
Subject: Multiplying very high with very low numbers: erfc * exp

Posted by [tho.siebert](#) on Thu, 03 Apr 2014 09:35:10 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

for my MCMC fitting program, I need to evaluate functions of the form (Gaussian with a one sided exponential tail towards lower x-values):

$$f(a,b,c,d) * \text{erfc}(g(a,b,c,d)) * \exp(h(a,b,c,d)) := X * Y * Z = F$$

where f,g and h are certain functions of the parameters a,b,c and d.

It almost always happens that the numbers of these three factors are like:

$$F = X * Y * Z = 1e2 * 1e-999 * 1e1000 = 1e3$$

Which is a big problem since 1e-999 is represented as 0 and 1e1000 is represented as infinity, thus the result being 0, infinity or nan, but definitely not 1e3.

As a work-around, I went to log-space such that:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \exp(\ln(F)) = \exp(\ln(X * Y * Z)) = \exp(\ln(X) + \ln(Y) + \ln(Z)) = \\ &= \exp(\ln(f(a,b,c,d)) + \ln(\text{erfc}(g(a,b,c,d))) + \ln(\exp(h(a,b,c,d)))) := \\ &:= \exp(Q + W + E) \end{aligned}$$

Q and E are no problem to evaluate since f() is just a rational function and $\ln(\exp(h()))$ is just h(). However, $W = \ln(\text{erfc}(g()))$ contains the same problem as above. If g() is far negative from 0, $\text{erfc}(g())$ is just 2 (and not e.g. $2 - 1e-99$). If g() is far positive from 0, $\text{erfc}(g())$ is just 0, returning W as -Inf (as $\text{erfc}(g())$ should actually be something like $1e-99$).

Now, I looked up several representations of the $\text{erfc}()$ function in order to build something like a lnerfc - function. I have chosen the $\text{erfc}()$ function in Numerical recipes, Chapter 6, Special Functions (around page 214) which is also given in Wikipedia at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Error_function#Numerical_approximation

This approximation has two major advantages:

- 1) It is represented as proportional to an exponential function, for which the ln can easily be calculated.
- 2) The fractional error is "everywhere less than $1.2e-7$ ".

Including all these work-arounds, $F = X * Y * Z$ can be calculated to a good enough precision (for me).

However (again), as you might already think of, it takes a while to calculate F. In a MCMC run, this function has to be evaluated over and over again. If there is more than one such a function present in my data (say N), I need to fit, i.e. evaluate something like:

$$\text{sum}(F_i, i=0..N)$$

over and over again (typically $N = 20..30$).

To put it in a nutshell:

I am looking for a speed-up to calculate $W = \ln(\operatorname{erfc}(g(a,b,c,d)))$.

I know that I can calculate the erfc - function by:

$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = 1 - \operatorname{sgn}(x) * \operatorname{igamma}(0.5, x^2)$

where igamma is the incomplete gamma-function.

Unfortunately, there is no $\operatorname{LNIGAMMA}$ - function in IDL, as for the complete gamma-function ($\operatorname{LNGAMMA}$). As this does not necessarily have to work good then because of the "1 -".

I hope you understand the problem and are not overwhelmed by this wall of text.

I appreciate any suggestions.

Cheers,

Thomas

Subject: Re: Multiplying very high with very low numbers: $\operatorname{erfc} * \exp$

Posted by [lecacheux.alain](#) on Thu, 03 Apr 2014 13:21:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thursday, April 3, 2014 11:35:10 AM UTC+2, tho.s...@gmail.com wrote:

> Hello,

>

> for my MCMC fitting program, I need to evaluate functions of the form (Gaussian with a one sided exponential tail towards lower x-values):

>

>

>

> $f(a,b,c,d) * \operatorname{erfc}(g(a,b,c,d)) * \exp(h(a,b,c,d)) := X * Y * Z = F$

>

>

>

> where f,g and h are certain functions of the parameters a,b,c and d.

>

>

>

> It almost always happens that the numbers of these three factors are like:

>

>

>

> $F = X * Y * Z = 1e2 * 1e-999 * 1e1000 = 1e3$

>

>

>

> Which is a big problem since $1e-999$ is represented as 0 and $1e1000$ is represented as infinity, thus the result being 0, infinity or nan, but definitely not $1e3$.

