
Subject: where function not finding value

Posted by [mboggsk9](#) on Thu, 03 Apr 2014 17:44:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

I have a problem with a particular call to the 'where' function that I don't understand.

I have the following code snippet:

```
print, 'dncom.dt.julian is: ', dncom.dt.julian
print, 'dt.julian is: ', dt.julian

; end debug
i = where(dncom.dt.julian eq dt.julian, count) ; Find index of requested date & time
if count gt 0 then begin
    data = dncom(i)
    ; debug stuff
    print, 'the data ', data
endif else begin
    print, 'ncom_table.pro: desired or most recent time not found'
    retail
    return
endelse
```

and it says the requested value is not there everytime..

This is the output

```
dncom.dt.julian is:    95528.427    95528.438    95528.448
  95528.458    95528.469    95528.479    95528.490
  95528.500    95528.510    95528.521    95528.531
  95528.542    95528.552    95528.562    95528.573
  95528.583    95528.594    95528.604    95528.615
  95528.625    95528.635    95528.646    95528.656
  95528.667    95528.677    95528.688    95528.698
  95528.708    95528.719    95528.729    95528.740
  95528.750    95528.760    95528.771    95528.781
  95528.792    95528.802    95528.812    95528.823
  95528.833    95528.844    95528.854    95528.865
  95528.875    95528.885    95528.896    95528.906
  95528.917    95528.927    95528.938    95528.948
  95528.958    95528.969    95528.979    95528.990
  95529.000    95529.010    95529.021    95529.031
  95529.042    95529.052    95529.062    95529.073
  95529.083    95529.094    95529.104    95529.115
  95529.125    95529.135    95529.146    95529.156
  95529.167    95529.177    95529.188    95529.198
```

95529.208	95529.219	95529.229	95529.240
95529.250	95529.260	95529.271	95529.281
95529.292	95529.302	95529.312	95529.323
95529.333	95529.344	95529.354	95529.365
95529.375	95529.385	95529.396	95529.406
95529.417	95529.427	95529.438	95529.448
95529.458	95529.469	95529.479	95529.490
95529.500	95529.510	95529.521	95529.531
95529.542	95529.552	95529.562	95529.573
95529.583	95529.594	95529.604	95529.615
95529.625	95529.635	95529.646	95529.656
95529.667	95529.677	95529.688	95529.698
95529.708	95529.719	95529.729	95529.740
95529.750	95529.760	95529.771	95529.781
95529.792	95529.802	95529.812	95529.823
95529.833	95529.844	95529.854	95529.865
95529.875	95529.885	95529.896	95529.906
95529.917	95529.927	95529.938	95529.948
95529.958	95529.969	95529.979	95529.990
95530.000	95530.010	95530.021	95530.031
95530.042	95530.052	95530.062	95530.073
95530.083	95530.094	95530.104	95530.115
95530.125	95530.135	95530.146	95530.156
95530.167	95530.177	95530.188	95530.198
95530.208	95530.219	95530.229	95530.240
95530.250	95530.260	95530.271	95530.281
95530.292	95530.302	95530.312	95530.323
95530.333	95530.344	95530.354	95530.365
95530.375	95530.385	95530.396	95530.406
95530.417	95530.427		

dt.julian is: 95530.427
 ncom_table.pro: desired or most recent time not found

The value is there, It is the last value in the array.
 Any idea what is going on?

Subject: Re: where function not finding value
 Posted by on Thu, 03 Apr 2014 17:57:32 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Den torsdagen den 3:e april 2014 kl. 19:44:00 UTC+2 skrev Marsha Boggs:

>
 > The value is there, It is the last value in the array.
 >
 > Any idea what is going on?

Try printing the difference between that last value in the array and the value you are looking for. They probably differ in decimal four or later.

Subject: Re: where function not finding value
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Thu, 03 Apr 2014 18:29:57 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Mats Löfdahl writes:

```
>  
> Den torsdagen den 3:e april 2014 kl. 19:44:00 UTC+2 skrev Marsha Boggs:  
>>  
>> The value is there, It is the last value in the array.  
>>  
>> Any idea what is going on?  
>  
> Try printing the difference between that last value in the array and the value you are looking for.  
They probably differ in decimal four or later.
```

Or, my guess, you are running into the famous Where gotcha:

http://www.idlcoyote.com/misc_tips/noidea.html

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: where function not finding value
Posted by [Phillip Bitzer](#) on Thu, 03 Apr 2014 18:44:30 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thursday, April 3, 2014 12:57:32 PM UTC-5, Mats Löfdahl wrote:
> Try printing the difference between that last value in the array and the value you are looking for.
They probably differ in decimal four or later.

