## Subject: CONTINUE from function within loop Posted by splugue on Wed, 13 Nov 2013 05:19:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

I read the CATCH and ON\_ERROR help pages, but I can't see how to handle the following situation. Say we have a FOR loop where a function is called for each iteration:

```
FOR i=0, 10 DO BEGIN print, myfun(i) ENDFOR
```

I would like MYFUN to continue with the next iteration if it runs into an error. Naively, this should show what I'm looking for:

FUNCTION MYFUN, X

[BODY]

IF [condition] THEN BEGIN message, 'A problem with X', /informational CONTINUE ENDIF

[REST IF OK]

RETURN, [something]

**END** 

But, of course, CONTINUE only works within an FOR/ENDFOR block, not this way. How does one accomplish this?

Thanks, Seb

Subject: Re: CONTINUE from function within loop Posted by Craig Markwardt on Wed, 13 Nov 2013 05:40:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wednesday, November 13, 2013 12:19:43 AM UTC-5, Sebastian Luque wrote:

- > Hi,
- >
- >

> I read the CATCH and ON\_ERROR help pages, but I can't see how to handle the following

```
situation. Say we have a FOR loop where a function is called for each iteration:
>
>
 FOR i=0, 10 DO BEGIN
>
    print, myfun(i)
>
>
> ENDFOR
>
>
> I would like MYFUN to continue with the next iteration if it runs into an error. Naively, this
should show what I'm looking for:
>
 FUNCTION MYFUN, X
    [BODY]
>
     IF [condition] THEN BEGIN
>
       message, 'A problem with X', /informational
>
       CONTINUE
>
     ENDIF
>
     [REST IF OK]
>
     RETURN, [something]
>
> END
> But, of course, CONTINUE only works within an FOR/ENDFOR block, not this way. How does
one accomplish this?
```

You're right, because CONTINUE only works within the same lexical scope.

You could do this by putting a CATCH within your main loop, which will catch your MESSAGE within MYFUN. That's a little dangerous because it will catch \*every\* error though.

A better practice is to return a separate status variable MYFUN indicating success or failure, and have the main loop check the variable and decide what to do.

```
Something like this...
function myfun, x, status=status
 status = -1
 :: Failure case
 if [condition] then return, !values,d_nan
 [ rest of MYFUN ]
 ;; Success case
 status = 1
 return, [something]
end
```

```
for i = 0, 10 do begin
  y = myfun(x, status=status)
  if status LT 0 then continue
  print, y
endfor
Craig
```

Subject: Re: CONTINUE from function within loop Posted by Moritz Fischer on Wed, 13 Nov 2013 06:32:33 GMT

```
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
Hi.
If this was possible, it would make your myfun routine very hard to reuse.
Why don't you add a keyword to MYFUN?
Replace the loop by
%<-----
FOR i=0, 10 DO BEGIN
 tmp = myfun(i, FAILED=FAILED, MSG=MSG)
 IF FAILED THEN BEGIN
  message, MSG, /INFO
  CONTINUE
 ENDIF ELSE print, tmp
ENDFOR
%<-----
where
%<-----
FUNCTION MYFUN, X, FAILED = FAILED, MSG=MSG
 [BODY]
 FAILED = [condition]
 IF FAILED THEN BEGIN
  MSG = 'A \text{ problem with } X',
  RETURN, !NULL
 ENDIF
 [REST IF OK]
 RETURN, [something]
```

```
%<-----
But this does not work if MYFUN actually runs into an error (but only
if [condition] is true ) .
What's wrong with putting thte following into the FOR loop?
%<-----
CATCH, err
IF err NE 0 THEN BEGIN
 message, !error_state.message, /INFO
 CATCH. /cancel
 CONTINUE
ELSE print, myfun(i)
%<-----
I think (hope) this is the only way you can jump to another level.
cheers
Am 13.11.2013 06:19, schrieb Sebastian Luque:
> Hi,
>
> I read the CATCH and ON_ERROR help pages, but I can't see how to
> handle the following situation. Say we have a FOR loop where a
> function is called for each iteration:
> FOR i=0, 10 DO BEGIN print, myfun(i) ENDFOR
>
> I would like MYFUN to continue with the next iteration if it runs
> into an error. Naively, this should show what I'm looking for:
>
> FUNCTION MYFUN, X
>
> [BODY]
> IF [condition] THEN BEGIN message, 'A problem with X',
> /informational CONTINUE ENDIF
> [REST IF OK]
 RETURN, [something]
>
> END
> But, of course, CONTINUE only works within an FOR/ENDFOR block, not
> this way. How does one accomplish this?
>
```

**END** 

```
Subject: Re: CONTINUE from function within loop
Posted by spluque on Wed, 13 Nov 2013 15:21:37 GMT
```

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Tuesday, November 12, 2013 11:40:34 PM UTC-6, Craig Markwardt wrote:
> On Wednesday, November 13, 2013 12:19:43 AM UTC-5, Sebastian Luque wrote:
>> Hi,
>
>>
>
>>
>>
>
>> I read the CATCH and ON_ERROR help pages, but I can't see how to handle the following
situation. Say we have a FOR loop where a function is called for each iteration:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>> FOR i=0, 10 DO BEGIN
>>
>
      print, myfun(i)
>>
>
>>
>> ENDFOR
>
>>
>>
>
>>
>> I would like MYFUN to continue with the next iteration if it runs into an error. Naively, this
should show what I'm looking for:
>>
```

```
>>
>
>>
>> FUNCTION MYFUN, X
      [BODY]
>>
      IF [condition] THEN BEGIN
>>
         message, 'A problem with X', /informational
>
         CONTINUE
>>
      ENDIF
>>
      [REST IF OK]
>>
      RETURN, [something]
>>
>> END
>>
>> But, of course, CONTINUE only works within an FOR/ENDFOR block, not this way. How
does one accomplish this?
>
  You're right, because CONTINUE only works within the same lexical scope.
>
>
> You could do this by putting a CATCH within your main loop, which will catch your MESSAGE
within MYFUN. That's a little dangerous because it will catch *every* error though.
>
>
> A better practice is to return a separate status variable MYFUN indicating success or failure,
and have the main loop check the variable and decide what to do.
>
  Something like this...
> function myfun, x, status=status
>
```

```
status = -1
>
>
   ;; Failure case
   if [condition] then return, !values,d_nan
>
   [ rest of MYFUN ]
>
    ;; Success case
   status = 1
>
   return, [something]
>
>
> end
>
>
> for i = 0, 10 do begin
   y = myfun(x, status=status)
>
   if status LT 0 then continue
   print, y
> endfor
This is a very clean solution!
Thanks,
```

Seb