Subject: Feature request: printing very long arrays
Posted by Helder Marchetto on Mon, 08 Jun 2015 12:48:44 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,
I don't know if this happens only to me, but sometimes while debugging I like to look at what's inside a variable. Most of the times I use the command:

help, variable

and sometimes

print, variable

However, sometimes I'm too eager to look at what's hidden under the name and I go directly for the print option. And if I'm so stupid to do that on array of say 4096 x 4096 elements... well it takes a while and the only way to stop this useless overflow of data is to kill the IDL process.

Is there a chance we a print command that looks like this:

```
IDL> print, veryBigVariable
[      0       1       ...  999998  999999]
```

and

```
IDL> print, veryBigVariable, /fullPrint
      0      1      2      3      4      5      6      7      8      9     10     11     12     13     14     15
16     17     18     19     20     21     22     23     24     25     26     27     28     29     30     31
  32
     33     34     35     36     37     38     39     40     41     42     43     44     45     46     47
48     49     50     51     52     53     54     55     56     57     58     59     60     61     62     63
  64     65
....
```

well you got the point.

Any chance of this showing up in the future?

Cheers,
Helder

Subject: Re: Feature request: printing very long arrays
Posted by optiksguy on Tue, 09 Jun 2015 13:43:31 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Monday, June 8, 2015 at 8:48:47 AM UTC-4, Helder wrote:
> Hi,
> I don't know if this happens only to me, but sometimes while debugging I like to look at what's

inside a variable. Most of the times I use the command:
>
> help, variable
>
> and sometimes
>
> print, variable
>
> However, sometimes I'm too eager to look at what's hidden under the name and I go directly for the print option. And if I'm so stupid to do that on array of say 4096 x 4096 elements... well it takes a while and the only way to stop this useless overflow of data is to kill the IDL process.
>
> Is there a chance we a print command that looks like this:
>
> IDL> print, veryBigVariable
> [0 1 ... 999998 999999]
>
> and
> IDL> print, veryBigVariable, /fullPrint
> 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
31 32
> 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62
63 64 65
> ....
>
> well you got the point.
>
> Any chance of this showing up in the future?
>
> Cheers,
> Helder

+1 to this request, as I have made the same mistake too many times to count. I would guess there are backwards compatibility issues here though.

John

Subject: Re: Feature request: printing very long arrays
Posted by Lajos Foldy on Tue, 09 Jun 2015 15:57:19 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tuesday, June 9, 2015 at 3:43:33 PM UTC+2, john.c...@gmail.com wrote:
> On Monday, June 8, 2015 at 8:48:47 AM UTC-4, Helder wrote:
>> Hi,

>> I don't know if this happens only to me, but sometimes while debugging I like to look at what's inside a variable. Most of the times I use the command:
>>
>> help, variable
>>
>> and sometimes
>>
>> print, variable
>>
>> However, sometimes I'm too eager to look at what's hidden under the name and I go directly for the print option. And if I'm so stupid to do that on array of say 4096 x 4096 elements... well it takes a while and the only way to stop this useless overflow of data is to kill the IDL process.
>>
>> Is there a chance we a print command that looks like this:
>>
>> IDL> print, veryBigVariable
>> [0 1 ... 999998 999999]
>>
>> and
>> IDL> print, veryBigVariable, /fullPrint
>> 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
31 32
>> 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62
63 64 65
>> ....
>>
>> well you got the point.
>>
>> Any chance of this showing up in the future?
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Helder
>
>
> +1 to this request, as I have made the same mistake too many times to count. I would guess there are backwards compatibility issues here though.
>
> John

You can write your own print procedure, something like:

```
pro myprint, x, fullprint=full
help, x
n=n_elements(x)
if n le 10 or keyword_set(full) then print, x $
else print, x[0:4], '...', x[n-5:n-1]
```

end

regards,
Lajos

Subject: Re: Feature request: printing very long arrays
Posted by wlandsman on Tue, 09 Jun 2015 17:03:20 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Monday, June 8, 2015 at 8:48:47 AM UTC-4, Helder wrote:

> However, sometimes I'm too eager to look at what's hidden under the name and I go directly for the print option. And if I'm so stupid to do that on array of say 4096 x 4096 elements... well it takes a while and the only way to stop this useless overflow of data is to kill the IDL process.

