Subject: Efficiently multiplying an array by a vector
Posted by laura.hike on Wed, 28 Sep 2016 01:38:08 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

The following text is a slightly edited version of a post by Mark Plonski several years ago that was never answered. Just saving typing time because my problem is the same. In fact, it seems like it must be a common problem when one tries to vectorize and speed up a program.

What is the most efficient way to multiply every col in a 2D array
(ncol x nrow) by a vector of length (ncol)?

Example:

input array vector output array

a11 a12 a13 b1 a11b1 a12b1 a13b1
a21 a22 a23 b2 a21b2 a22b2 a23b2

This could be done by looping over the cols:

```
FOR i=0,ncol-1 DO c[i,*] = a[i,*] * b
```

Is there a more efficient way (w.r.t. computational speed) to do this?

I know I could replicate the column vector into a matrix (b # identity row)
and then do a ptwise matrix multiply, but my matrices can
be very large (1M elements) and I occasionally run out of swap
space. I don't know if that would run any faster anyway.

Subject: Re: Efficiently multiplying an array by a vector
Posted by wlandsman on Wed, 28 Sep 2016 02:51:45 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Three things:

1. Have you actually found the speed to be problematic? In general, looping over a single loop index is not a large speed penalty, and what you want to avoid is looping over 2 or more indices. The IDL maxim for efficient programming is not "avoid loops" but "try to get a much done as possible during each loop iteration". If your matrices have a million elements then you are already following this maxim.

2. The process can likely be sped up by avoiding the use of the asterisk on the left hand side.
http://www.idlcoyote.com/code_tips/asterisk.html

In your case, this would require a transpose

```
 c = transpose(c)
FOR i=0,ncol-1 DO c[0,i] = a[i,*] * b
c = transpose(c)
```

though the first transpose can likely be incorporated into the creation of the c matrix.

3. I believe that Python does have the capability to efficiently multiply every column in a 2D array by a vector using "broadcasting"

http://eli.thegreenplace.net/2015/broadcasting-arrays-in-num py/

I don't believe that this capability is available in IDL but it would be a nice feature for Harris Geospatial to add.

--Wayne

On Tuesday, September 27, 2016 at 9:38:15 PM UTC-4, LH wrote:
> The following text is a slightly edited version of a post by Mark Plonski several years ago that was never answered. Just saving typing time because my problem is the same. In fact, it seems like it must be a common problem when one tries to vectorize and speed up a program.
>
>
> What is the most efficient way to multiply every col in a 2D array
> (ncol x nrow) by a vector of length (ncol)?
>
> Example:
>
> input array vector output array
>
> a11 a12 a13 b1 a11b1 a12b1 a13b1
> a21 a22 a23 b2 a21b2 a22b2 a23b2
>
>
> This could be done by looping over the cols:
>
> FOR i=0,ncol-1 DO c[i,*] = a[i,*] * b
>
> Is there a more efficient way (w.r.t. computational speed) to do this?
>
> I know I could replicate the column vector into a matrix (b # identity row)
> and then do a ptwise matrix multiply, but my matrices can
> be very large (1M elements) and I occasionally run out of swap
> space. I don't know if that would run any faster anyway.

Subject: Re: Efficiently multiplying an array by a vector
Posted by Burch on Wed, 28 Sep 2016 12:50:22 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tuesday, September 27, 2016 at 9:51:47 PM UTC-5, wlandsman wrote:
> Three things:
>
> 1. Have you actually found the speed to be problematic? In general, looping over a single loop index is not a large speed penalty, and what you want to avoid is looping over 2 or more indices. The IDL maxim for efficient programming is not "avoid loops" but "try to get a much done as possible during each loop iteration". If your matrices have a million elements then you are already following this maxim.
>
> 2. The process can likely be sped up by avoiding the use of the asterisk on the left hand side.

