
Subject: modulo operator

Posted by [spluque](#) on Fri, 08 Nov 2013 22:54:30 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi,

I'm a little confused by the following:

```
IDL> print, 1200 MOD 0.1
```

```
0.0999821
```

```
IDL> print, (1200 * 10.0) MOD (0.1 * 10)
```

```
0.00000
```

I expected this to be 0 either way. What am I missing?

Thanks,

Seb

Subject: Re: modulo operator

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Fri, 08 Nov 2013 23:09:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

spluque@gmail.com writes:

> I'm a little confused by the following:

>

> IDL> print, 1200 MOD 0.1

> 0.0999821

> IDL> print, (1200 * 10.0) MOD (0.1 * 10)

> 0.00000

>

> I expected this to be 0 either way. What am I missing?

Almost certainly the way numbers are represented on computers:

http://www.idlcoyote.com/math_tips/sky_is_falling.html

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Seppure ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: modulo operator

Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Sat, 09 Nov 2013 03:36:39 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Friday, November 8, 2013 5:54:30 PM UTC-5, spl...@gmail.com wrote:

```
> Hi,
>
>
>
> I'm a little confused by the following:
>
>
>
> IDL> print, 1200 MOD 0.1
>
> 0.0999821
>
> IDL> print, (1200 * 10.0) MOD (0.1 * 10)
>
> 0.00000
>
>
>
> I expected this to be 0 either way. What am I missing?
```

See David's post.

Then try the same experiment with double precision everywhere instead.

```
IDL> print, 1200d MOD 0.1d
```

```
IDL> print, (1200d * 10d) MOD (0.1d * 10d)
```

Craig

Subject: Re: modulo operator

Posted by [spluque](#) on Sat, 09 Nov 2013 15:53:14 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Friday, November 8, 2013 9:36:39 PM UTC-6, Craig Markwardt wrote:

```
> On Friday, November 8, 2013 5:54:30 PM UTC-5, spl...@gmail.com wrote:
>
>> Hi,
>
>>
>
>>
>
```

```

>>
>
>> I'm a little confused by the following:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> IDL> print, 1200 MOD 0.1
>
>>
>
>> 0.0999821
>
>>
>
>> IDL> print, (1200 * 10.0) MOD (0.1 * 10)
>
>>
>
>> 0.00000
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> I expected this to be 0 either way. What am I missing?
>
>
>
> See David's post.
>
> Then try the same experiment with double precision everywhere instead.
>
>
>
> IDL> print, 1200d MOD 0.1d
>
>
>
> IDL> print, (1200d * 10d) MOD (0.1d * 10d)
>

```

Thank you both for these pointers. I didn't expect the difference to be so large due to these

numerical representation issues. So what is the canonical way to guard against this?

Seb

Subject: Re: modulo operator

Posted by [tom.grydeland](#) on Sun, 10 Nov 2013 22:05:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Saturday, November 9, 2013 4:53:14 PM UTC+1, Sebastian Luque wrote:

> Thank you both for these pointers. I didn't expect the difference to be so large due to these numerical representation issues. So what is the canonical way to guard against this?

If you want to work with an integral number of tenths, multiply by 10 and do your mod's in integer math before dividing by 10 again.

> Seb

--T
