## Subject: Object Field Name Conflicts Posted by David Fanning on Sun, 26 Jan 2014 02:52:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Folks,

In building an object program recently I have a core object that inherits IDL\_CONTAINER, IDLitCOMPONENT, and IDL\_OBJECT objects. I don't know the field or tag names of \*any\* of these objects, which makes choosing names for my own object a bit of an adventure.

I've already run into conflict with \_parent, \_name, and \_uvalue. Of course, this is not surprising. Great minds think alike and all that. But, I wonder if there is a listing of the tag names these objects use?

It would be wonderful if the name space of objects could be protected, but failing that, it would be great if we knew what names we can't use.

Cheers.

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/

Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Object Field Name Conflicts
Posted by David Fanning on Sun, 26 Jan 2014 03:06:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

## David Fanning writes:

- > In building an object program recently I have a core object that
- > inherits IDL\_CONTAINER, IDLitCOMPONENT, and IDL\_OBJECT objects. I don't
- > know the field or tag names of \*any\* of these objects, which makes
- > choosing names for my own object a bit of an adventure.

>

- > I've already run into conflict with \_parent, \_name, and \_uvalue. Of
- > course, this is not surprising. Great minds think alike and all that.
- > But, I wonder if there is a listing of the tag names these objects use?

>

- > It would be wonderful if the name space of objects could be protected,
- > but failing that, it would be great if we knew what names we can't use.

You may be thinking, "Who cares!? I don't see why this is important."

Here is an example. Presumably the uvalue of one of these objects is whatever I want it to be. It certainly works that way. So, I guess it must be something that looks like a pointer. But, I want to have a user value that I can transfer data into without making an extra copy of the data. In other words, if I were going to put this in a pointer, I would do this:

```
uvalue = Ptr_New(bigData, /No_Copy)
```

Is this possible with the uvalue in IDLitComponent (where I suspect this is located)? If so, how would I do it?

Cheers.

David

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/

Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Object Field Name Conflicts Posted by Michael Galloy on Sun, 26 Jan 2014 03:29:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 1/25/14, 7:52 PM, David Fanning wrote:
```

> Folks,

>

- > In building an object program recently I have a core object that
- > inherits IDL CONTAINER, IDLitCOMPONENT, and IDL OBJECT objects. I don't
- > know the field or tag names of \*any\* of these objects, which makes
- > choosing names for my own object a bit of an adventure.

>

- > I've already run into conflict with parent, name, and uvalue. Of
- > course, this is not surprising. Great minds think alike and all that.
- But, I wonder if there is a listing of the tag names these objects use? >

>

- > It would be wonderful if the name space of objects could be protected,
- but failing that, it would be great if we knew what names we can't use. >

> Cheers,

> David

IDL> help, { idl\_object }

\*\* Structure IDL\_OBJECT, 3 tags, length=24, data length=20:

```
IDL OBJECT TOP LONG64
                                       0
   OBJ
              OBJREF
                        <NullObject>
 IDL_OBJECT_BOTTOM
          LONG64
                              0
IDL> help, { idl_container }
** Structure IDL_CONTAINER, 6 tags, length=32, data length=30:
  IDL CONTAINER TOP
                              0
          LONG64
 IDLCONTAINERVERSION
          INT
                    0
 PHEAD
              POINTER < Null Pointer>
 PTAIL
             POINTER < Null Pointer>
 NLIST
             LONG
                           0
 IDL_CONTAINER_BOTTOM
          LONG64
                              0
IDL> help, { idlitcomponent }
** Structure IDLITCOMPONENT, 14 tags, length=128, data length=122:
  IDLITCOMPONENT TOP
          LONG64
                              0
 IDLITCOMPONENTVERSION
          INT
 DESCRIPTION
                 STRING
 NAME
             STRING
 ICON
             STRING
 IDENTIFIER
               STRING
 HELP
             STRING
 TOOL
             OBJREF <NullObject>
 UVALUE
              POINTER < NullPointer>
                        <NullObject>
 PARENT
               OBJREF
  PROXY
              OBJREF
                        <NullObject>
 PROPERTYDESCRIPTORS
          OBJREF <NullObject>
  FLAGS
              LONG
 IDLITCOMPONENT_BOTTOM
          LONG64
                              0
Mike
Michael Galloy
www.michaelgalloy.com
Modern IDL: A Guide to IDL Programming (http://modernidl.idldev.com)
Research Mathematician
Tech-X Corporation
```

Subject: Re: Object Field Name Conflicts
Posted by David Fanning on Sun, 26 Jan 2014 05:28:42 GMT

Michael Galloy writes:

```
    IDL> help, { idl_object }
    ** Structure IDL_OBJECT, 3 tags, length=24, data length=20:
    IDL_OBJECT_TOP LONG64 0
    _OBJ__ OBJREF <NullObject>
    IDL_OBJECT_BOTTOM
    LONG64 0
```

Woohoo! Thanks.

Is this another example of operator overloading?

Cheers.

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/

Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Object Field Name Conflicts
Posted by Michael Galloy on Sun, 26 Jan 2014 15:33:18 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
David Fanning <news@idlcoyote.com> wrote: > Michael Galloy writes:
```

```
>
>>> help, { idl_object }
>> ** Structure IDL_OBJECT, 3 tags, length=24, data length=20:
     IDL OBJECT TOP LONG64
>>
       OBJ
                   OBJREF
                             <NullObject>
     IDL OBJECT BOTTOM
>>
              LONG64
                                    0
>>
> Woohoo! Thanks.
>
  Is this another example of operator overloading?
> Cheers,
> David
```

I don't believe so. IDL objects have always been a named structure

definition plus more.