As a follow on, testing equality in floats is not a good idea. This goes under the "sky is falling" category:

http://www.idlcoyote.com/math_tips/sky_is_falling.html

<http://www.cygnum-software.com/papers/comparingfloats/comparingfloats.htm>

Subject: Re: where function not finding value

Posted by [mboggsk9](#) on Thu, 03 Apr 2014 21:15:49 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thanks for the feed back. I will review all of this and hope my solution is there. I am not a idl programmer (but will have to figure it out) I was tasked to port this code over from a HPUX platform (circa 1995) with wave 7.5 (where it works)to a new redhat linux platform with wave 10.0... where it doesn't. This is a large code with NO comments. But, now I know where to start looking. Thank you again.

Subject: Re: where function not finding value

Posted by [Jahvasc](#) on Tue, 10 Mar 2015 18:12:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi, guys,

I have a similar problem but I'm comparing intervals to avoid the float-precision problem. Still, I can't get the right answer...

I have a vector with 500 values ranging from 0.01 to 0.4 (0.01 to 0.1 by 0.01; 0.2 to 0.4 by 0.1). When I use the histogram function I get a certain number of counts for all intervals:

79	57	48	44	43	43	
30	43	31	34	25	14	9

i.e., the value 0.01 appears 79 times, the value 0.02, 57 times, etc.

However, when I use the where function, I get some "holes". This is the bit of the code I'm using:

```
mmod=[findgen(10)*0.01 + 0.01,findgen(3)*0.1 + 0.2]
massi=0.
for j=0,12 do begin
  a=where(mass gt massi and mass le mmod(j),count)
  print,massi,mmod(j),count
  massi=mmod(j)
endfor
```

In this case I get the following numbers:

0.00000	0.0100000	79
0.0100000	0.0200000	57

0.0200000	0.0300000	48
0.0300000	0.0400000	44
0.0400000	0.0500000	0
0.0500000	0.0600000	43
0.0600000	0.0700000	73
0.0700000	0.0800000	43
0.0800000	0.0900000	0
0.0900000	0.1000000	31
0.1000000	0.2000000	59
0.2000000	0.3000000	14
0.3000000	0.4000000	9

When I manually try, for example,

```
a=where(mass gt 0.04 and mass le 0.05,count)
print,count
```

I get count=43. What's is going on?

Thanks,

Jaqueline

On Thursday, April 3, 2014 at 7:44:00 PM UTC+2, Marsha Boggs wrote:

```
> Hello,
> I have a problem with a particular call to the 'where' function that I don't understand.
>
> I have the following code snippet:
>
>     print, 'dncom.dt.julian is: ', dncom.dt.julian
>     print, 'dt.julian is: ', dt.julian
>
>     ; end debug
>     i = where(dncom.dt.julian eq dt.julian, count) ; Find index of requested date & time
>     if count gt 0 then begin
>         data = dncom(i)
>         ; debug stuff
>         print, 'the data ',data
>     endif else begin
>         print, 'ncom_table.pro: desired or most recent time not found'
>         retall
>         return
>     endelse
>
>
> and it says the requested value is not there everytime..
>
> This is the output
```

```

>
> dncom.dt.julian is:      95528.427      95528.438      95528.448
>   95528.458      95528.469      95528.479      95528.490
>   95528.500      95528.510      95528.521      95528.531
>   95528.542      95528.552      95528.562      95528.573
>   95528.583      95528.594      95528.604      95528.615
>   95528.625      95528.635      95528.646      95528.656
>   95528.667      95528.677      95528.688      95528.698
>   95528.708      95528.719      95528.729      95528.740
>   95528.750      95528.760      95528.771      95528.781
>   95528.792      95528.802      95528.812      95528.823
>   95528.833      95528.844      95528.854      95528.865
>   95528.875      95528.885      95528.896      95528.906
>   95528.917      95528.927      95528.938      95528.948
>   95528.958      95528.969      95528.979      95528.990
>   95529.000      95529.010      95529.021      95529.031
>   95529.042      95529.052      95529.062      95529.073
>   95529.083      95529.094      95529.104      95529.115
>   95529.125      95529.135      95529.146      95529.156
>   95529.167      95529.177      95529.188      95529.198
>   95529.208      95529.219      95529.229      95529.240
>   95529.250      95529.260      95529.271      95529.281
>   95529.292      95529.302      95529.312      95529.323
>   95529.333      95529.344      95529.354      95529.365
>   95529.375      95529.385      95529.396      95529.406
>   95529.417      95529.427      95529.438      95529.448
>   95529.458      95529.469      95529.479      95529.490
>   95529.500      95529.510      95529.521      95529.531
>   95529.542      95529.552      95529.562      95529.573
>   95529.583      95529.594      95529.604      95529.615
>   95529.625      95529.635      95529.646      95529.656
>   95529.667      95529.677      95529.688      95529.698
>   95529.708      95529.719      95529.729      95529.740
>   95529.750      95529.760      95529.771      95529.781
>   95529.792      95529.802      95529.812      95529.823
>   95529.833      95529.844      95529.854      95529.865
>   95529.875      95529.885      95529.896      95529.906
>   95529.917      95529.927      95529.938      95529.948
>   95529.958      95529.969      95529.979      95529.990
>   95530.000      95530.010      95530.021      95530.031
>   95530.042      95530.052      95530.062      95530.073
>   95530.083      95530.094      95530.104      95530.115
>   95530.125      95530.135      95530.146      95530.156
>   95530.167      95530.177      95530.188      95530.198
>   95530.208      95530.219      95530.229      95530.240
>   95530.250      95530.260      95530.271      95530.281
>   95530.292      95530.302      95530.312      95530.323
>   95530.333      95530.344      95530.354      95530.365

