Subject: correlation question

Posted by Helder Marchetto on Wed, 04 Nov 2015 09:36:18 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

I have 3d data with n images of xs x xs images (array size xs, ys, n) and I have a cuve (array) with n elements that describes the behavior of a column [x0,y0,\*] of the 3d array.

How can I get some statistical information giving me an array of [xs,ys] elements that tells me how close each pixel is to the input array?

It's a correlation problem, but I'm lost in the ?\_correlate jungle...

Thanks for any help or tip.

Cheers, Helder

Subject: Re: correlation question

Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Wed, 04 Nov 2015 16:38:47 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wednesday, November 4, 2015 at 3:36:21 AM UTC-6, Helder wrote:

> Hi.

- > I have 3d data with n images of xs x xs images (array size xs, ys, n) and I have a cuve (array) with n elements that describes the behavior of a column [x0,y0,\*] of the 3d array.
- > How can I get some statistical information giving me an array of [xs,ys] elements that tells me how close each pixel is to the input array?
- > It's a correlation problem, but I'm lost in the ?\_correlate jungle...

\_

> Thanks for any help or tip.

>

> Cheers, Helder

What exactly do you mean by 'how close each pixel is to the input array'?

-Jeremy.

Subject: Re: correlation question

Posted by Helder Marchetto on Wed, 04 Nov 2015 17:27:46 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

Sorry I didn't explain myself properly.

I'm looking for a IDL way to do this:

Similarity = fltarr(xs,us)

RefCurve = {array of n elements}

```
data = {array of xs,us,n elements}
for i=0,xs-1 do begin
   for j=0,ys-1 do begin
      Similarity = "correlation between reform(data[i,j,*]) and RefCurve"
   endfor
endfor
```

With correlation I mean a 1 if the two curves (arrays) are similar (e.g.two lines with slope 1), a zero (or -1) if the lines are perpendicular.

[Sorry, but I'm writing from a mobile device...]

By thresholding (e.g. at gt 0.9) the resulting Similarity image I can "see" where the evolution of the [xs,ys] slices in data resembles that of RefCurve.

Did I make myself clear? If not, I have to come up with a practical example... Sorry if my explanation is so poor.

Cheers, Helder

Subject: Re: correlation question
Posted by Helder Marchetto on Thu, 05 Nov 2015 11:27:46 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Wednesday, November 4, 2015 at 6:27:49 PM UTC+1, Helder wrote:

```
> Hi,
```

- > Sorry I didn't explain myself properly.
- > I'm looking for a IDL way to do this:

>

- > Similarity = fltarr(xs,us)
- > RefCurve = {array of n elements}
- > data = {array of xs,us,n elements}
- > for i=0,xs-1 do begin
- > for j=0,ys-1 do begin
- > Similarity = "correlation between reform(data[i,j,\*]) and RefCurve"
- > endfor
- > endfor

>

- > With correlation I mean a 1 if the two curves (arrays) are similar (e.g.two lines with slope 1), a zero (or -1) if the lines are perpendicular.
- > 
  Sorry, but I'm writing from a mobile device...]

>

> By thresholding (e.g. at gt 0.9) the resulting Similarity image I can "see" where the evolution of the [xs,ys] slices in data resembles that of RefCurve.

>

> Did I make myself clear? If not, I have to come up with a practical example... Sorry if my

explanation is so poor.

>

> Cheers, Helder

Hi,

ok, I did my homework and here is what I need: for each column of the 3d array [x0,y0,\*] (that means that x0 goes from 0 to xs, and y0 goes from 0 to ys), I want to calculate the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient with respect to an arbitrary array (of n elements) and therefore obtain a matrix of xs by ys elements.

The Pearson coefficient is the ratio between the covariance and the std-dev and is calculated in IDL by the correlate() function. Now I just have to find a way to avoid the loop... I'll post when I have the solution.

