

---

Subject: Plotting one point per loop  
Posted by [wdolan](#) on Wed, 08 Jul 2015 17:32:25 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

\*new user here!

So I have a set of scans that make up one 'run', and I have a loop that calculates a certain value for each scan. I want to plot that value against the longitude of the scan, so that at the end I get a graph that plots the value vs. the longitude on one graph for all the scans in that run. If you need clarification let me know!

I've got the variables figured out, but it doesn't want to let me plot a singular point on a graph, and then loop over it and plot more singular points on that same graph. I've tried just the plots command, with no luck.

Thanks for the help!

---

---

Subject: Re: Plotting one point per loop  
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Wed, 08 Jul 2015 17:46:29 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hello,

On 07/08/15 13:32, wdolan@oxy.edu wrote:

> \*new user here!  
>  
> So I have a set of scans that make up one 'run', and I have a loop  
> that calculates a certain value for each scan. I want to plot that  
> value against the longitude of the scan, so that at the end I get a  
> graph that plots the value vs. the longitude on one graph for all the  
> scans in that run. If you need clarification let me know!  
>  
> I've got the variables figured out, but it doesn't want to let me  
> plot a singular point on a graph, and then loop over it and plot more  
> singular points on that same graph. I've tried just the plots  
> command, with no luck.

Direct or function graphics?

Either way, why not just do a single plot of all the points at the end of a 'run', rather than as you go for each scan? That is, one mondo plot outside the scan loop.

That way you don't have to keep track of the plot window, plot bounds, etc etc..

And it'll prolly be faster too.

cheers,

paulv

---

---

Subject: Re: Plotting one point per loop

Posted by [wdolan](#) on Wed, 08 Jul 2015 17:52:28 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi Paul,

It is set to plot to a postscript file. That seems like a good idea, but I am not sure how to store those values until the end. Because if I did an array, I would have to specify a size, and each run has a different number of scans.

Thanks,

Wayana

On Wednesday, July 8, 2015 at 10:46:56 AM UTC-7, Paul van Delst wrote:

> Hello,

>

> On 07/08/15 13:32, wdolan@oxy.edu wrote:

>> \*new user here!

>>

>> So I have a set of scans that make up one 'run', and I have a loop  
>> that calculates a certain value for each scan. I want to plot that  
>> value against the longitude of the scan, so that at the end I get a  
>> graph that plots the value vs. the longitude on one graph for all the  
>> scans in that run. If you need clarification let me know!

>>

>> I've got the variables figured out, but it doesn't want to let me  
>> plot a singular point on a graph, and then loop over it and plot more  
>> singular points on that same graph. I've tried just the plots  
>> command, with no luck.

>

> Direct or function graphics?

>

> Either way, why not just do a single plot of all the points at the end  
> of a 'run', rather than as you go for each scan? That is, one mondo plot  
> outside the scan loop.

>

> That way you don't have to keep track of the plot window, plot bounds,  
> etc etc..

>

> And it'll prolly be faster too.

>  
> cheers,  
>  
> paulv

---

---

Subject: Re: Plotting one point per loop  
Posted by [Craig Markwardt](#) on Wed, 08 Jul 2015 18:15:53 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wednesday, July 8, 2015 at 1:32:28 PM UTC-4, wdo...@oxy.edu wrote:

> \*new user here!  
>  
> So I have a set of scans that make up one 'run', and I have a loop that calculates a certain value for each scan. I want to plot that value against the longitude of the scan, so that at the end I get a graph that plots the value vs. the longitude on one graph for all the scans in that run. If you need clarification let me know!  
>  
> I've got the variables figured out, but it doesn't want to let me plot a singular point on a graph, and then loop over it and plot more singular points on that same graph. I've tried just the plots command, with no luck.  
>  
> Thanks for the help!

```
;; Example longitude from 0 to 360, value from -100 to 100
PLOT, [0, 360], [-100,100], /nodata
for i = 0, Ndata-1 do begin
  ;; ... do whatever it takes to get your next point ...
  lon_i = ...blah blah blah...
  val_i = ...blah blah blah...
  OPLOT, [lon_i], [lat_i], psym=1
endfor
```

Done!

---

---

Subject: Re: Plotting one point per loop  
Posted by [Paul Van Delst\[1\]](#) on Wed, 08 Jul 2015 18:33:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 07/08/15 13:52, wdolan@oxy.edu wrote:

> Hi Paul,  
>  
> It is set to plot to a postscript file. That seems like a good idea,  
> but I am not sure how to store those values until the end. Because if  
> I did an array, I would have to specify a size, and each run has a  
> different number of scans.

Fair enough.

Craig answered your actual question (thank goodness! :o). I went off on a tangent about efficient ways to increase array sizes when you don't know the final size, so that you can then plot (mostly because you said you were a new user :o)

Keep reading only for sh\*ts and giggles.

As an exercise (my actual work today is a bit repetitive) I came up with the code way down below. When I run it I get the following:

```
IDL> .run blah.pro
% Compiled module: $MAIN$.
% Time elapsed: 16.238165 seconds.
X          FLOAT    = Array[300000]
% Time elapsed: 0.20202398 seconds.
X          FLOAT    = Array[300000]
```

The doubling method is pretty efficient. Way better than simple concatenation. If you increase the number of scans to 1000000 then the first method will be done sometime tomorrow....

```
; The (generally unknown) number of scans for this example
n_scans = 300000L
```

```
; METHOD #1: CONTINUALLY CONCATENATE ONTO ARRAY
```

```
; Specify an empty array
x = []
```

```
; Loop over your (unknown) number of scans
scan_count = 0
tic
repeat begin
    ; Keep track of the scan count
    scan_count++
    ; Accumulate an array of numbers to plot
    x = [x,randomn(seed,1)]
endrep until scan_count eq n_scans
toc
help, x
```

```
; METHOD #2: DOUBLE SIZE OF ARRAY AS NEEDED  
; (HAM FISTED CODE, BUT YOU GET THE IDEA)
```

```
; Specify an empty array and initial size
```

```
n_size = 10000L
```

```
x = fltarr(1000)
```

```
; Loop over your (unknown) number of scans
```

```
scan_count = 0
```

```
tic
```

```
repeat begin
```

```
  ; Keep track of the scan count
```

```
  scan_count++
```

```
  ; Double array size if necessary
```

```
  if ( n_elements(x) lt scan_count ) then begin
```

```
    n = n_elements(x)
```

```
    x = [temporary(x),fltarr(n)]
```

```
  endif
```

```
  ; Insert value into array
```

```
  x[scan_count-1] = randomn(seed,1)
```

```
endrep until scan_count eq n_scans
```

```
; Truncate array as necessary
```

```
x = x[0:scan_count-1]
```

```
toc
```

```
help, x
```

```
end
```

cheers,

paulv

---

Subject: Re: Plotting one point per loop

Posted by [wdolan](#) on Wed, 08 Jul 2015 20:13:38 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oh man, thank you both! Problem solved :)

On Wednesday, July 8, 2015 at 10:32:28 AM UTC-7, wdo...@oxy.edu wrote:

> \*new user here!

>

> So I have a set of scans that make up one 'run', and I have a loop that calculates a certain value for each scan. I want to plot that value against the longitude of the scan, so that at the end I get a graph that plots the value vs. the longitude on one graph for all the scans in that run. If you

need clarification let me know!

>

> I've got the variables figured out, but it doesn't want to let me plot a singular point on a graph, and then loop over it and plot more singular points on that same graph. I've tried just the plots command, with no luck.

>

> Thanks for the help!

---