
Subject: programmatically compile a procedure without a .pro extension

Posted by [wlandsman](#) on Sun, 25 Aug 2013 01:10:20 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Does anyone know if there is a way to programmatically compile a procedure that does not have a .pro extension? For example, if I have a file named a1.bak containing the following 4 lines:

```
pro a1
print,'Inside procedure a1'
return
end
```

then the user can successfully compile this using

```
IDL> .run a1.bak
```

But the tools to programmatically compile a file don't work in this case, i.e.

```
IDL> resolve_routine,'a1.bak'
or
IDL> file_compile,'a1.bak'
```

where file_compile is from Craig Markwardt's library

http://www.physics.wisc.edu/~craigm/idl/down/file_compile.pro

It is reasonable to ask why I would not simply use a .pro extension. But the answer is fairly complicated so I don't (yet) want to distract from the straightforward question above. Thanks,
--Wayne

Subject: Re: programmatically compile a procedure without a .pro extension

Posted by [Heinz Stege](#) on Sun, 25 Aug 2013 12:10:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

If you don't want to get a .pro file by renaming (or making a copy of) the .bak file, you can do something like the following. The routine below creates a temporary .pro file and includes the files with other extensions by use of the @ include file character:

```
pro compile,filenames
;
tempfile='compile_temp'
openw,lun,/get_lun,tempfile+'.pro'
printf,lun,'@'+filenames,form='(a)'
free_lun,lun
;
catch,error
if ~error then resolve_routine,tempfile
```

```
catch,/cancel  
;  
end
```

The catch statement suppresses an error message from the compiler, that there is no compile_temp routine.

Note that you can specify the filenames by a string array, if you want to compile more than one file.

Cheers, Heinz

Subject: Re: programmatically compile a procedure without a .pro extension
Posted by [wlandsman](#) on Mon, 26 Aug 2013 03:23:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Heinz,

Thanks. That is an elegant use of CATCH.

I still have mild trepidation about requiring an arbitrary user to have write access to a disk. But I've never had any problem using IDL_TMPDIR as a scratch writeable directory, so I should probably stop worrying. --Wayne

On Sunday, August 25, 2013 8:10:37 AM UTC-4, Heinz Stege wrote:

```
> If you don't want to get a .pro file by renaming (or making a copy of)  
>  
> the .bak file, you can do something like the following. The routine  
>  
> below creates a temporary .pro file and includes the files with other  
>  
> extensions by use of the @ include file character:  
>  
>  
>  
> pro compile,filenames  
>  
> ;  
>  
> tempfile='compile_temp'  
>  
> openw,lun,/get_lun,tempfile+'.pro'  
>  
> printf,lun,'@'+filenames,form='(a)'  
>  
> free_lun,lun
```

```
>  
> ;  
>  
> catch,error  
>  
> if ~error then resolve_routine,tempfile  
>  
> catch,/cancel  
>  
> ;  
>  
> end  
>  
>  
>  
> The catch statement suppresses an error message from the compiler,  
>  
> that there is no compile_temp routine.  
>  
>  
>  
> Note that you can specify the filenames by a string array, if you want  
>  
> to compile more than one file.  
>  
>  
>  
> Cheers, Heinz
```
