
Subject: Re: Interesting quirk in IDLdoc (3.5.0)
Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2012 23:50:09 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 10/5/12 2:20 PM, tom.grydeland@gmail.com wrote:

> I spent a couple of hours this friday evening tracking down why all my RST comments in one file disappeared, and I could not see anything wrong with my comments.

>
> I managed to whittle it down to this, the smallest case that reproduces the behaviour.
> IDL has no problem with the extra \$ after 'begin', but it seems to upset IDLdoc tremendously.

>
> ; ----- >8 -----
> ;+
> ; One description
> ;-
> pro one
> if 1 then begin \$; remove the dollar on this line to restore sanity
> print, "hello, "
> endif
> end
>
> ;+
> ; This description (and everything from here on) disappears
> ;-
> pro two
> print, " world!"
> end
>

IDLdoc counts begin/end's and that \$ probably confused it. Not sure why the TWO routine is found, but the header is not. Will have to take a look at it.

Mike

--

Michael Galloy
www.michaelgalloy.com
Modern IDL: A Guide to IDL Programming (<http://modernidl.idldev.com>)
Research Mathematician
Tech-X Corporation

Subject: Re: Interesting quirk in IDLdoc (3.5.0)
Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Fri, 05 Oct 2012 23:53:54 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 10/5/12 5:50 PM, Michael Galloy wrote:

> IDLdoc counts begin/end's and that \$ probably confused it. Not sure why

> the TWO routine is found, but the header is not. Will have to take a
> look at it.

I confirm it is a bug, here's the ticket:

<http://idldoc.idldev.com/ticket/87>

Mike

--

Michael Galloy

www.michaelgalloy.com

Modern IDL: A Guide to IDL Programming (<http://modernidl.idldev.com>)

Research Mathematician

Tech-X Corporation

Subject: Re: Interesting quirk in IDLdoc (3.5.0)

Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Mon, 08 Oct 2012 22:29:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 10/5/12 5:53 PM, Michael Galloy wrote:

> On 10/5/12 5:50 PM, Michael Galloy wrote:

>> IDLdoc counts begin/end's and that \$ probably confused it. Not sure why

>> the TWO routine is found, but the header is not. Will have to take a

>> look at it.

>

> I confirm it is a bug, here's the ticket:

>

> <http://idldoc.idldev.com/ticket/87>

Fixed in r846. Check out the trunk if you want to try it out:

svn co <http://svn.idldev.com/idldoc/trunk> idldoc

Mike

--

Michael Galloy

www.michaelgalloy.com

Modern IDL: A Guide to IDL Programming (<http://modernidl.idldev.com>)

Research Mathematician

Tech-X Corporation