Thanks for making me think (!) Cheers, Helder

Subject: Re: correlation question
Posted by Helder Marchetto on Thu, 05 Nov 2015 12:37:57 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thursday, November 5, 2015 at 12:27:49 PM UTC+1, Helder wrote: > On Wednesday, November 4, 2015 at 6:27:49 PM UTC+1, Helder wrote: >> Hi, >> Sorry I didn't explain myself properly. >> I'm looking for a IDL way to do this: >> >> Similarity = fltarr(xs,us) >> RefCurve = {array of n elements} >> data = {array of xs,us,n elements} >> for i=0,xs-1 do begin for j=0,ys-1 do begin >> Similarity = "correlation between reform(data[i,j,\*]) and RefCurve" >> endfor >> endfor >> With correlation I mean a 1 if the two curves (arrays) are similar (e.g.two lines with slope 1), a zero (or -1) if the lines are perpendicular. >> >> [Sorry, but I'm writing from a mobile device...] >> >> By thresholding (e.g. at gt 0.9) the resulting Similarity image I can "see" where the evolution

>> Did I make myself clear? If not, I have to come up with a practical example... Sorry if my explanation is so poor.

>>

of the [xs,ys] slices in data resembles that of RefCurve.

```
>> >> Cheers, Helder > > Hi.
```

> ok, I did my homework and here is what I need: for each column of the 3d array [x0,y0,\*] (that means that x0 goes from 0 to xs, and y0 goes from 0 to ys), I want to calculate the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient with respect to an arbitrary array (of n elements) and therefore obtain a matrix of xs by ys elements.

>

> The Pearson coefficient is the ratio between the covariance and the std-dev and is calculated in IDL by the correlate() function. Now I just have to find a way to avoid the loop... I'll post when I have the solution.

>

- > Thanks for making me think (!)
- > Cheers,
- > Helder

Ok, so I keep replying to myself. Here is the solution that I got. It's based on the IDL correlate() function and I build up from there.

I tested it on a 512x509x6 array using the function below and going through every index in the "rough" (non-IDL) way.

Using correlateImage it took 0.063 seconds and going through every "pixel" column using this:

```
for i=0,sData[0]-1 do begin
  for j=0,sData[1]-1 do begin
    corrImg[i,j] = correlate(reform(subCube[i,j,*]), arr)
  endfor
endfor
it took 1.7 seconds.
```

So it was worth the effort :-)

I hope I'm not the only one finding this useful. If you find it useful and have some improvements suggestions, plz let me know... I'll be posting this here: http://idl.marchetto.de/correlation-image/