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com Research Mathematician

**Tech-X Corporation** 

Subject: Re: Object Field Name Conflicts
Posted by David Fanning on Sun, 26 Jan 2014 15:41:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Michael Galloy writes:

```
>
  David Fanning <news@idlcoyote.com> wrote:
>> Michael Galloy writes:
>>
>>>> help, { idl_object }
>>> ** Structure IDL_OBJECT, 3 tags, length=24, data length=20:
       IDL OBJECT TOP LONG64
                                                  0
       OBJ
                     OBJREF
                                <NullObject>
>>>
       IDL OBJECT BOTTOM
>>>
                LONG64
                                       0
>>>
>>
>> Woohoo! Thanks.
>> Is this another example of operator overloading?
>>
>> Cheers,
>>
>> David
> I don't believe so. IDL objects have always been a named structure
> definition plus more.
I wonder if someone can check this for us in IDL 7, just to be sure.
Cheers,
David
David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/
Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
```

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Sunday, January 26, 2014 4:41:57 PM UTC+1, David Fanning wrote:

```
>>>> help, { idl_object }
>>> ** Structure IDL_OBJECT, 3 tags, length=24, data length=20:
        IDL_OBJECT_TOP LONG64
>>>>
                               <NullObject>
          OBJ
                     OBJREF
>>>>
        IDL_OBJECT_BOTTOM
>>>>
                LONG64
                                      0
>>>>
>
> I wonder if someone can check this for us in IDL 7, just to be sure.
IDL> print, !version
{ sparc sunos unix 5.3 Nov 11 1999}
IDL> help, {idl container}, /str
** Structure IDL_CONTAINER, 6 tags, length=32:
 IDL CONTAINER TOP
          DOUBLE =
                          0.0000000
 IDLCONTAINERVERSION
          INT
                 =
                       0
 PHEAD
              POINTER < NullPointer>
 PTAIL
             POINTER < Null Pointer>
 NLIST
             LONG
 IDL_CONTAINER_BOTTOM
          DOUBLE =
                          0.0000000
IDL>
(I think IDL OBJECT was introduced in IDL8).
regards,
Lajos
```

Subject: Re: Object Field Name Conflicts
Posted by David Fanning on Sun, 26 Jan 2014 16:09:29 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

fawltylanguage@gmail.com writes:

```
> On Sunday, January 26, 2014 4:41:57 PM UTC+1, David Fanning wrote: > >>> >> help, { idl_object } >>>> > ** Structure IDL_OBJECT, 3 tags, length=24, data length=20:
```

```
IDL OBJECT TOP LONG64
                                                  0
          OBJ
                       OBJREF
                                 <NullObject>
>>>>>
         IDL_OBJECT_BOTTOM
>>>> >
                  LONG64
                                        0
>>>> >
>>
>> I wonder if someone can check this for us in IDL 7, just to be sure.
> IDL> print, !version
> { sparc sunos unix 5.3 Nov 11 1999}
> IDL> help, {idl_container}, /str
> ** Structure IDL CONTAINER, 6 tags, length=32:
   IDL_CONTAINER_TOP
>
             DOUBLE =
                             0.0000000
>
   IDLCONTAINERVERSION
>
>
             INT
                    =
                          0
    PHEAD
                 POINTER < Null Pointer>
>
   PTAIL
                POINTER < NullPointer>
>
   NLIST
                LONG
>
                               0
   IDL CONTAINER BOTTOM
             DOUBLE =
                             0.0000000
>
> IDL>
> (I think IDL_OBJECT was introduced in IDL8).
Yikes! What you learn about IDL every day! :-)
Cheers,
David
David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/
Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")
Subject: Re: Object Field Name Conflicts
```

```
David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/
Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: Object Field Name Conflicts
Posted by Phillip Bitzer on Sun, 26 Jan 2014 21:28:26 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Sunday, January 26, 2014 10:07:19 AM UTC-6, fawltyl...@gmail.com wrote:
> > 
> (I think IDL_OBJECT was introduced in IDL8).
```

Yep: http://www.exelisvis.com/docs/IDL\_Object.html

On Sunday, January 26, 2014 10:09:29 AM UTC-6, David Fanning wrote:

> Yikes! What you learn about IDL every day! ;-) >

No kidding. It doesn't surprise me, but I definitely didn't know about the help, {obj\_name} to get the "tags" of an object.