav file:
- > idlrt.exe -rt=prog.sav
- > It works fine from the windows command line, but if I set it to run when I'm not at the computer, it seems to change behaviour, producing a splash screen like the virtual machine. Of course, it gets stuck, because there's no-one to click it.
- > Is it supposed to do this? Is there a way to run a .sav file using idl.exe?

The run-time version of IDL always displays a splash screen. There is no way around it, except to pay to remove it with a "development" license.

Cheers,

>

David

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/

Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Running IDL daily as an automatic task Posted by greg.addr on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 16:09:30 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I have a development licence (at least, I think that's what I have!) So if I type that command at the windows command prompt, it runs fine with no splash. If I put the same command in the windows 'scheduled tasks' list, I come back in the morning to find the splash waiting on my desktop.

Maybe it has something to do with the owner of the process? When I run it myself, it's obviously mine. Perhaps as a scheduled task, it's a system user, and the licence doesn't like that?

I'm afraid it's probably something meaner than that, though. I discovered today that if I log in remotely (via RDP), I also can't run idl.exe from the command prompt. The licence seems to block anything running when I'm not physically at the computer (I have a MAC address-locked licence).

greg

Subject: Re: Running IDL daily as an automatic task Posted by Heinz Stege on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 16:48:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Greg,

can you try
idlrt.exe prog.sav
instead of
idlrt.exe -rt=prog.sav

? (Insert pathes and double quotes as necessary.) This should start the runtime-mode instead of the virtual machine. The runtime-mode needs a license file, but doesn't popup a splash screen.

Cheers, Heinz

Subject: Re: Running IDL daily as an automatic task Posted by David Fanning on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 17:00:58 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

greg.addr@googlemail.com writes:

> I have a development licence (at least, I think that's what I have!)

Unless you paid about \$25K for it, it's doubtful. :-)

- > So if I type that command at the windows command prompt, it runs fine
- > with no splash. If I put the same command in the windows 'scheduled
- > tasks' list, I come back in the morning to find the splash waiting
- > on my desktop.

I think Heinz is right. Your Windows version of IDL has

a run-time license built into it, so you can run IDL run-time files without a splash screen. But, anyone else running a run-time license will have to run it on the IDL Virtual Machine (which is also installed in a typical Windows install), which ALWAYS throws up a splash screen.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/
Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Running IDL daily as an automatic task Posted by greg.addr on Mon, 17 Dec 2012 17:59:44 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> Unless you paid about \$25K for it, it's doubtful. :-)

It was pretty expensive, but not *that* expensive!

Well, how about that - removing the "-rt=" seems to have done the trick! I wasn't expecting that to work. Thanks very much, Heinz - I'm really happy about it!

Fortunately, this program is just for my use, so on this occasion I don't need to worry about flash screens elsewhere.

cheers, Greg