```

```
> 95530.375 95530.385 95530.396 95530.406
> 95530.417 95530.427
>
> dt.julian is: 95530.427
> ncom_table.pro: desired or most recent time not found
>
>
> The value is there, It is the last value in the array.
> Any idea what is going on?
```

Subject: Re: where function not finding value

Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 10 Mar 2015 18:42:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jahvasc writes:

```
>
> However, when I use the where function, I get some "holes". This is the bit of the code I'm
using:
>
> mmod=[findgen(10)*0.01 + 0.01,findgen(3)*0.1 + 0.2]
> massi=0.
> for j=0,12 do begin
>   a=where(mass gt massi and mass le mmod(j),count)
>   print,massi,mmod(j),count
>   massi=mmod(j)
> endfor
>
> In this case I get the following numbers:
>
> 0.00000 0.0100000 79
> 0.0100000 0.0200000 57
> 0.0200000 0.0300000 48
> 0.0300000 0.0400000 44
> 0.0400000 0.0500000 0
> 0.0500000 0.0600000 43
> 0.0600000 0.0700000 73
> 0.0700000 0.0800000 43
> 0.0800000 0.0900000 0
> 0.0900000 0.100000 31
> 0.100000 0.200000 59
> 0.200000 0.300000 14
> 0.300000 0.400000 9
>
> When I manually try, for example,
>
> a=where(mass gt 0.04 and mass le 0.05,count)
```

```
> print,count
>
> I get count=43. What's is going on?
```

Given the evidence, I would say you are making incorrect assumptions about your data.

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.
Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>
Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: where function not finding value
Posted by chris_torrence@NOSPAM on Tue, 10 Mar 2015 19:01:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tuesday, March 10, 2015 at 12:12:46 PM UTC-6, Jahvasc wrote:

```
> Hi, guys,
>
> I have a similar problem but I'm comparing intervals to avoid the float-precision problem. Still, I
can't get the right answer...
>
> I have a vector with 500 values ranging from 0.01 to 0.4 (0.01 to 0.1 by 0.01; 0.2 to 0.4 by 0.1).
> When I use the histogram function I get a certain number of counts for all intervals:
>
>      79      57      48      44      43      43
>      30      43      31      34      25      14      9
>
> i.e., the value 0.01 appears 79 times, the value 0.02, 57 times, etc.
>
> However, when I use the where function, I get some "holes". This is the bit of the code I'm
using:
>
> mmod=[findgen(10)*0.01 + 0.01,findgen(3)*0.1 + 0.2]
> massi=0.
> for j=0,12 do begin
>   a=where(mass gt massi and mass le mmod(j),count)
>   print,massi,mmod(j),count
>   massi=mmod(j)
> endfor
```



```

>
> In this case I get the following numbers:
>
> 0.00000  0.0100000    79
> 0.0100000 0.0200000    57
> 0.0200000 0.0300000    48
> 0.0300000 0.0400000    44
> 0.0400000 0.0500000     0
> 0.0500000 0.0600000    43
> 0.0600000 0.0700000    73
> 0.0700000 0.0800000    43
> 0.0800000 0.0900000     0
> 0.0900000 0.100000    31
> 0.100000  0.200000    59
> 0.200000  0.300000    14
> 0.300000  0.400000     9
>
> When I manually try, for example,
>
> a=where(mass gt 0.04 and mass le 0.05,count)
> print,count
>
> I get count=43. What's is going on?
>

