
Subject: HASH makes too many temporaries

Posted by [Bob\[4\]](#) on Tue, 19 Mar 2013 03:30:24 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I was really hoping that HASHes would be a nice replacement for structures. I'm quite adept at working with structures but it gets old rebuilding them all the time just to change the size of an array (or dealing with the ugly and error prone PTRs in structure tags). So HASHes seem like a nice change. But there are severe problems with them. I often work with structures with relatively small number of tags (say 10-25) but with some of these tags have large arrays. With HASHes this does not work well since they tend to force you to create lots of temporaries. Here is a very basic example that illustrates the problem:

```
IDL> h = hash()
IDL> h['arr'] = fltarr(100000000)
IDL> help, h
H          HASH <ID=1 NELEMENTS=1>
```

I've created a HASH and have a large array in it. Later I want to see the details of whats in the array (since the default help is not helpful for this, unlike a structure help):

```
IDL> help, h['arr']
<Expression>  FLOAT    = Array[100000000]
```

Notice by the delay that this simple command caused a temporary of the large array to be formed. If you have more memory than I do on my laptop then make the array larger and you will notice it. This is crazy. The syntax h['arr'] should produce a reference to the array and NOT a new version of the array (this would allow it to be used on the left side of an = as well). If you want to do the simple call above without producing a temporary you have to use:

```
IDL> arr = h.remove('arr')
IDL> help, arr
ARR          FLOAT    = Array[100000000]
IDL> h['arr'] = temporary(arr)
```

Arrgg! I think that HASHes and LISTs were not incorporated into IDL with sufficient thought.
