Subject: How to create a say file for a system based on catalyst Posted by Jie Zhou on Mon, 03 Mar 2014 09:46:24 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I coded a system based on catalyst. And many class given in catalyst lib has been used. Now I try to create a sav file for my system. It seems that I should resolve all class defined in the catalyst and used in my system. Is there some other simple method to finish work? Cheers,

Jie

Subject: Re: How to create a sav file for a system based on catalyst Posted by David Fanning on Mon, 03 Mar 2014 13:14:28 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Jie Zhou writes:

> I coded a system based on catalyst. And many class given in catalyst lib has been used. Now I try to create a sav file for my system. It seems that I should resolve all class defined in the catalyst and used in my system. Is there some other simple method to finish work?

Yes, objects are always a problem. I always say that Resolve All resolves "almost all" routines, but it ignores objects. (I know about the CLASS keyword, but in practice that seldom helps me.)

What I do when I build a Catalyst application is to have Catalyst in one project and my application in other. Then I "build" both applications, use Resolve All after doing so, and hope for the best. Sometimes that works well. :-)

Cheers.

David

David Fanning, Ph.D. Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.idlcoyote.com/

Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: How to create a say file for a system based on catalyst Posted by Phillip Bitzer on Mon, 03 Mar 2014 16:21:17 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Monday, March 3, 2014 7:14:28 AM UTC-6, David Fanning wrote:

>

```
    Yes, objects are always a problem. I always say that Resolve_All
    resolves "almost all" routines, but it ignores objects. (I know about
    the CLASS keyword, but in practice that seldom helps me.)
```

Hmm. I find quite the opposite - class _does_ help me - although I'm not maintaing projects quite as extensive as yours.

To build my applications, I have a "make" file that I run (as a script) in a fresh session of IDL.

All (actually, most) of the routines are in one folder, so the make file starts with this:

```
files = FILE_SEARCH('path_to_dir/*.pro')
FOR i=0, N_ELEMENTS(files)-1 DO RESOLVE_ROUTINE, FILE_BASENAME(files[i], '.pro'),
/EITHER
```

There are some routines not picked up by this, including my generic object handlers (s/o to Mike Galloy), so I also have things like:

```
RESOLVE_ROUTINE, 'pmb_object_event_handler' RESOLVE_ROUTINE, 'pmb_object_cleanup'
```

Finally, like David said, objects (and structure definitions) are not picked up by RESOLVE_ROUTINE, so I have to include things like this too: RESOLVE_ALL, CLASS='cqCmdWindow', /CONTINUE

Finally, I have the line: SAVE, /ROUTINES, filename=save_filename

It's not quite as easy as the "Build Project," and it takes a little hand holding (when I add a new object, I have to remember to put it in the make file), but I find it gives me more flexibility and, more importantly, it works.

Subject: Re: How to create a sav file for a system based on catalyst Posted by Jie Zhou on Fri, 07 Mar 2014 08:46:24 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Monday, March 3, 2014 5:21:17 PM UTC+1, Phillip Bitzer wrote:

> On Monday, March 3, 2014 7:14:28 AM UTC-6, David Fanning wrote:

>
>
>
>
>
>
Yes, objects are always a problem. I always say that Resolve_All
```

```
>>
>
>> resolves "almost all" routines, but it ignores objects. (I know about
>>
>> the CLASS keyword, but in practice that seldom helps me.)
>
>>
>
>
> Hmm. I find quite the opposite - class _does_ help me - although I'm not maintaing projects
quite as extensive as yours.
>
  To build my applications, I have a "make" file that I run (as a script) in a fresh session of IDL.
>
>
  All (actually, most) of the routines are in one folder, so the make file starts with this:
>
>
>
>
  files = FILE_SEARCH('path_to_dir/*.pro')
> FOR i=0, N_ELEMENTS(files)-1 DO RESOLVE_ROUTINE, FILE_BASENAME(files[i], '.pro'),
/EITHER
>
> There are some routines not picked up by this, including my generic object handlers (s/o to
Mike Galloy), so I also have things like:
>
 RESOLVE_ROUTINE, 'pmb_object_event_handler'
 RESOLVE ROUTINE, 'pmb object cleanup'
>
>
> Finally, like David said, objects (and structure definitions) are not picked up by
RESOLVE ROUTINE, so I have to include things like this too:
  RESOLVE_ALL, CLASS='cgCmdWindow', /CONTINUE
>
>
>
```

>	Finally, I have the line:
>	
>	SAVE, /ROUTINES, filename=save_filename
>	
>	
>	

> It's not quite as easy as the "Build Project," and it takes a little hand holding (when I add a new object, I have to remember to put it in the make file), but I find it gives me more flexibility and, more importantly, it works.

That's similar as my solution! thanks.