```

Hi Jaqueline,

I think you are running into issues with floating-point precision. On every computer platform (in any language), there are floating-point numbers which are not exactly representable. For example, in IDL, try:

```

IDL> print, 0.1, format='(f25.16)'
0.10000000014901161

```

If you use a number like 0.1, which isn't exactly representable, for math operations, and you then try to compare that to other numbers, you will get surprising results:

```

IDL> x = 0 & for i=0,99 do x = x + 0.1
IDL> print,x
10.0000
IDL> print,x eq 10
0
IDL> print,x, format='(f25.16)'
10.00000019073486330

```

This is just a limitation of doing floating-point math on a computer (nothing to do with IDL).

Hope this helps.

-Chris

Subject: Re: where function not finding value
Posted by [Jahvasc](#) on Tue, 10 Mar 2015 20:06:45 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

I'm sure about the data. Moreover, I would be glad to send them to you (or to whoever may want them) if you can/want to make your own tests. But my point is: why histogram and where functions give different results?

Subject: Re: where function not finding value
Posted by [Jahvasc](#) on Tue, 10 Mar 2015 20:15:16 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi, Chris, thank you for your answer. But it was to avoid this floating point problems that I'm using the intervals. Besides, why when I write down the interval (mass gt 0.04 and mass le 0.05) I got the the right answer?

Subject: Re: where function not finding value
Posted by [David Fanning](#) on Tue, 10 Mar 2015 20:15:25 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Jahvasc writes:

> I'm sure about the data. Moreover, I would be glad to send them to you (or to whoever may want them) if you can/want to make your own tests. But my point is: why histogram and where functions give different results?

Here is one reason:

http://idlcoyote.com/math_tips/razoredge.html

Cheers,

David

--

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting, Inc.

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.idlcoyote.com/>

Sepore ma de ni thue. ("Perhaps thou speakest truth.")

Subject: Re: where function not finding value

Posted by [Burch](#) on Tue, 10 Mar 2015 20:19:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tuesday, March 10, 2015 at 3:15:18 PM UTC-5, Jahvasc wrote:

> Hi, Chris, thank you for your answer. But it was to avoid this floating point problems that I'm using the intervals. Besides, why when I write down the interval (mass gt 0.04 and mass le 0.05) I got the the right answer?

Unfortunately you're not quite avoiding those issues. For instance, analogous to what you're doing try this

```
IDL> print, 0.05 le 4.0*0.01+0.01
0
```

```
IDL> print, 0.05 le 0.05
1
```

The first example *should* return 1 in a perfect world, but once you do mathematical operations (such as the multiplication and addition) you will introduce floating point arithmetic issues.

Subject: Re: where function not finding value

Posted by [chris_torrence@NOSPAM](#) on Wed, 11 Mar 2015 02:34:48 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tuesday, March 10, 2015 at 2:19:16 PM UTC-6, Jeff B wrote:

> On Tuesday, March 10, 2015 at 3:15:18 PM UTC-5, Jahvasc wrote:

>> Hi, Chris, thank you for your answer. But it was to avoid this floating point problems that I'm using the intervals. Besides, why when I write down the interval (mass gt 0.04 and mass le 0.05) I got the the right answer?

>
> Unfortunately you're not quite avoiding those issues. For instance, analogous to what you're doing try this

```
> IDL> print, 0.05 le 4.0*0.01+0.01
> 0
```

```
> IDL> print, 0.05 le 0.05
> 1
```

> The first example *should* return 1 in a perfect world, but once you do mathematical operations (such as the multiplication and addition) you will introduce floating point arithmetic issues.

One way to reduce (but not eliminate) these types of issues is to use double precision for all calculations:

```
IDL> print, 0.05d le 4*0.01d + 0.01d
1
```

Again, I can't stress enough that this is a problem with all languages. For example, in Python, if we use 32-bit floating-point numbers:

```
>>> import numpy as np
>>> f = np.float32
>>> f(0.05) <= 4*f(0.01) + f(0.01)
False
```

Cheers,
Chris

Subject: Re: where function not finding value
Posted by [Jahvasc](#) on Wed, 11 Mar 2015 09:16:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Thank you all for your replies.