>

> As a work-around, I went to log-space such that:

```

>
>
>
> F = exp( ln(F) ) = exp( ln(X * Y * Z) ) = exp( ln(X) + ln(Y) + ln(Z) ) =
>
> = exp( ln(f(a,b,c,d)) + ln(erfc(g(a,b,c,d))) + ln(exp(h(a,b,c,d))) ) :=
>
> := exp(    Q    +    W    +    E    )
>
>
>
> Q and E are no problem to evaluate since f() is just a rational function and ln(exp(h())) is just
h().
>
> However, W = ln(erfc(g())) contains the same problem as above. If g() is far negative from 0,
erfc(g()) is just 2 (and not e.g. 2 - 1e-99). If g() is far positive from 0, erfc(g()) is just 0, returning W
as -Inf (as erfc(g()) should actually be something like 1e-99).
>
>
>
> Now, I looked up several representations of the erfc() function in order to build something like a
lnerfc - function. I have chosen the erfcc() function in Numerical recipes, Chapter 6, Special
Functions (around page 214) which is also given in Wikipedia at
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Error\_function#Numerical\_approximation
>
> This approximation has two major advantages:
>
> 1) It is represented as proportional to an exponential function, for which the ln can easily be
calculated.
>
> 2) The fractional error is "everywhere less than 1.2e-7".
>
>
>
> Including all these work-arounds, F = X * Y * Z can be calculated to a good enough precision
(for me).
>
>
>
> However (again), as you might already think of, it takes a while to calculate F. In a MCMC run,
this function has to be evaluated over and over again. If there is more than one such a function
present in my data (say N), I need to fit, i.e. evaluate something like:
>
>
>
> sum(F_i, i=0..N)
>
>

```

>
> over and over again (typically N = 20..30).
>
>
>
> To put it in a nutshell:
>
> I am looking for a speed-up to calculate $W = \ln(\text{erfc}(g(a,b,c,d)))$.
>
> I know that I can calculate the erfc - function by:
>
> $\text{erfc}(x) = 1 - \text{sgn}(x) * \text{igamma}(0.5, x^2)$
>
> where igamma is the incomplete gamma-function.
>
> Unfortunately, there is no LNIGAMMA - function in IDL, as for the complete gamma-function (LNGAMMA). As this does not necessarily have to work good then because of the "1 -".
>
>
>
> I hope you understand the problem and are not overwhelmed by this wall of text.
>
> I appreciate any suggestions.
>
>
>
> Cheers,
>
> Thomas

I am afraid that IDL will not be able to help you without some reformulation of your problem. In order to avoid underflow and overflow when computing each of your Y and Z functions, you have to find a derived or approximated expression for their product, which indeed is finite and of order about 10. You might for instance consider Rational Chebyshev approximations of $X*Y$, which are often used for computing the "erfcx" function (i.e. $\exp(x^2)*\text{erfc}(x)$), whose shape is not far from the one you are dealing with. Hoping this can help you.
alx.

Subject: Re: Multiplying very high with very low numbers: erfc * exp
Posted by [tho.siebert](#) on Wed, 16 Apr 2014 12:09:10 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thursday, April 3, 2014 3:21:31 PM UTC+2, alx wrote:
> On Thursday, April 3, 2014 11:35:10 AM UTC+2, tho.s...@gmail.com wrote:
>

```

>> Hello,
>
>>
>
>> for my MCMC fitting program, I need to evaluate functions of the form (Gaussian with a one
sided exponential tail towards lower x-values):
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> f(a,b,c,d) * erfc( g(a,b,c,d) ) * exp( h(a,b,c,d) ) := X * Y * Z = F
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> where f,g and h are certain functions of the parameters a,b,c and d.
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> It almost always happens that the numbers of these three factors are like:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> F = X * Y * Z = 1e2 * 1e-999 * 1e1000 = 1e3
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Which is a big problem since 1e-999 is represented as 0 and 1e1000 is represented as
infinity, thus the result being 0, infinity or nan, but definitely not 1e3.
>

