
Subject: Re: SVDC - singular values not in decreasing order?

Posted by [Lajos Foldy](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2012 19:12:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wednesday, November 28, 2012 7:58:51 PM UTC+1, AMS wrote:

> Hi all,

>

>

>

> I am interested in singular value decomposition in IDL, using the svdc routine.

>

>

>

> I had been under the impression that the singular values in such an analysis were given in descending order (order of importance of the singular vectors); see e.g.

<http://alias-i.com/lingpipe/demos/tutorial/svd/read-me.html> So, the first vector explains the largest portion of variance, the second the next, and so forth.

>

>

>

> However, from my own analysis and also the example in the IDL help page (http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/idl_html_help/SVDC.html), this is not the case (they are not in decreasing order). So, my questions are:

>

>

>

> 1. Is this intentional?

>

> 2. What does it mean? To find the 'n' most significant vectors, should I be taking the first 'n' returned by IDL, or the 'n' with the largest singular values?

>

>

>

> Any advice would be appreciated!

>

>

>

> Andrew

Use LA_SVD, it works as you expected.

regards,
Lajos

Subject: Re: SVDC - singular values not in decreasing order?

Posted by [Andy Sayer](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2012 19:22:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Lajos,

Thanks for the suggestion; I can confirm it gives the expected behaviour (i.e. same vectors and singular values, but ordered largest to smallest). So, I'll change to use that.

So now I have a new question: for what purpose might I want to use svdc instead of la_svd?

Andrew

On Wednesday, November 28, 2012 2:12:11 PM UTC-5, fawltyl...@gmail.com wrote:

> On Wednesday, November 28, 2012 7:58:51 PM UTC+1, AMS wrote:

>

>> Hi all,

>

>>

>

>>

>

>>

>

>> I am interested in singular value decomposition in IDL, using the svdc routine.

>

>>

>

>>

>

>>

>

>> I had been under the impression that the singular values in such an analysis were given in descending order (order of importance of the singular vectors); see e.g.

<http://alias-i.com/lingpipe/demos/tutorial/svd/read-me.html> So, the first vector explains the largest portion of variance, the second the next, and so forth.

>

>>

>

>>

>

>>

>

>> However, from my own analysis and also the example in the IDL help page

(http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/idl_html_help/SVDC.html), this is not the case (they are not in decreasing order). So, my questions are:

>

>>

>

>>

>
>>
>
>> 1. Is this intentional?
>
>>
>
>> 2. What does it mean? To find the 'n' most significant vectors, should I be taking the first 'n' returned by IDL, or the 'n' with the largest singular values?
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Any advice would be appreciated!
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Andrew
>
>
>
>
>
> Use LA_SVD, it works as you expected.
>
>
>
> regards,
>
> Lajos

Subject: Re: SVDC - singular values not in decreasing order?
Posted by [Lajos Foldy](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2012 20:24:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wednesday, November 28, 2012 8:22:04 PM UTC+1, AMS wrote:

> So now I have a new question: for what purpose might I want to use svdc instead of la_svd?

> LA_SVD is newer, it was added in IDL 5.6. I think SVDC was kept for compatibility with older

versions.

regards,
Lajos

Subject: Re: SVDC - singular values not in decreasing order?
Posted by [Andy Sayer](#) on Wed, 28 Nov 2012 22:11:27 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Got it, thanks. :)

On Wednesday, November 28, 2012 1:58:51 PM UTC-5, AMS wrote:

> Hi all,

>

>

>

> I am interested in singular value decomposition in IDL, using the svdc routine.

>

>

>

> I had been under the impression that the singular values in such an analysis were given in descending order (order of importance of the singular vectors); see e.g.

<http://alias-i.com/lingpipe/demos/tutorial/svd/read-me.html> So, the first vector explains the largest portion of variance, the second the next, and so forth.

>

>

>

> However, from my own analysis and also the example in the IDL help page (http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/idl_html_help/SVDC.html), this is not the case (they are not in decreasing order). So, my questions are:

>

>

>

> 1. Is this intentional?

>

> 2. What does it mean? To find the 'n' most significant vectors, should I be taking the first 'n' returned by IDL, or the 'n' with the largest singular values?

>

>

>

> Any advice would be appreciated!

>

>

>

> Andrew
