

---

Subject: Re: bits into bytes

Posted by [Heinz Stege](#) on Wed, 01 Aug 2012 23:55:16 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 1 Aug 2012 15:49:21 -0700 (PDT), wlandsman wrote:

> I have to write an array of "on-off" states in which the values are stored as bits. So if I have  
>  
> x = [1b,0b,1b,1b,1b,0b,1b,1b]  
>  
> i want to convert this to a single byte value. (This is the inverse of David Fanning's bitget.pro  
function.)  
> One way to to do this is  
>  
> IDL> yy = [128b,64b,32b,16b,8b,4b,2b,1b]  
> IDL> print,byte( total(yy\*x))  
> 187b  
>  
> But there must be a way to do this using masking rather than multiplication. I just can't figure it  
out right now. (The conversion will be done for millions of bits). Thanks, --Wayne

You can use ISHFT instead of the multiplication:

```
print,total(ishft(x,7b-bindgen(8)),/preserve_type)
```

But I don't expect any advantages on use of a floating point processor. However the /PRESERVE\_TYPE option of the TOTAL function should speed up the calculation.

Cheers, Heinz

---

---

Subject: Re: bits into bytes

Posted by [wlandsman](#) on Thu, 02 Aug 2012 03:19:53 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wednesday, August 1, 2012 7:55:16 PM UTC-4, Heinz Stege wrote:

>  
> processor. However the /PRESERVE\_TYPE option of the TOTAL function  
>  
> should speed up the calculation.  
>

Heinz,

Thanks for reminding me of the ISHFT() function and the /PRESERVE\_TYPE keyword to TOTAL(). Actually, rather than use TOTAL(), I found the fastest method when dealing with millions of values is to use matrix multiply, as in the following example:

;Create an 8 x n byte array of random 0s and 1s. This will be compressed by a factor of 8 by storing ;each value in a bit rather than a byte

```
n = 1000000
x = byte(round(randomu(seed,8,n)))

yy = [128b,64b,32b,16b,8b,4b,2b,1b]
return, byte(yy#x)
```

The above code would be slightly faster if there were the equivalent of /PRESERVE\_TYPE for matrix multiplication. Right now -- rather surprisingly, I think -- matrix multiplication of two byte arrays yields a long array. But the code is very fast anyway. --Wayne

---