Cheers, Helder

```
function correlateImage, img, arr, double=doubleIn on_error, 2 sImg = size(img, /dimensions) if n_elements(sImg) ne 3 then begin message, 'Input matrix must have 3 dimensions', /continue return, -1 endif sArr = size(arr, /dimensions) if n_elements(sArr) ne 1 then begin message, 'Input array must have 1 dimension', /continue
```

```
return, -1
endif
sArr = sArr[0]
if sArr ne sImg[2] then begin
 message, 'Input array must have same number of elements of the third input matrix dimension',
/continue
 return. -1
endif
typeImg = size(img, /type)
typeArr = size(arr, /type)
dbl = (n_elements(doubleIn) gt 0) ? keyword_set(doubleIn) : (typeImg eg 5 || typeArr eg 5)
cplx = typeImg eq 6 || typeImg eq 9 || typeArr eq 6 || typeArr eq 9
imgMean = total(img, 3, double = dbl) / sArr
imgDev = img
for i=0,sImg[2]-1 do imgDev[*,*,i] = imgDev[*,*,i] - imgMean
arrMean = mean(arr, double = dbl)
arrDev = arr - arrMean
nan = dbl ? !VALUES.D NAN : !VALUES.F NAN
dlmq2 = total(abs(imgDev)^2,3, double = dbl)
dArr2 = total(abs(arrDev)^2, double = dbl)
if dArr2 eq 0 then return, nan
void = where(dImg2 eq 0,cntBadVals)
if cntBadVals gt 0 then return, nan
arrDevCube = imgDev
for i=0,slmg[2]-1 do arrDevCube[*,*,i] = arrDev[i]
if cplx then return, -1 > total(imgDev * conj(arrDevCube), double=dbl)/ (sqrt(dlmg2)*sqrt(dArr2)) <
1 $
    else return, total(imgDev * arrDevCube,3, double=dbl) / (sqrt(dlmg2)*sqrt(dArr2))
end
Subject: Re: correlation question
```

```
Subject: Re: correlation question
Posted by lecacheux.alain on Thu, 05 Nov 2015 15:05:23 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Le jeudi 5 novembre 2015 13:38:00 UTC+1, Helder a écrit:

On Thursday, November 5, 2015 at 12:27:49 PM UTC+1, Helder wrote:

>> On Wednesday, November 4, 2015 at 6:27:49 PM UTC+1, Helder wrote:

>>> Hi,

>>> Sorry I didn't explain myself properly.

>>> I'm looking for a IDL way to do this:

>>>

>>>

Similarity = fltarr(xs,us)
```

```
>>> RefCurve = {array of n elements}
>>> data = {array of xs,us,n elements}
>>> for i=0,xs-1 do begin
      for j=0,ys-1 do begin
         Similarity = "correlation between reform(data[i,j,*]) and RefCurve"
>>>
      endfor
>>>
>>> endfor
>>>
>>> With correlation I mean a 1 if the two curves (arrays) are similar (e.g. two lines with slope 1),
a zero (or -1) if the lines are perpendicular.
>>>
>>> [Sorry, but I'm writing from a mobile device...]
>>>
>>> By thresholding (e.g. at gt 0.9) the resulting Similarity image I can "see" where the evolution
of the [xs,ys] slices in data resembles that of RefCurve.
>>>
>>> Did I make myself clear? If not, I have to come up with a practical example... Sorry if my
explanation is so poor.
>>>
>>> Cheers, Helder
>>
>> Hi,
>> ok, I did my homework and here is what I need: for each column of the 3d array [x0,y0,*] (that
means that x0 goes from 0 to xs, and y0 goes from 0 to ys), I want to calculate the Pearson
product-moment correlation coefficient with respect to an arbitrary array (of n elements) and
therefore obtain a matrix of xs by vs elements.
>>
>> The Pearson coefficient is the ratio between the covariance and the std-dev and is calculated
in IDL by the correlate() function. Now I just have to find a way to avoid the loop... I'll post when I
have the solution.
>>
>> Thanks for making me think (!)
>> Cheers.
>> Helder
>
> Ok, so I keep replying to myself. Here is the solution that I got. It's based on the IDL correlate()
function and I build up from there.
> I tested it on a 512x509x6 array using the function below and going through every index in the
"rough" (non-IDL) way.
> Using correlateImage it took 0.063 seconds and going through every "pixel" column using this:
> for i=0,sData[0]-1 do begin
> for j=0,sData[1]-1 do begin
> corrImg[i,i] = correlate(reform(subCube[i,i,*]), arr)
> endfor
> endfor
> it took 1.7 seconds.
> So it was worth the effort :-)
```

```
>
> I hope I'm not the only one finding this useful. If you find it useful and have some improvements
suggestions, plz let me know... I'll be posting this here: http://idl.marchetto.de/correlation-image/
>
> Cheers.
> Helder
>
>
> function correlate/mage, img, arr, double=double/n
> on error, 2
> sImg = size(img, /dimensions)
> if n elements(sImg) ne 3 then begin
    message, 'Input matrix must have 3 dimensions', /continue
>
    return, -1
>
> endif
> sArr = size(arr, /dimensions)
> if n elements(sArr) ne 1 then begin
    message, 'Input array must have 1 dimension', /continue
    return. -1
> endif
> sArr = sArr[0]
> if sArr ne sImg[2] then begin
    message, 'Input array must have same number of elements of the third input matrix
dimension', /continue
    return, -1
> endif
>
> typeImg = size(img, /type)
> typeArr = size(arr, /type)
> dbl = (n_elements(doubleIn) gt 0) ? keyword_set(doubleIn) : (typeImg eq 5 || typeArr eq 5)
> cplx = typeImg eq 6 || typeImg eq 9 || typeArr eq 6 || typeArr eq 9
>
> imgMean = total(img, 3, double = dbl) / sArr
> imgDev = img
> for i=0,sImg[2]-1 do imgDev[*,*,i] = imgDev[*,*,i] - imgMean
> arrMean = mean(arr, double = dbl)
> arrDev = arr - arrMean
> nan = dbl ? !VALUES.D NAN : !VALUES.F NAN
>
> dlmg2 = total(abs(imgDev)^2,3, double = dbl)
> dArr2 = total(abs(arrDev)^2, double = dbl)
> if dArr2 eq 0 then return, nan
> void = where(dlmg2 eq 0,cntBadVals)
> if cntBadVals gt 0 then return, nan
> arrDevCube = imgDev
> for i=0,sImg[2]-1 do arrDevCube[*,*,i] = arrDev[i]
```