You should be able to interrupt the display with Control^C without killing the IDL process.

At the terminal, I still like to use the MORE capability, and instead of PRINT I use a procedure that tests for the value of !MORE, e.g.

http://idlastro.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftp/pro/misc/forprint.pro

But this doesn't work from the IDL workbench.

Subject: Re: Feature request: printing very long arrays
Posted by Helder Marchetto on Wed, 10 Jun 2015 07:56:13 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tuesday, June 9, 2015 at 5:57:20 PM UTC+2, fawltyl...@gmail.com wrote:
> On Tuesday, June 9, 2015 at 3:43:33 PM UTC+2, john.c...@gmail.com wrote:
>> On Monday, June 8, 2015 at 8:48:47 AM UTC-4, Helder wrote:
>>> Hi,
>>> I don't know if this happens only to me, but sometimes while debugging I like to look at what's inside a variable. Most of the times I use the command:
>>>
>>> help, variable
>>>
>>> and sometimes
>>>
>>> print, variable
>>>
>>> However, sometimes I'm too eager to look at what's hidden under the name and I go directly for the print option. And if I'm so stupid to do that on array of say 4096 x 4096 elements... well it takes a while and the only way to stop this useless overflow of data is to kill the IDL process.
>>>

>>> Is there a chance we a print command that looks like this:
>>>
>>> IDL> print, veryBigVariable
>>> [0 1 ... 999998 999999]
>>>
>>> and
>>> IDL> print, veryBigVariable, /fullPrint
>>> 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
31 32
>>> 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46
47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62
63 64 65
>>> ....
>>>
>>> well you got the point.
>>>
>>> Any chance of this showing up in the future?
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> Helder
>>
>>
>> +1 to this request, as I have made the same mistake too many times to count. I would guess there are backwards compatibility issues here though.
>>
>> John
>
> You can write your own print procedure, something like:
>
> pro myprint, x, fullprint=full
> help, x
> n=n_elements(x)
> if n le 10 or keyword_set(full) then print, x $
> else print, x[0:4], '...', x[n-5:n-1]
> end
>
> regards,
> Lajos

Thanks Lajos,
I didn't think of that easy solution... Just made my "p" (=print) like this:

```
pro p, inVar, fullprint=fullprint
n=size(inVar)
if n[-1] eq 0 then print, 'variable undefined' $
else begin
  if n[-1] le 10 || keyword_set(fullprint) then print, inVar $
```

```
  else begin
    if n[0] eq 1 then f = '(i0)' $
            else f = '('+strtrim(n[0]-1,2)+'(i0,","),'+'(i0))'
    print, 'variable has '+strtrim(n[0],2)+' dimensions with ('+string(n[1:-3], format=f)+') elements
and a total of '+strtrim(n[-1],2)+' elements'
    print, inVar[0:1], '...', inVar[n[-1]-2:n[-1]-1]
  endelse
endelse
end
```

Not very elegant, but does the job.

Cheers,
Helder

Subject: Re: Feature request: printing very long arrays
Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Tue, 23 Jun 2015 18:22:12 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

I do this a lot too but my approach is:

```
IDL> verybigvariable=dindgen(1000000)
IDL> print, verybigvariable[0:10]
      0.0000000      1.0000000      2.0000000      3.0000000
      4.0000000      5.0000000      6.0000000      7.0000000
      8.0000000      9.0000000      10.000000
IDL> print, verybigvariable[-10:-1]
      999990.00      999991.00      999992.00      999993.00
      999994.00      999995.00      999996.00      999997.00
      999998.00      999999.00
```

That seems a lot simpler than requesting/supplying a keyword for a PRINT statement.