As I told you, I am aware of the problems caused by floating point precision and I also know that is not related to IDL itself. However, what has astonished me was the fact that different functions or ways to address the problem in IDL produced such discrepant results.

Because of your help, I realised that the problem was in the way I was defining the intervals. In fact, they were too large. Then, I re-wrote the program introducing an `eps=1.e-3` value. The loop turned-out to be:

```
mmod=[findgen(10)*0.01+0.01,findgen(3)*0.1+0.2]
eps=1.d-3
for j=0,12 do begin
  a=where(massa ge mmod[j]-eps and massa le mmod[j]+eps,count)
  print,mmod(j),count
endfor
```

Now I get the right counts.
Thank you again!

Cheers,
Jaqueline

Subject: Re: where function not finding value
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Wed, 11 Mar 2015 14:06:43 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wednesday, March 11, 2015 at 5:16:47 AM UTC-4, Jahvasc wrote:
> Thank you all for your replies.

```

>
> As I told you, I am aware of the problems caused by floating point precision and I also know
that is not related to IDL itself. However, what has astonished me was the fact that different
functions or ways to address the problem in IDL produced such discrepant results.
>
> Because of your help, I realised that the problem was in the way I was defining the intervals. In
fact, they were too large. Then, I re-wrote the program introducing an eps=1.e-3 value. The loop
turned-out to be:
>
> mmod=[findgen(10)*0.01+0.01,findgen(3)*0.1+0.2]
> eps=1.d-3
> for j=0,12 do begin
>   a=where(massa ge mmod[j]-eps and massa le mmod[j]+eps,count)
>   print,mmod(j),count
> endfor
>
> Now I get the right counts.
> Thank you again!

```

Jacqueline, from your description of the problem, your samples are right at the edges of the bins. I guess you've found a way to do this, but it doesn't look pretty and using a WHERE() function inside a FOR loop, well, it's kind of wierd.

But one will always get strange results if one tries to histogram/bin data when the sample values fall exactly on bin edges. (believe me, I goofed on this for data from a multi-million dollar space mission)

There are lots of ways to solve this, but they all rely on moving the bin edges away from your data samples. These techniques will always work, not do not rely on having special knowledge of how the data is quantized.

```

;; With HISTOGRAM (bin edges start at 0.005)
hh = histogram(massa, min=0.005, max=0.405, binsize=0.010)

```

```

;; I guess these are where your values are sampled, right?
mmod = [0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.06, 0.07, 0.08, 0.09, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4]
;; Then put the edges just to the left, plus one edge on the right hand side
edges = [sample_vals - 0.005, 0.5]

```

```

;; With HISTOGRAM and VALUE_LOCATE
hh = histogram(value_locate(edges, massa), min=0, max=12)

```

```

;; With a loop, no WHERE
for i = 0, 12 do begin
  hh[i] = total(massa GE edges[i] AND massa LT edges[i+1])
endfor

```

```

;; OK, you really want a loop with WHERE?

```

```
for i = 0, 12 do begin
  wh = where(massa GE edges[i] AND massa LT edges[i+1], count)
  hh[i] = count
  print, mmod[i], hh[i]
endfor
```

The expression,

```
massa GE edges[i] AND massa LT edges[i+1]
```

does two things. Since EDGES[i+1] will be used as the right hand edge of the i-th bin, and then used again as the left edge of the (i+1)-th bin, one can never miss counts. There are **never** any holes due to floating point arithmetic. By the way, VALUE_LOCATE() does all this behind the scenes, that's what it was designed to do.

Also, look at the strategic use of GE on one bin edge, and LT on another bin edge. This guarantees that one sample can't fall into two bins, i.e. prevents double-counting.

Craig

Subject: Re: where function not finding value
Posted by [Phillip Bitzer](#) on Wed, 11 Mar 2015 16:24:11 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Yes, this is all about floating point.

But, there's another issue that's worth noting: your test where statement is incorrect.

Histograms are inclusive on the low end.

Let's remove the floating point business, and look at an example:

```
x = [0, 3, 5, 10, 11, 20]
h=histogram(x, BINSIZE=5, MIN=0)
print, h
....      2      1      2      0      1
```

We can use reverse indices and locations to see that, for example, 10 and 11 fall in the 10-15 bin. So, your where statement should look like what Craig has.