> http://www.idlcoyote.com/code_tips/asterisk.html
>
> In your case, this would require a transpose
>
> c = transpose(c)
> FOR i=0,ncol-1 DO c[0,i] = a[i,*] * b
> c = transpose(c)
>
> though the first transpose can likely be incorporated into the creation of the c matrix.
>
> 3. I believe that Python does have the capability to efficiently multiply every column in a 2D array by a vector using "broadcasting"
>
> http://eli.thegreenplace.net/2015/broadcasting-arrays-in-num py/
>
> I don't believe that this capability is available in IDL but it would be a nice feature for Harris Geospatial to add.
>
> --Wayne
>
>
>
>
> On Tuesday, September 27, 2016 at 9:38:15 PM UTC-4, LH wrote:
>> The following text is a slightly edited version of a post by Mark Plonski several years ago that was never answered. Just saving typing time because my problem is the same. In fact, it seems like it must be a common problem when one tries to vectorize and speed up a program.
>>
>>
>> What is the most efficient way to multiply every col in a 2D array
>> (ncol x nrow) by a vector of length (ncol)?
>>
>> Example:
>>

>> input array vector output array
>>
>> a11 a12 a13 b1 a11b1 a12b1 a13b1
>> a21 a22 a23 b2 a21b2 a22b2 a23b2
>>
>>
>> This could be done by looping over the cols:
>>
>> FOR i=0,ncol-1 DO c[i,*] = a[i,*] * b
>>
>> Is there a more efficient way (w.r.t. computational speed) to do this?
>>
>> I know I could replicate the column vector into a matrix (b # identity row)
>> and then do a ptwise matrix multiply, but my matrices can
>> be very large (1M elements) and I occasionally run out of swap
>> space. I don't know if that would run any faster anyway.

Expanding on #2 given by Wayne, I think you would benefit by altering how you're storing data and looping over multidimensional arrays. In IDL the elements of the first dimension are contiguous in memory (i.e, a[0,0], a[1,0], a[2,0] etc.). So indexing arrays like a[i,*] causes IDL to have to "jump around" in memory, whereas with a[*,i] IDL basically just has to go to one continuous chunk of memory.

For instance, compare the following two examples:

```
A =dblarr(10000, 5000) + 1d
B = dindgen(5000)
C = dblarr(10000, 5000)
nCol = 10000
tic
for i=0, nCol-1 do C[i,*] = A[i,*]*B
toc
% Time elapsed: 1.4337058 seconds.
```

```
A = dblarr(5000, 10000)+1d
B = dindgen(5000)
C = dblarr(5000, 10000)
nRow = 10000
tic
for i=0, nRow-1 do C[0,i] = A[*,i]*B
toc
% Time elapsed: 0.099428177 seconds.
```

Of course, the benefit you gain depends on the number of loops you have to do.
See Also: http://www.harrisgeospatial.com/docs/columns__rows__and_arra
y.html#arrays_3727706888_737332

Subject: Re: Efficiently multiplying an array by a vector
Posted by Jeremy Bailin on Wed, 28 Sep 2016 15:42:04 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tuesday, September 27, 2016 at 8:38:15 PM UTC-5, LH wrote:
> The following text is a slightly edited version of a post by Mark Plonski several years ago that was never answered. Just saving typing time because my problem is the same. In fact, it seems like it must be a common problem when one tries to vectorize and speed up a program.
>
>
> What is the most efficient way to multiply every col in a 2D array
> (ncol x nrow) by a vector of length (ncol)?
>
> Example:
>
> input array vector output array
>
> a11 a12 a13 b1 a11b1 a12b1 a13b1
> a21 a22 a23 b2 a21b2 a22b2 a23b2
>
>
> This could be done by looping over the cols:
>
> FOR i=0,ncol-1 DO c[i,*] = a[i,*] * b
>
> Is there a more efficient way (w.r.t. computational speed) to do this?
>
> I know I could replicate the column vector into a matrix (b # identity row)
> and then do a ptwise matrix multiply, but my matrices can
> be very large (1M elements) and I occasionally run out of swap
> space. I don't know if that would run any faster anyway.

I suspect that Wayne and Jeff's comments are the best option, but another idea is to do the matrix approach but break your matrix up into chunks to avoid memory problems. In a 2-chunk example:

```
b_matrix = b # indgen(nrow/2)
c[0,0] = a[*,0:nrow/2-1] * b_matrix
c[0,nrow/2] = a[*,nrow/2:*] * b_matrix
```

...and you can easily generalize that to an arbitrary number of chunks.

-Jeremy.