
Subject: `_overloadMinus`: what to do with invalid input?
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Mon, 29 Dec 2014 18:34:19 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

I am overloading the "-" operator for various objects and I have a philosophical question about what to do when the objects do not match.

For example, should one return a FALSE result if the objects are not the same, e.g.

```
FUNCTION Cloud::_overloadMinus, left, right
  IF ( (~ ISA(left,'Cloud')) || (~ ISA(right,'Cloud')) ) THEN $
    RETURN, FALSE
```

giving:

```
IDL> q = fd_cloud[0] - 1
IDL> help, q
Q          INT      =      0
```

...or should one throw an error and halt, e.g.

```
FUNCTION Cloud::_overloadMinus, left, right
  IF ( (~ ISA(left,'Cloud')) || (~ ISA(right,'Cloud')) ) THEN $
    MESSAGE, 'Must supply two Cloud objects for subtraction'
```

leading to:

```
IDL> q = fd_cloud[0] - 1
% CLOUD::_OVERLOADMINUS: Must supply two Cloud objects for subtraction
```

Which is the more idiomatic for IDL?

cheers,

paulv

Subject: Re: `_overloadMinus`: what to do with invalid input?
Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Mon, 29 Dec 2014 19:41:23 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul van Delst <paul.vandelst@noaa.gov> wrote:

```

> Hello,
>
> I am overloading the "-" operator for various objects and I have a
> philosophical question about what to do when the objects do not match.
>
> For example, should one return a FALSE result if the objects are not the same, e.g.
>
> FUNCTION Cloud::_overloadMinus, left, right
>   IF ( (~ ISA(left,'Cloud')) || (~ ISA(right,'Cloud')) ) THEN $
>     RETURN, FALSE
>
> giving:
>
>> q = fd_cloud[0] - 1
>> help, q
> Q          INT      =      0
>
>
> ...or should one throw an error an halt, e.g.
>
>
> FUNCTION Cloud::_overloadMinus, left, right
>   IF ( (~ ISA(left,'Cloud')) || (~ ISA(right,'Cloud')) ) THEN $
>     MESSAGE, 'Must supply two Cloud objects for subtraction'
>
> leading to:
>
>> q = fd_cloud[0] - 1
> % CLOUD::_OVERLOADMINUS: Must supply two Cloud objects for subtraction
>
>
> Which is the more idiomatic for IDL?
>
> cheers,
>
> paulv

```

I would think an error and halting, like IDL would do if you tried to use an invalid operator with the native types. What happens if you try to add two pointers? (not in front of my computer right now)

Mike

--

www.michaelgalloy.com
 Research Mathematician
 Tech-X Corporation

Subject: Re: _overloadMinus: what to do with invalid input?

Posted by [penteado](#) on Mon, 29 Dec 2014 22:27:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Monday, December 29, 2014 5:41:49 PM UTC-2, Mike Galloy wrote:

> I would think an error and halting, like IDL would do if you tried to use
> an invalid operator with the native types. What happens if you try to add
> two pointers? (not in front of my computer right now)

To answer Mike's question:

```
IDL> a=ptr_new(1)
IDL> b=ptr_new(2)
IDL> c=a+b
% Operation illegal with pointer types.
% Execution halted at: $MAIN$
```

Regarding Paul's question, I would say that the answer depends on how one envisions the object's usage. If one decides it makes no sense to do the subtraction, like with pointers, it should throw an error. An error should also be raised, instead of returning a value, if such a return value could be confused with a valid result. For instance, taking IDL's list:

```
IDL> l=list(1,2)
IDL> l+3
% LIST::_OVERLOADPLUS: Arguments must both be lists.
% Execution halted at: $MAIN$
IDL> l-1
% Unable to convert variable to type object reference.
% Execution halted at: $MAIN$
```

It throws an error if I try to add or subtract an integer to a list. If it returned something, like !null, 0 or an empty list, such a return could be confused with something that is a valid result, obtained from different operations.

One could argue that adding/subtracting a scalar to a list should mean applying that operation to each element in the list, so that l-1 above should be equivalent to l.map(lambda(x:x-1)). But that is not what currently happens (as of IDL 8.4).

Subject: Re: _overloadMinus: what to do with invalid input?

Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Tue, 30 Dec 2014 14:58:57 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello,

On 12/29/14 17:27, Paulo Penteado wrote:

> On Monday, December 29, 2014 5:41:49 PM UTC-2, Mike Galloy wrote:
>> I would think an error and halting, like IDL would do if you tried to use

```
>> an invalid operator with the native types. What happens if you try to add
>> two pointers? (not in front of my computer right now)
>
> To answer Mike's question:
>
> IDL> a=ptr_new(1)
> IDL> b=ptr_new(2)
> IDL> c=a+b
> % Operation illegal with pointer types.
> % Execution halted at: $MAIN$
>
> Regarding Paul's question, I would say that the answer depends on how
> one envisions the object's usage. If one decides it makes no sense to
> do the subtraction, like with pointers, it should throw an error. An
> error should also be raised, instead of returning a value, if such a
> return value could be confused with a valid result. For instance,
> taking IDL's list:
>
> IDL> l=list(1,2)
> IDL> l+3
> % LIST::_OVERLOADPLUS: Arguments must both be lists.
> % Execution halted at: $MAIN$
```

Oh. That make sense. I guess I should have tested using objects too,
rather than intrinsic types.

An error it is.

Thanks guys.

cheers,

paulv
