Subject: Very tricky NetCDF "bug" Posted by Fabzi on Fri, 14 Dec 2012 18:08:53 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi IDLers.

I've lost (again) a bunch of hours and also got older faster than usual because of exeptionally high stress level this week.

In our group, we are handling/creating a lot of large NCDF files. A lot. Of very large files. The NetCDF4 format was a very welcome improvement thanks to the compression options and the possibility to create large files (> 2Gb).

However, after I made some apparently harmless changes to some routines, our post-processing tool just made IDL VERY slow. So slow, that you could think it froze (even if the process still used 100% processor). It took me days to find out where the error was, and I suspected IDL much later than other factors (broken hard drive, failure in the network, corrupt files...).

Anyway. To make things short, the problem is coming from the "STRIDE" keyword, which is said to be set by default to "[1,1,...]" etc. My NCDF object, for some reason, changed lately with STRIDE set to "[1,1,...]" by default, too (so kind of replacing the IDL default). And this was the reason of non-failing but extremely slow NCDF_VARGET calls...

The programm below shows what I mean. (be carefull, it creates a test.nc file of about ~ 600 Mb in your working directory).

Three interesting things are shown:

- NETCDF4 is about twice faster then NETCDF3 to read a variable (which is good)
- NETCDF3 is about 20% slower when you set STRIDE to its default value by yourself instead of letting IDL do it alone
- NETCDF4 is about 9 times slower with the same test!

I spare you the results with much larger AND compressed files.

I one of you could be so nice and reproduce my results? If you see the same I'll make a bug report...

Thanks!

Fab

pro test ncdf strides, NETCDF4 FORMAT=netcdf4 format

```
; This creates a ~ 600 MB NCDF file
  fid = NCDF_CREATE('test.nc', /CLOBBER, NETCDF4_FORMAT=netcdf4_format)
  dim1_id = NCDF_DIMDEF(fid, 'dim1', 200)
  dim2_id = NCDF_DIMDEF(fid, 'dim2', 200)
  dim3_id = NCDF_DIMDEF(fid, 'dim3', 27)
  dim4 id = NCDF DIMDEF(fid, 'dim4', 24*3)
  v1_id = NCDF_VARDEF(fid, 'var1', [dim1_id, dim2_id, dim3_id,
dim4 id], /FLOAT)
  v2 id = NCDF VARDEF(fid, 'var2', [dim1 id, dim2 id, dim3 id,
dim4 id], /FLOAT)
  dummy = FLTARR(200, 200, 27, 24*3)
  NCDF_VARPUT, fid, v1_id, dummy
  NCDF_VARPUT, fid, v2_id, dummy
  NCDF_CLOSE, fid
  : open and read
  fid = NCDF_OPEN('test.nc', /NOWRITE)
  : Read
  logt0 = SYSTIME(/SECONDS)
  NCDF_VARGET, fid, 'var1', var
  print, 'Get var1
                     :' + STRING(SYSTIME(/SECONDS)-logt0)
  var = 0.; free memory
  logt0 = SYSTIME(/SECONDS)
  NCDF_VARGET, fid, 'var2', var, STRIDE=[1,1,1,1]
  print, 'Get var2 (STRIDE):' + STRING(SYSTIME(/SECONDS)-logt0)
  var = 0.; free memory
  ; Just to be sure it's not some kind of IDL cache stuff
  logt0 = SYSTIME(/SECONDS)
  NCDF VARGET, fid, 'var1', var, STRIDE=[1,1,1,1]
  print, 'Get var1 (STRIDE):' + STRING(SYSTIME(/SECONDS)-logt0)
  var = 0.; free memory
  logt0 = SYSTIME(/SECONDS)
  NCDF_VARGET, fid, 'var2', var
                    :' + STRING(SYSTIME(/SECONDS)-logt0)
  print, 'Get var2
  var = 0.; free memory
  NCDF CLOSE, fid
end
Results:
IDL> .FULL_RESET_SESSION
IDL> print, !VERSION
{ x86 64 linux unix linux 8.2.1 Aug 20 2012
                                           64
                                                 64}
IDL> test ncdf strides
```