```

```

>>
>
>> As a work-around, I went to log-space such that:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>  $F = \exp(\ln(F)) = \exp(\ln(X * Y * Z)) = \exp(\ln(X) + \ln(Y) + \ln(Z)) =$ 
>
>>
>
>>  $= \exp(\ln(f(a,b,c,d)) + \ln(\operatorname{erfc}(g(a,b,c,d))) + \ln(\exp(h(a,b,c,d)))) :=$ 
>
>>
>
>>  $:= \exp( \quad Q \quad + \quad W \quad + \quad E \quad )$ 
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Q and E are no problem to evaluate since f() is just a rational function and  $\ln(\exp(h()))$  is just h().
>
>>
>
>> However,  $W = \ln(\operatorname{erfc}(g()))$  contains the same problem as above. If g() is far negative from 0,  $\operatorname{erfc}(g())$  is just 2 (and not e.g.  $2 - 1e-99$ ). If g() is far positive from 0,  $\operatorname{erfc}(g())$  is just 0, returning W as -Inf (as  $\operatorname{erfc}(g())$  should actually be something like  $1e-99$ ).
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Now, I looked up several representations of the  $\operatorname{erfc}()$  function in order to build something like a  $\ln\operatorname{erfc}()$  - function. I have chosen the  $\operatorname{erfcc}()$  function in Numerical recipes, Chapter 6, Special Functions (around page 214) which is also given in Wikipedia at http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Error\_function#Numerical\_approximation
>
>>
>

```

```

>> This approximation has two major advantages:
>
>>
>
>> 1) It is represented as proportional to an exponential function, for which the ln can easily be
calculated.
>
>>
>
>> 2) The fractional error is "everywhere less than 1.2e-7".
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Including all these work-arounds,  $F = X * Y * Z$  can be calculated to a good enough precision
(for me).
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> However (again), as you might already think of, it takes a while to calculate F. In a MCMC run,
this function has to be evaluated over and over again. If there is more than one such a function
present in my data (say N), I need to fit, i.e. evaluate something like:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> sum(F_i, i=0..N)
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> over and over again (typically N = 20..30).
>
>>
>

```

```
>>
>
>>
>
>> To put it in a nutshell:
>
>>
>
>> I am looking for a speed-up to calculate  $W = \ln(\text{erfc}(g(a,b,c,d)))$ .
>
>>
>
>> I know that I can calculate the erfc - function by:
>
>>
>
>>  $\text{erfc}(x) = 1 - \text{sgn}(x) * \text{igamma}(0.5, x^2)$ 
>
>>
>
>> where igamma is the incomplete gamma-function.
>
>>
>
>> Unfortunately, there is no LNIGAMMA - function in IDL, as for the complete gamma-function
(LNGAMMA). As this does not necessarily have to work good then because of the "1 - ".
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> I hope you understand the problem and are not overwhelmed by this wall of text.
>
>>
>
>> I appreciate any suggestions.
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Cheers,
>
>>
```


>
 >> Thomas
 >
 >
 >
 > I am afraid that IDL will not be able to help you without some reformulation of your problem.
 >
 > In order to avoid underflow and overflow when computing each of your Y and Z functions, you have to find a derived or approximated expression for their product, which indeed is finite and of order about 10.
 >
 > You might for instance consider Rational Chebyshev approximations of $X*Y$, which are often used for computing the "erfcx" function (i.e. $\exp(x^2)*\text{erfc}(x)$), whose shape is not far from the one you are dealing with.
 >
 > Hoping this can help you.
 >
 > alx.

On Thursday, April 3, 2014 3:21:31 PM UTC+2, alx wrote:

> On Thursday, April 3, 2014 11:35:10 AM UTC+2, tho.s...@gmail.com wrote:

>
 >> Hello,
 >
 >>
 >
 >> for my MCMC fitting program, I need to evaluate functions of the form (Gaussian with a one sided exponential tail towards lower x-values):
 >
 >>
 >
 >>
 >
 >>
 >
 >> $f(a,b,c,d) * \text{erfc}(g(a,b,c,d)) * \exp(h(a,b,c,d)) := X * Y * Z = F$
 >
 >>
 >
 >>
 >
 >>
 >
 >> where f,g and h are certain functions of the parameters a,b,c and d.
 >
 >>

```

>
>>
>
>>
>
>> It almost always happens that the numbers of these three factors are like:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> F = X * Y * Z = 1e2 * 1e-999 * 1e1000 = 1e3
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Which is a big problem since 1e-999 is represented as 0 and 1e1000 is represented as
infinity, thus the result being 0, infinity or nan, but definitely not 1e3.
>
>>
>
>> As a work-around, I went to log-space such that:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> F = exp( ln(F) ) = exp( ln(X * Y * Z) ) = exp( ln(X) + ln(Y) + ln(Z) ) =
>
>>
>
>> = exp( ln(f(a,b,c,d)) + ln(erfc(g(a,b,c,d))) + ln(exp(h(a,b,c,d))) ) :=
>
>>
>
>> := exp(    Q    +    W    +    E    )
>
>>
>
>>
>

