View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Friday, January 25, 2013 10:52:50 PM UTC+1, Kat wrote:
> Hey guys,
>
> I'm trying to run an autocorrelation on a 2D plot and it is giving me way crazy/bad results.
Seems simple enough, but it doesn't seem to be giving me what I should be expecting. I ran the
following simple example below:
>
>
>
  a=indgen(100)*.2-2
>
>
>
  b=sin(a)
>
  lag=[-7,-6,-5,-4,-3,-2,-1, 1.0,2,3,4,5,6,7]
>
>
>
>
  Here are the values in column form for easy viewing:
>
>
>
  ENVI> print, transpose(lag)
>
     -7.00000
>
>
>
     -6.00000
>
     -5.00000
>
>
     -4.00000
>
>
>
     -3.00000
>
     -2.00000
>
>
     -1.00000
>
>
      1.00000
>
>
      2.00000
>
>
      3.00000
```

```
>
     4.00000
>
>
      5.00000
>
>
>
     6.00000
>
      7.00000
>
>
>
>
  ENVI> print, transpose(autocorr)
>
     0.777030
>
>
     0.808242
>
>
     0.839966
>
>
>
     0.872025
>
     0.904238
>
>
>
     0.936422
>
     0.968397
>
>
     0.968397
>
>
     0.936422
>
>
     0.904238
>
     0.872025
>
     0.839966
>
>
     0.808242
>
>
     0.777030
>
>
>
```

> I may be mistaken, but in this instance I have three "sin" type curves which repeat roughly every 6 units. So for lag 6ish, the autocorrelation value should be going back up close to 1-ish. Yet this doesn't seem to be the case in my code.

> Can someone help explain to me why this is not working? And hopefully suggest some way to

make it work?

>

> Thanks guys!

Several issues here.

First, I don't know what [a,b] is doing in that call. I assume you meant to calculate the autocorrelation of b:

```
autocorr=a_correlate(b, lag)
```

Second, sure a sine repeats after $2^*!pi = 6$ but your sampling is in steps of 0.2, so the sine repeats after $5^*2^*!pi = 31$ array elements so your lag vector is too short for you to see the second peak.

Third, that second peak will be rather attenuated because 31 is a significant part of 100 (the number of elements in your array), so you may want to try this instead:

```
a=indgen(1000)*.2-2
b=sin(a)
lag=indgen(40)
autocorr=a_correlate(b, lag)
print,autocorr
```

The result is:

1.00000	0.979339	0.919713	0.823575	0.694829	0.538665
0.361360	0.170019	-0.0277101	-0.223938	-0.410852	-0.581026
-0.727717	-0.845127	-0.928641	-0.975000	-0.982433	-0.950722
-0.881211	-0.776744	-0.641553	-0.481085	-0.301784	-0.110828
0.0841515	0.275381	0.455253	0.616624	0.753107	0.859314
0.931081	0.965615	0.961622	0.919338	0.840526	0.728402
0.587498	0.423488	0.242950	0.0531106		

And you can see the second peak at a lag of about 30 elements. Plot autocorr vs the 0.2 sampling and the peak will show up at 2*!pi as it should.

Subject: Re: autocorrelation help Posted by Oana Coman on Fri, 25 Jan 2013 23:38:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Friday, January 25, 2013 5:03:54 PM UTC-6, Mats Löfdahl wrote:

> On Friday, January 25, 2013 10:52:50 PM UTC+1, Kat wrote:

> >> Hey guys, >

>> >

>> I'm trying to run an autocorrelation on a 2D plot and it is giving me way crazy/bad results.

Seems simple enough, but it doesn't seem to be giving me what I should be expecting. I ran the following simple example below:

```
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>> a=indgen(100)*.2-2
>
>>
>
>> b=sin(a)
>
>>
>
>> lag=[-7,-6,-5,-4,-3,-2,-1, 1.0,2,3,4,5,6,7]
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Here are the values in column form for easy viewing:
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> ENVI> print, transpose(lag)
>
>>
>
      -7.00000
>>
>
>>
>
       -6.00000
>>
>>
```

```
>
      -5.00000
>>
>
>>
>
>>
      -4.00000
>
>>
      -3.00000
>>
>
>>
>
      -2.00000
>>
>
>>
>
      -1.00000
>>
>
>>
>
       1.00000
>>
>
>>
>
       2.00000
>>
>
>>
>
       3.00000
>>
>
>>
>
       4.00000
>>
>
>>
>
       5.00000
>>
>
>>
>
      6.00000
>>
>
>>
>
       7.00000
>>
>>
```

```
>>
>
>>
>
>> ENVI> print, transpose(autocorr)
>>
      0.777030
>>
>
>>
>
      0.808242
>>
>
>>
      0.839966
>>
>
>>
>
      0.872025
>>
>
>>
>
      0.904238
>>
>
>>
>
      0.936422
>>
>>
>
      0.968397
>>
>
>>
>
      0.968397
>>
>
>>
>
      0.936422
>>
>
>>
>
      0.904238
>>
```

>>

```
0.872025
>>
>
>>
>
       0.839966
>>
>
>>
       0.808242
>>
>
>>
>
       0.777030
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> I may be mistaken, but in this instance I have three "sin" type curves which repeat roughly
every 6 units. So for lag 6ish, the autocorrelation value should be going back up close to 1-ish.
Yet this doesn't seem to be the case in my code.
>
>>
>
>> Can someone help explain to me why this is not working? And hopefully suggest some way to
make it work?
>>
>
   Thanks guys!
>
  Several issues here.
>
>
> First, I don't know what [a,b] is doing in that call. I assume you meant to calculate the
autocorrelation of b:
>
>
> autocorr=a_correlate(b, lag)
>
>
```

```
>
> Second, sure a sine repeats after 2*!pi = 6 but your sampling is in steps of 0.2, so the sine
repeats after 5*2*!pi = 31 array elements so your lag vector is too short for you to see the second
peak.
>
>
> Third, that second peak will be rather attenuated because 31 is a significant part of 100 (the
number of elements in your array), so you may want to try this instead:
>
  a=indgen(1000)*.2-2
>
>
 b=sin(a)
>
  lag=indgen(40)
> autocorr=a_correlate(b, lag)
  print, autocorr
>
>
>
  The result is:
>
      1.00000
                0.979339
                            0.919713
                                        0.823575
                                                    0.694829
                                                                0.538665
>
>
>
     0.361360
                 0.170019 -0.0277101
                                         -0.223938
                                                     -0.410852
                                                                 -0.581026
>
    -0.727717 -0.845127 -0.928641
                                         -0.975000
                                                     -0.982433
                                                                 -0.950722
>
>
    -0.881211
                -0.776744
                             -0.641553
                                         -0.481085
                                                     -0.301784
                                                                 -0.110828
>
>
    0.0841515
                 0.275381
                              0.455253
                                         0.616624
                                                      0.753107
                                                                  0.859314
>
>
     0.931081
                 0.965615
                             0.961622
                                         0.919338
                                                     0.840526
                                                                 0.728402
>
>
     0.587498
                 0.423488
                             0.242950
                                        0.0531106
>
>
>
```

> And you can see the second peak at a lag of about 30 elements. Plot autocorr vs the 0.2 sampling and the peak will show up at 2*!pi as it should.

Oh! I was confused about what lag actually was. That makes more sense. Thanks!