What if you want to look at the middle part of the array, e.g.

```
IDL> n=n_elements(verybigvariable)
IDL> print, verybigvariable[n/2-5:n/2+5]
```

What would the PRINT keyword be?

```
IDL> print, veryBigVariable, /TruncatedPrint, $
          Location="middle", NumberToPrint=20
```

(ha ha)

Why not write you own "Inspect" procedure to implement this type of
thing? Then simply teach yourself to type "Inspect" rather than "Print",

IDL> Inspect, verybigvariable

?

cheers,

paulv

On 06/08/15 08:48, Helder wrote:
> Hi, I don't know if this happens only to me, but sometimes while
> debugging I like to look at what's inside a variable. Most of the
> times I use the command:
>
> help, variable
>
> and sometimes
>
> print, variable
>
> However, sometimes I'm too eager to look at what's hidden under the
> name and I go directly for the print option. And if I'm so stupid to
> do that on array of say 4096 x 4096 elements... well it takes a while
> and the only way to stop this useless overflow of data is to kill the
> IDL process.
>
> Is there a chance we a print command that looks like this:
>
> IDL> print, veryBigVariable [0 1 ... 999998
> 999999]
>
> and IDL> print, veryBigVariable, /fullPrint 0 1 2 3
> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
> 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
> 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
> 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40
> 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49
> 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58
> 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 ....
>
> well you got the point.
>
> Any chance of this showing up in the future?
>
> Cheers, Helder

>

Subject: Re: Feature request: printing very long arrays
Posted by Helder Marchetto on Wed, 24 Jun 2015 11:13:18 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tuesday, June 23, 2015 at 8:22:15 PM UTC+2, Paul van Delst wrote:
> Hello,
>
> I do this a lot too but my approach is:
>
> IDL> verybigvariable=dindgen(1000000)
> IDL> print, verybigvariable[0:10]
> 0.0000000 1.0000000 2.0000000 3.0000000
> 4.0000000 5.0000000 6.0000000 7.0000000
> 8.0000000 9.0000000 10.000000
> IDL> print, verybigvariable[-10:-1]
> 999990.00 999991.00 999992.00 999993.00
> 999994.00 999995.00 999996.00 999997.00
> 999998.00 999999.00
>
> That seems a lot simpler than requesting/supplying a keyword for a PRINT
> statement.
>
> What if you want to look at the middle part of the array, e.g.
>
> IDL> n=n_elements(verybigvariable)
> IDL> print, verybigvariable[n/2-5:n/2+5]
>
> What would the PRINT keyword be?
>
> IDL> print, veryBigVariable, /TruncatedPrint, $
> Location="middle", NumberToPrint=20
>
> (ha ha)
>
> Why not write you own "Inspect" procedure to implement this type of
> thing? Then simply teach yourself to type "Inspect" rather than "Print",
>
> IDL> Inspect, verybigvariable
>
> ?
>
> cheers,
>
> paulv
>

> On 06/08/15 08:48, Helder wrote:
>> Hi, I don't know if this happens only to me, but sometimes while
>> debugging I like to look at what's inside a variable. Most of the
>> times I use the command:
>>
>> help, variable
>>
>> and sometimes
>>
>> print, variable
>>
>> However, sometimes I'm too eager to look at what's hidden under the
>> name and I go directly for the print option. And if I'm so stupid to
>> do that on array of say 4096 x 4096 elements... well it takes a while
>> and the only way to stop this useless overflow of data is to kill the
>> IDL process.
>>
>> Is there a chance we a print command that looks like this:
>>
>> IDL> print, veryBigVariable [0 1 ... 999998
>> 999999]
>>
>> and IDL> print, veryBigVariable, /fullPrint 0 1 2 3
>> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
>> 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
>> 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30
>> 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40
>> 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49
>> 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58
>> 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 ....
>>
>> well you got the point.
>>
>> Any chance of this showing up in the future?
>>
>> Cheers, Helder
>>

Hi Paul,
thanks for the heads up. I wrote down this procedure and called it p. It works pretty well for now.
The reason I did this in the first place, was to avoid

help, unknownVar
print, unknownVar[0:10]

Your approach works only if you know that it has "at least" 11 parameters.
try
a = 0

print, a[0:10]

So that's why I don't want to use the a completely different print pro.
It seems like modifying the print pro would have tooooooo many consequences.

Cheers,
Helder