% Compiled module: TEST_NCDF_STRIDES. % Loaded DLM: NCDF. 0.97150087 Get var1 Get var2 (STRIDE): 1.1921642 Get var1 (STRIDE): 1.1618340 Get var2 0.93627405 IDL> test ncdf strides, /NETCDF4 FORMAT Get var1 0.54904699 Get var2 (STRIDE): 4.4865382 Get var1 (STRIDE): 4.4508131 Get var2 0.53942299 IDL> test ncdf strides, /NETCDF4 FORMAT 0.54891205 Get var1 Get var2 (STRIDE): 4.4209800 Get var1 (STRIDE): 4.3824911 Get var2 0.53269601 IDL> test ncdf strides

Get var1 : 0.96190310 Get var2 (STRIDE): 1.1909840

Get var2 (STRIDE): 1.1909840 Get var1 (STRIDE): 1.1693609 Get var2 : 0.93884301

Subject: Re: Very tricky NetCDF "bug"
Posted by chris_torrence@NOSPAM on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 05:22:48 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Friday, December 14, 2012 11:08:53 AM UTC-7, Fab wrote:
> Hi IDLers,
>
>
>
 I've lost (again) a bunch of hours and also got older faster than usual
>
 because of exeptionally high stress level this week.
>
>
>
>
  In our group, we are handling/creating a lot of large NCDF files. A lot.
>
  Of very large files. The NetCDF4 format was a very welcome improvement
>
 thanks to the compression options and the possibility to create large
> files (> 2Gb).
>
>
>
```

```
However, after I made some apparently harmless changes to some routines,
>
> our post-processing tool just made IDL VERY slow. So slow, that you
  could think it froze (even if the process still used 100% processor). It
>
  took me days to find out where the error was, and I suspected IDL much
>
  later than other factors (broken hard drive, failure in the network,
>
  corrupt files...).
>
>
  Anyway. To make things short, the problem is coming from the "STRIDE"
  keyword, which is said to be set by default to "[1,1,...]" etc. My NCDF
>
  object, for some reason, changed lately with STRIDE set to "[1,1,...]"
>
  by default, too (so kind of replacing the IDL default). And this was the
  reason of non-failing but extremely slow NCDF_VARGET calls...
>
>
  The programm below shows what I mean. (be carefull, it creates a test.nc
  file of about ~ 600 Mb in your working directory).
>
>
  Three interesting things are shown:
  - NETCDF4 is about twice faster then NETCDF3 to read a variable (which
> is good)
  - NETCDF3 is about 20% slower when you set STRIDE to its default value
> by yourself instead of letting IDL do it alone
  - NETCDF4 is about 9 times slower with the same test!
>
>
> I spare you the results with much larger AND compressed files.
```

```
>
>
> I one of you could be so nice and reproduce my results? If you see the
> same I'll make a bug report...
>
> Thanks!
>
> Fab
>
  pro test_ncdf_strides, NETCDF4_FORMAT=netcdf4_format
>
>
>
    ; This creates a ~ 600 MB NCDF file
>
>
    fid = NCDF_CREATE('test.nc', /CLOBBER, NETCDF4_FORMAT=netcdf4_format)
>
>
    dim1_id = NCDF_DIMDEF(fid, 'dim1', 200)
>
>
    dim2_id = NCDF_DIMDEF(fid, 'dim2', 200)
>
    dim3_id = NCDF_DIMDEF(fid, 'dim3', 27)
>
    dim4_id = NCDF_DIMDEF(fid, 'dim4', 24*3)
>
>
    v1_id = NCDF_VARDEF(fid, 'var1', [dim1_id, dim2_id, dim3_id,
>
  dim4_id], /FLOAT)
>
    v2_id = NCDF_VARDEF(fid, 'var2', [dim1_id, dim2_id, dim3_id,
>
 dim4 id], /FLOAT)
    dummy = FLTARR(200, 200, 27, 24*3)
>
    NCDF_VARPUT, fid, v1_id, dummy
>
>
    NCDF_VARPUT, fid, v2_id, dummy
```

