Subject: IDL-69024 bug fixed in v8.4?
Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Tue, 28 Jul 2015 19:06:59 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

Once again, I'm tearing my hair out about the quality of function-graphics-generated PNG output (via Save method) versus the onscreen look.

Searching the idl newsgroup arhive I found the post where

<quote>
\$ export IDL_DISABLE_STROKED_LINES=1

The issue has been reported as IDL-69024. </quote>

would get around the issue (and it mostly does)

Does anyone know if this problem was fixed in v8.4? (I still have 8.3). Chris Torrance talked about a new ANTIALIAS keyword to the ::Save method in a post back in Feb2014 - the online v8.4.1 docs tells me it's available in the ::Save method....but does it do the job?

(I need a reason for an upgrade request)

Thanks for any info.

cheers,

paulv

Subject: Re: IDL-69024 bug fixed in v8.4? Posted by Helder Marchetto on Wed, 29 Jul 2015 07:45:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Paul,

I have 8.4.1. How can I reproduce your error/problem? I've put the results of David's procedure that can be found here: http://www.idlcoyote.com/gallery/additional_axes_plot_fg.pro

in here:

http://idl.marchetto.de/antialias-test/

I don't see any difference with or without the antialias.

Cheers, Helder

Subject: Re: IDL-69024 bug fixed in v8.4? Posted by chris_torrence@NOSPAM on Wed, 29 Jul 2015 20:49:14 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Tuesday, July 28, 2015 at 1:07:03 PM UTC-6, Paul van Delst wrote:
> Hello,
>
> Once again, I'm tearing my hair out about the quality of
> function-graphics-generated PNG output (via Save method) versus the
> onscreen look.
 Searching the idl newsgroup arhive I found the post where
>
> <quote>
> $ export IDL_DISABLE_STROKED_LINES=1
 The issue has been reported as IDL-69024.
> </quote>
> would get around the issue (and it mostly does)
>
> Does anyone know if this problem was fixed in v8.4? (I still have 8.3).
> Chris Torrance talked about a new ANTIALIAS keyword to the :: Save method
> in a post back in Feb2014 - the online v8.4.1 docs tells me it's
  available in the :: Save method....but does it do the job?
>
> (I need a reason for an upgrade request)
>
> Thanks for any info.
> cheers.
> paulv
Hi paulv,
```

This bug is not fixed. It turns out to be a very difficult problem. I would recommend using either the IDL_DISABLE_STROKED_LINES workaround, or perhaps playing arount with the ANTIALIAS keyword which was added for IDL 8.4.

-Chris

Subject: Re: IDL-69024 bug fixed in v8.4? Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Mon, 03 Aug 2015 13:42:52 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi Helder.

On 07/29/15 03:45, Helder wrote:

- > Hi Paul,
- > I have 8.4.1. How can I reproduce your error/problem?
- > I've put the results of David's procedure that can be found here:
- > http://www.idlcoyote.com/gallery/additional_axes_plot_fg.pro

>

- > in here:
- > http://idl.marchetto.de/antialias-test/

>

> I don't see any difference with or without the antialias.

>

> Is this different from what you get with 8.3?

Yes.

How it appears onscreen (via a screen capture) is shown here: ftp://ftp.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/jcsda/CRTM/.plots/atms_npp-12.on screen.png Lovely!

The FG output using the ::Save method is shown here: ftp://ftp.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/jcsda/CRTM/.plots/atms_npp-12.pn g Chunky!

The most obvious indications of the problem are the smooth sides of the plots - using ::Save they are noticeably pixelated. It reminds me of my early postgrad days when I was writing assembly code on my pokey 8088 PC-XT with a 640x512 screen to implement Brensenham's algorithm[*]

ChrisT answered my question re: the bug fix and, since you don't see any difference in your test when you use the Alias keyword, I guess it's time for me to ask for an upgrade.

Thanks,

paulv

[*] taken from Byte magazine! Gosh. Good times. :o)

Subject: Re: IDL-69024 bug fixed in v8.4?
Posted by Paul Van Delst[1] on Mon, 03 Aug 2015 13:44:12 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On 07/29/15 16:49, Chris Torrence wrote:
> On Tuesday, July 28, 2015 at 1:07:03 PM UTC-6, Paul van Delst wrote:
>>
>> <quote>
>> $ export IDL_DISABLE_STROKED_LINES=1
>>
>> The issue has been reported as IDL-69024.
>> </quote>
>>
>
> Hi paulv,
> This bug is not fixed. It turns out to be a very difficult problem.
> Iwould recommend using either the IDL_DISABLE_STROKED_LINES workaround,
> or perhaps playing arount with the ANTIALIAS keyword which was added for
> IDL 8.4.
O.k., thanks Chris.
cheers,
paulv
```