

---

Subject: LINFIT CHISQ and SIGMA values are correct??

Posted by [Krishnakumar M.A](#) on Tue, 04 Aug 2015 19:54:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Hi,

I was trying to do a linfit in the following data (I'm using IDL 6.3).

-----  
x = [150.0, 235.0, 325.0, 410.0, 610.0]  
y = [200.0, 35.0, 8.4, 3.0, 0.6]  
err = [25.0, 5.0, 2.1, 0.8, 0.2]

result = linfit(alog10(x),alog10(y),MEASURE\_ERRORS=alog10(err), CHISQ=chi,  
COVAR=covmatrix, SIGMA=error, YFIT=fit)

-----  
It gave me surprisingly odd values for CHISQ and SIGMA. The values are given below.

-----  
result  
    11.6899    -4.29070  
chisq  
    0.00799291  
sigma  
    4.32894    1.66352  
-----

Fit result looked good, but the values of sigma and chisq are way off. I believe that the chisq it gives is reduced chisq. Is there anything went wrong in the fitting procedure, or are there any issues with the linfit algorithm?

Please let me know whether I'm doing it right or not.

Thanks,  
Krishnakumar

---

---

Subject: Re: LINFIT CHISQ and SIGMA values are correct??

Posted by [wlandsman](#) on Wed, 05 Aug 2015 02:19:21 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You are giving linfit negative errors --  $\text{alog10}(0.2) = -0.69897$

If you use the absolute value of  $\text{alog10}(\text{err})$  you will get consistent results.

But probably it is better to do your logarithmic transformation correctly

if  $z = \text{alog10}(y)$  then  $dz = 0.434 \cdot dy/y$  (I think)

where  $dy$  is your original err and  $dz$  is your transformed err .

On Tuesday, August 4, 2015 at 3:54:39 PM UTC-4, Krishnakumar M.A wrote:

```
> Hi,  
>  
> I was trying to do a linfit in the following data (I'm using IDL 6.3).  
>  
> -----  
>  
> x  = [150.0, 235.0, 325.0, 410.0, 610.0]  
> y  = [200.0, 35.0, 8.4, 3.0, 0.6]  
> err = [25.0, 5.0, 2.1, 0.8, 0.2]  
>  
> result = linfit(alog10(x),alog10(y),MEASURE_ERRORS=alog10(err), CHISQ=chi,  
COVAR=covmatrix, SIGMA=error, YFIT=fit)
```

---

---

Subject: Re: LINFIT CHISQ and SIGMA values are correct??  
Posted by [Krishnakumar M.A](#) on Wed, 05 Aug 2015 07:07:59 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wednesday, August 5, 2015 at 7:49:24 AM UTC+5:30, wlandsman wrote:

```
> You are giving linfit negative errors --  $\text{alog10}(0.2) = -0.69897$   
>  
> If you use the absolute value of  $\text{alog10}(\text{err})$  you will get consistent results.  
>  
> But probably it is better to do your logarithmic transformation correctly  
>  
> if  $z = \text{alog10}(y)$  then  $dz = 0.434 \cdot dy/y$  (I think)  
>  
> where  $dy$  is your original err and  $dz$  is your transformed err .  
>  
> On Tuesday, August 4, 2015 at 3:54:39 PM UTC-4, Krishnakumar M.A wrote:  
>> Hi,  
>>  
>> I was trying to do a linfit in the following data (I'm using IDL 6.3).  
>>  
>> -----  
>>  
>> x  = [150.0, 235.0, 325.0, 410.0, 610.0]  
>> y  = [200.0, 35.0, 8.4, 3.0, 0.6]  
>> err = [25.0, 5.0, 2.1, 0.8, 0.2]
```

```
>>
>> result = linfit(alog10(x),alog10(y),MEASURE_ERRORS=alog10(err), CHISQ=chi,
COVAR=covmatrix, SIGMA=error, YFIT=fit)
```

Thanks for the reply. I did not get any difference by giving  $\text{abs}(\text{alog10}(\text{err}))$ .

But I got better values for chisq and sigma when I used  $\text{dz} = 0.434 \cdot \text{dy}/\text{y}$ . Could you please tell me why a factor of 0.434?

---

Subject: Re: LINFIT CHISQ and SIGMA values are correct??  
Posted by [Helder Marchetto](#) on Wed, 05 Aug 2015 07:23:37 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I think that what he's saying is:

if  $f(x) = \log_b(x)$  then  $f'(x) = 1/(x \ln(b))$  where  $b$  is the base of the logarithm. In your case, you're using IDL's  $\text{alog10}()$ . So the derivative of the function  $f(x) = \text{alog10}(x)$  is  $f'(x) = 1/(x \cdot \text{alog}(10))$  and can be rewritten as:  
 $f'(x) = 0.434/x$

So  $0.434 = 1/\text{alog}(10)$

I hope it helps.

Cheers,  
Helder

PS: In case you're unsure what or why the step from  $z = \text{alog10}(y)$  to  $\text{dz} = 0.434 \cdot \text{dy}/\text{y}$  was taken, then you should look at error propagation and differentials. Here are some google result I found:  
<http://tutorial.math.lamar.edu/Classes/CalcI/Differentials.a.spx>  
<http://www.rit.edu/cos/uphysics/uncertainties/Uncertaintiesp art2.html>

On Wednesday, August 5, 2015 at 9:08:05 AM UTC+2, Krishnakumar M.A wrote:

> On Wednesday, August 5, 2015 at 7:49:24 AM UTC+5:30, wlandsman wrote:

>> You are giving linfit negative errors --  $\text{alog10}(0.2) = -0.69897$

>>

>> If you use the absolute value of  $\text{alog10}(\text{err})$  you will get consistent results.

>>

>> But probably it is better to do your logarithmic transformation correctly

>>

>> if  $z = \text{alog10}(y)$  then  $\text{dz} = 0.434 \cdot \text{dy}/\text{y}$  (I think)

>>

>> where  $\text{dy}$  is your original err and  $\text{dz}$  is your transformed err .

>>

>> On Tuesday, August 4, 2015 at 3:54:39 PM UTC-4, Krishnakumar M.A wrote:

```
>>> Hi,
>>>
>>> I was trying to do a linfit in the following data (I'm using IDL 6.3).
>>>
>>> -----
>>>
>>> x  = [150.0, 235.0, 325.0, 410.0, 610.0]
>>> y  = [200.0, 35.0, 8.4, 3.0, 0.6]
>>> err = [25.0, 5.0, 2.1, 0.8, 0.2]
>>>
>>> result = linfit(alog10(x),alog10(y),MEASURE_ERRORS=alog10(err), CHISQ=chi,
COVAR=covmatrix, SIGMA=error, YFIT=fit)
>
>
> Thanks for the reply. I did not get any difference by giving abs(alog10(err)).
>
> But I got better values for chisq and sigma when I used dz = 0.434*dy/y. Could you please tell
me why a factor of 0.434?
```

---