Subject: BVLS (NNLS) without loops? Posted by JP on Tue, 17 Dec 2013 06:32:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi IDLers,

I am currently using BVLS (http://www-astro.physics.ox.ac.uk/~mxc/idl/bvls.pro) for spectral unmixing. It works great, but, on one pixel at a time. I want to implement for many pixels without having to loop. Example below:

```
IDL> help, a
Α
          FLOAT
                    = Array[85, 3]
IDL> help, b
          FLOAT
В
                    = Array[85]
IDL> help, bnd
BND
            FLOAT
                     = Array[2, 3]
IDL> print, bnd
  0.000000
               1.00000
  0.000000
               1.00000
  0.000000
               1.00000
   bvls, A, B, BND, X_BVLS
IDL> help, x_bvls
```

```
IDL> help, x_bvls
X_BVLS FLOAT = Array[3]
```

in my example A is a vector of "endmembers" (85 spectral bands and 3 fractions), B is a pixel (vector of 85 bands), BND are bounds (don't go negative nor >1) and the X_BVLS are the estimated fractions returned for that pixel.

Now as you could imagine I have many many pixels (n) (my B is really a 2D array of [85, n]) and i've written a function which loops through n, but it gets very slow for large n.

Any ideas?

Thanks

```
Subject: Re: BVLS (NNLS) without loops?
Posted by Mike[5] on Tue, 17 Dec 2013 17:35:53 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message
```

Hi Juan Pablo,

From what I understand, your BVLS (Bounded-Variables Least-Squares) problems in every pixel are completely independent. They don't share the coefficients of the A array nor the B vector. The only similarity between the different problems is the size of the arrays.

If this is the case I don't see how one could speed-up the problem by some form of vectorization.

The only real solution would be for IDL to include a compiled BVLS routine in the core language. I had hoped for this to happen for some time, given that so much spectral analysis work has to solve this kind of problem.

So I take the opportunity of your message to suggest to Exelis to consider the inclusion of a compiled version of BVLS (http://www.netlib.org/lawson-hanson/all) in the core language.

```
Cheers,
  Michele
```

On Tuesday, December 17, 2013 6:32:00 AM UTC, JP wrote: > Hi IDLers,

> I am currently using BVLS (http://www-astro.physics.ox.ac.uk/~mxc/idl/bvls.pro) for spectral unmixing. It works great, but, on one pixel at a time. I want to implement for many pixels without having to loop. Example below:

```
>
>
 IDL> help, a
>
>
  Α
             FLOAT
                       = Array[85, 3]
>
>
  IDL> help, b
>
  В
            FLOAT
                       = Array[85]
>
  IDL> help, bnd
>
              FLOAT
 BND
                         = Array[2, 3]
>
>
  IDL> print, bnd
>
     0.000000
                  1.00000
>
>
>
     0.000000
                  1.00000
>
     0.000000
                  1.00000
>
>
>
>
>
>
      bvls, A, B, BND, X_BVLS
>
>
>
```

```
IDL> help, x_bvls
                 FLOAT
                           = Array[3]
> X BVLS
>
>
>
> in my example A is a vector of "endmembers" (85 spectral bands and 3 fractions), B is a pixel
(vector of 85 bands), BND are bounds (don't go negative nor >1) and the X BVLS are the
estimated fractions returned for that pixel.
> Now as you could imagine I have many many pixels (n) (my B is really a 2D array of [85, n])
and i've written a function which loops through n, but it gets very slow for large n.
>
>
>
  Any ideas?
>
>
> Thanks
```

Subject: Re: BVLS (NNLS) without loops? Posted by Mike[5] on Tue, 14 Jan 2014 15:42:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Juan Pablo,

I don't see any obvious way to speed up your problem.

However I take the opportunity to suggest the inclusion of a compiled version of BVLS (or NNLS) into a future version of IDL. I have been using that routine for years in a numbers of problems. I keep missing a faster built-in IDL version.

Cheers,

Michele

Subject: Re: BVLS (NNLS) without loops?

Posted by JP on Wed, 15 Jan 2014 01:19:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Thanks Michele

JΡ

```
On Wednesday, 15 January 2014 02:42:43 UTC+11, Mike wrote:
> Hi Juan Pablo,
>
>
>
> I don't see any obvious way to speed up your problem.
>
>
>
> However I take the opportunity to suggest the inclusion of a compiled version of BVLS (or
NNLS) into a future version of IDL. I have been using that routine for years in a numbers of
problems. I keep missing a faster built-in IDL version.
>
>
>
> Cheers,
>
>
>
    Michele
>
```