Subject: Confused about image dimensions Posted by Helder Marchetto on Mon, 01 Jun 2015 15:27:10 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

maybe I'm missing something very basic...

Suppose I make an image with these commands:

w = window(dimension=[600,600])

i = image(dist(600), margin=0, image_dimensions=[100,100], current=w)

The idea is that the image has 600x600 pixels, but the units are 100x100.

So each pixel (device units) has a size of 6.

If I now change the data:

i.setData, dist(300)

It turns out that the "image does not change" (in appearance) and not the 300 pixel correspond to 100 units. So that the pixel has a size of 3 units.

Can I redefine, after the setData, the image_dimensions?

I did notice that the "image_dimensions" keyword is under the "Keywords are applied only during the initial creation of the graphic." part of the help. Just looking for a way around this.

Thanks, Helder

Subject: Re: Confused about image dimensions
Posted by Helder Marchetto on Mon, 01 Jun 2015 21:35:49 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Monday, June 1, 2015 at 9:18:36 PM UTC+2, alx wrote:

- > Le lundi 1 juin 2015 17:27:14 UTC+2, Helder a écrit :
- >> Hi.
- >> maybe I'm missing something very basic...
- >> Suppose I make an image with these commands:
- \rightarrow w = window(dimension=[600,600])
- >> i = image(dist(600), margin=0, image_dimensions=[100,100], current=w)

>>

- >> The idea is that the image has 600x600 pixels, but the units are 100x100.
- >> So each pixel (device units) has a size of 6.

>>

- >> If I now change the data:
- >> i.setData, dist(300)

>>

>> It turns out that the "image does not change" (in appearance) and not the 300 pixel correspond to 100 units. So that the pixel has a size of 3 units.

>>

>> Can I redefine, after the setData, the image dimensions?

>>

>> I did notice that the "image_dimensions" keyword is under the "Keywords are applied only during the initial creation of the graphic." part of the help. Just looking for a way around this.

>>

- >> Thanks.
- >> Helder

- > Keywords IMAGE DIMENSIONS and IMAGE LOCATION are meant for replacing X and Y vectors in case of a regularly sampled image, independently on the number of pixels in the image.
- > For example, given the array A[300,300].
- > i = image(A, IMAGE_DIMENSIONS=[100,150], IMAGE_LOCATION=[20,30])
- > means that the X-axis goes from 20 to 120 and Y-axis from 30 to 180.

> alx.

Thanks Alx.

Ok, so I think I got this. I need to use: i.setData, dist(300), xVector, yVector

I tried this and the result puzzled me quite a bit.

Lets start from looking at what I get when I print the image object (in my case i) after creation:

IDL> i = image(dist(600), margin=0, image_dimensions=[100,100], current=w)

IDL> i

SCALE CENTER = 50.00000050.000000 XRANGE = 0.00000000100.00000 YRANGE = 0.00000000100.00000

I only left the relevant results above.

If I use dist(300) I get exactly the same result.

If I then insert a image using two vectors x and y, here is what I get:

IDL> i.setData, dist(300), cgscalevector(findgen(300),0,100, /double), cgscalevector(findgen(300),0,100, /double)

SCALE_CENTER = 50.167224 50.167224 XRANGE = 0.00000000100.33445 YRANGE = 0.00000000100.33445

Why is xrange not ending at 100? I would expect that because

IDL> min(cgscalevector(findgen(300),0,100, /double))

0.00000000000000000

IDL> max(cgscalevector(findgen(300),0,100, /double)) 100.000000000000000

In order to get the original result, I need this:

IDL> i.setData, dist(300), cgscalevector(findgen(300),0,99.0+2.0/3d, /double),

cgscalevector(findgen(300),0,99.0+2.0/3d, /double)

SCALE_CENTER = 50.000000 50.0000000 XRANGE = 0.00000000 100.000000 YRANGE = 0.00000000 100.00000

With:

IDL> max(cgscalevector(findgen(300),0,99.0+2.0/3d, /double)) 99.666666666666671

Where is this missing third coming from?

Thanks, Helder

PS: pardon my being pedantic...