```
NCDF_CLOSE, fid
>
>
>
>
    ; open and read
>
    fid = NCDF_OPEN('test.nc', /NOWRITE)
>
>
    ; Read
>
>
    logt0 = SYSTIME(/SECONDS)
>
    NCDF_VARGET, fid, 'var1', var
>
>
    print, 'Get var1
                       :' + STRING(SYSTIME(/SECONDS)-logt0)
>
>
    var = 0.; free memory
>
    logt0 = SYSTIME(/SECONDS)
>
    NCDF_VARGET, fid, 'var2', var, STRIDE=[1,1,1,1]
>
>
    print, 'Get var2 (STRIDE):' + STRING(SYSTIME(/SECONDS)-logt0)
>
>
>
    var = 0.; free memory
>
>
>
>
    ; Just to be sure it's not some kind of IDL cache stuff
>
    logt0 = SYSTIME(/SECONDS)
>
>
    NCDF_VARGET, fid, 'var1', var, STRIDE=[1,1,1,1]
>
>
    print, 'Get var1 (STRIDE):' + STRING(SYSTIME(/SECONDS)-logt0)
>
>
    var = 0.; free memory
>
    logt0 = SYSTIME(/SECONDS)
>
>
    NCDF VARGET, fid, 'var2', var
>
    print, 'Get var2
                       :' + STRING(SYSTIME(/SECONDS)-logt0)
>
    var = 0.; free memory
>
>
    NCDF_CLOSE, fid
```

```
>
>
> end
> Results:
>
 IDL> .FULL_RESET_SESSION
 IDL> print, !VERSION
 { x86_64 linux unix linux 8.2.1 Aug 20 2012
                                          64
                                               64}
> IDL> test_ncdf_strides
 % Compiled module: TEST_NCDF_STRIDES.
> % Loaded DLM: NCDF.
                  0.97150087
> Get var1 :
> Get var2 (STRIDE): 1.1921642
> Get var1 (STRIDE): 1.1618340
                  0.93627405
> Get var2
> IDL> test_ncdf_strides, /NETCDF4_FORMAT
> Get var1
                  0.54904699
 Get var2 (STRIDE): 4.4865382
 Get var1 (STRIDE): 4.4508131
> Get var2
                  0.53942299
> IDL> test_ncdf_strides, /NETCDF4_FORMAT
                  0.54891205
> Get var1
> Get var2 (STRIDE):
                      4.4209800
```

> Get var1 (STRIDE): 4.3824911

>

> Get var2 : 0.53269601

>

> IDL> test_ncdf_strides

>

> Get var1 : 0.96190310

>

> Get var2 (STRIDE): 1.1909840

>

> Get var1 (STRIDE): 1.1693609

>

> Get var2 : 0.93884301

Hi Fab,

Sorry to hear that you are having troubles with NetCDF4. Our wrapper code is just passing the STRIDE values down to the NetCDF4 library. If the user doesn't pass in a STRIDE, then we don't pass it into NetCDF4.

Presumably, within the NetCDF4 library, there are two different code paths, one for "no stride" (i.e. stride=1) and the other for stride=N. It's probably not checking to see if you pass in stride=1, but is just going straight to the "slower" stride code.

So, in short, I would recommend putting a check into your pro code, and only pass in the stride when you absolutely have to.

Hope this helps.

Cheers.

Chris

ExelisVIS

Subject: Re: Very tricky NetCDF "bug" Posted by Fabzi on Sat, 15 Dec 2012 17:29:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Chris,

On 12/15/2012 06:22 AM, Chris Torrence wrote:

- > Presumably, within the NetCDF4 library, there are two different code >paths, one for "no stride" (i.e. stride=1) and the other for stride=N. >It's probably not checking to see if you pass in stride=1, but is just
- > going straight to the "slower" stride code.

Yes, that's what I was thinking. It's not really "a bug", but it's just that my process completely froze and it was really hard to find the bottleneck...

| So, in short, I would recommend putting a check into your pro code, and only pass in the stride when you absolutely have to. Yes, that's what I did. | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| Thanks, | |
| Fab | |
| | |
| | |