```

```

>>
>
>> Q and E are no problem to evaluate since f() is just a rational function and ln(exp(h())) is just
h().
>
>>
>
>> However, W = ln(erfc(g())) contains the same problem as above. If g() is far negative from 0,
erfc(g()) is just 2 (and not e.g. 2 - 1e-99). If g() is far positive from 0, erfc(g()) is just 0, returning W
as -Inf (as erfc(g()) should actually be something like 1e-99).
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Now, I looked up several representations of the erfc() function in order to build something like
a lnerfc - function. I have chosen the erfcc() function in Numerical recipes, Chapter 6, Special
Functions (around page 214) which is also given in Wikipedia at
http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Error_function#Numerical_approximation
>
>>
>
>> This approximation has two major advantages:
>
>>
>
>> 1) It is represented as proportional to an exponential function, for which the ln can easily be
calculated.
>
>>
>
>> 2) The fractional error is "everywhere less than 1.2e-7".
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Including all these work-arounds, F = X * Y * Z can be calculated to a good enough precision
(for me).
>
>>
>
>>
>

```

```

>>
>
>> However (again), as you might already think of, it takes a while to calculate F. In a MCMC run,
this function has to be evaluated over and over again. If there is more than one such a function
present in my data (say N), I need to fit, i.e. evaluate something like:
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> sum(F_i, i=0..N)
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> over and over again (typically N = 20..30).
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> To put it in a nutshell:
>
>>
>
>> I am looking for a speed-up to calculate  $W = \ln(\text{erfc}(g(a,b,c,d)))$ .
>
>>
>
>> I know that I can calculate the erfc - function by:
>
>>
>
>>  $\text{erfc}(x) = 1 - \text{sgn}(x) * \text{igamma}(0.5, x^2)$ 
>
>>
>
>> where igamma is the incomplete gamma-function.
>
>>
>

```

>> Unfortunately, there is no LNIGAMMA - function in IDL, as for the complete gamma-function (LNGAMMA). As this does not necessarily have to work good then because of the "1 - ".
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> I hope you understand the problem and are not overwhelmed by this wall of text.
>
>>
>
>> I appreciate any suggestions.
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>>
>
>> Cheers,
>
>>
>
>> Thomas
>
>
>
> I am afraid that IDL will not be able to help you without some reformulation of your problem.
>
> In order to avoid underflow and overflow when computing each of your Y and Z functions, you have to find a derived or approximated expression for their product, which indeed is finite and of order about 10.
>
> You might for instance consider Rational Chebyshev approximations of $X*Y$, which are often used for computing the "erfcx" function (i.e. $\exp(x^2)*\text{erfc}(x)$), whose shape is not far from the one you are dealing with.
>
> Hoping this can help you.
>
> alx.

Okay, since I already actually had a lnerfc function, but was too silly to make it work properly, i post my solution:

```

function lnerfc, x, y

  a = [-1.26551223d, 1.00002368d, 0.37409196d, 0.09678418d, -0.18628806d, 0.27886807d,
-1.13520398d, 1.48851587d, -0.82215223d, 0.17087277d]

  t = 1d / (1d + 0.5d * abs(x))

  tau = t * exp( -x*x + (a[0] + t * (a[1] + t * (a[2] + t * (a[3] + t * (a[4] + t * (a[5] + t * (a[6] + t * (a[7] + t
* (a[8] + t * a[9])))))))))))

  y = alog(t) + ( -x*x + (a[0] + t * (a[1] + t * (a[2] + t * (a[3] + t * (a[4] + t * (a[5] + t * (a[6] + t * (a[7] +
t * (a[8] + t * a[9])))))))))))

  lt0 = where(x lt 0d,/null)

  y[lt0] = y[lt0] + alog(2d / tau - 1d)

  return, y

end

```

It is again taken from Numerical recipes, Chapter 6.2, Special Functions, just translated to logarithm space. This is indeed based on Chebyshev fitting. Thanks alx!

Regards,
Thomas
