
Subject: Generating a grid in the 3D,4D,5D...N space -

Advice/Combinatory/Matrices

Posted by clement.feller@obspm on Mon, 13 Nov 2017 14:50:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello everyone,

I coming back to you for some advice on how to properly generate a grid in an N-D space. I hope that this expression is the proper one in english, but in any case, let me illustrate this by the following exemple:

```
> a = indgen(3,3) & print, a
```

```
0 1 2
```

```
3 4 5
```

```
6 7 8
```

What I am looking for would be to find the clean and proper IDL way to generate the following sets of combinations:

```
0,1,2
```

```
0,1,5
```

```
0,1,8
```

```
0,4,2
```

```
0,4,5
```

```
.....
```

```
.....
```

```
6,7,2
```

```
6,7,5
```

```
6,7,8
```

Now, I have found ways to do this for a 2D,3D,4D,5D space with either nested loops (yuck! I know), or with combinations of rebin, reform and transpose.

I've been successfully using those solutions for several weeks, yet I wonder on how to expand this to a general case and in the proper IDL way.

Why and how this is useful to me ?

I am actually trying to evaluate a function with several parameters. Let's call it $f(x, p_0, \dots, p_n)$.

Given x , I want to evaluate f with multiple sets of parameters.

E.g. to generate a regular grid I can use INTERPOLATE, e.g. for a 2D space with 10 evaluations for each dimension:

```
> p = [[0.,1.], [0,100]]
```

```
> p = transpose(p)
```

```
> interpolate(p,1./9.*findgen(10))
```

```
0.0000000 0.0000000
```

```
0.1111111 11.111112
```

```
0.2222222 22.222223
```

```
0.3333333 33.333336
```

```
0.4444444 44.444447
```

0.55555558	55.555557
0.66666669	66.666672
0.77777779	77.777779
0.88888890	88.888893
1.0000000	100.00000

If one wants an irregular grid (with 10 evaluations in the first dimension and 5 in the second one), one can use a nested loop and play with indices.

So, once you have this table, how can one generate the proper sets of combinations of indices ? Another way to look at it is that you just want to "multiply" or chunk index your table, i.e. to generate the n vector used in the histogram's i-vector example (http://www.idlcoyote.com/tips/histogram_tutorial.html).

I've playing around with nested indgen, looking for a repetitive motive from the 2D to the 5D space when using rebin, reform, transpose to assemble a generic command. But nothing much so far....

Does anybody out there already had a go with such problem before or any advice ?

I thank you all in advance for your replies.
Cheers,
/C

Subject: Re: Generating a grid in the 3D,4D,5D...N space -
Advice/Combinatory/Matrices
Posted by [Michael Galloy](#) on Tue, 14 Nov 2017 00:04:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 11/13/17 7:50 AM, clement.feller@obspm.fr wrote:

> Hello everyone,
>

> I coming back to you for some advice on how to properly generate a grid in an N-D space. I hope that this expression is the proper one in english, but in any case, let me illustrate this by the following exemple:

>> a = indgen(3,3) & print, a

> 0 1 2

> 3 4 5

> 6 7 8

>

> What I am looking for would be to find the clean and proper IDL way to generate the following sets of combinations:

> 0,1,2

> 0,1,5

> 0,1,8

> 0,4,2

> 0,4,5

>

```

> .....
>
> 6,7,2
> 6,7,5
> 6,7,8
>
> Now, I have found ways to do this for a 2D,3D,4D,5D space with either nested loops (yuck! I
know), or with combinations of rebin, reform and transpose.
> I've been successfully using those solutions for several weeks, yet I wonder on how to expand
this to a general case and in the proper IDL way.
>
> Why and how this is useful to me ?
> I am actually trying to evaluate a function with several parameters. Let's call it f(x, p_0, ..., p_n).
Given x, I want to evaluate f with multiple sets of parameters.
> E.g. to generate a regular grid I can use INTERPOLATE, e.g. for a 2D space with 10
evaluations for each dimension:
>
>> p = [[0.,1.], [0,100]]
>> p = transpose(p)
>> interpolate(p,1./9.*indgen(10))
>    0.0000000    0.0000000
>    0.1111111    11.111112
>    0.2222222    22.222223
>    0.3333333    33.333336
>    0.4444444    44.444447
>    0.5555555    55.555557
>    0.6666666    66.666672
>    0.7777777    77.777779
>    0.8888889    88.888893
>    1.0000000    100.00000
>
> If one wants an irregular grid (with 10 evaluations in the first dimension and 5 in the second
one), one can use a nested loop and play with indices.
>
> So, once you have this table, how can one generate the proper sets of combinations of indices
?
> Another way to look at it is that you just want to "multiply" or chunk index your table, i.e. to
generate the n vector used in the histogram's i-vector example
(http://www.idlcoyote.com/tips/histogram\_tutorial.html).
>
> I've playing around with nested indgen, looking for a repetitive motive from the 2D to the 5D
space when using rebin, reform, transpose to assemble a generic command. But nothing much so
far....
>
> Does anybody out there already had a go with such problem before or any advice ?
>
> I thank you all in advance for your replies.
> Cheers,

```

> /C
>

I don't have a good solution. I think the below routine is general, but slow solution:

https://github.com/mgalloy/mglib/blob/master/src/analysis/mg_find_combinations.pro

Mike

--

Michael Galloy

www.michaelgalloy.com

Modern IDL: A Guide to IDL Programming (<http://modernidl.idldev.com>)

Subject: Re: Generating a grid in the 3D,4D,5D...N space -
Advice/Combinatory/Matrices

Posted by [Markus Schmassmann](#) on Tue, 14 Nov 2017 10:38:37 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 11/13/2017 03:50 PM, clement.feller@obspm.fr wrote:

> I coming back to you for some advice on how to properly generate a grid in an N-D space. I hope that this expression is the proper one in english, but in any case, let me illustrate this by the following exemple:

>> a = indgen(3,3) & print, a

> 0 1 2

> 3 4 5

> 6 7 8

>

> What I am looking for would be to find the clean and proper IDL way to generate the following sets of combinations:

> 0,1,2

> 0,1,5

> 0,1,8

> 0,4,2

> 0,4,5

>

>

>

> 6,7,2

> 6,7,5

> 6,7,8

>

> Now, I have found ways to do this for a 2D,3D,4D,5D space with either nested loops (yuck! I know), or with combinations of rebin, reform and transpose.

> I've been successfully using those solutions for several weeks, yet I wonder on how to expand this to a general case and in the proper IDL way.

>

> [...]
>
> I've playing around with nested indgen, looking for a repetitive motive from the 2D to the 5D space when using rebin, reform, transpose to assemble a generic command. But nothing much so far....
>
> Does anybody out there already had a go with such problem before or any advice ?
is this what you are looking for ?

```
array=lindgen(n,long(n)^n)
for k=0,n-1 do array[k,*]= $
    rebin((n*lindgen(n^(k+1))+k) mod (n^2),long(n)^n,/sample)
```

Markus

Subject: Re: Generating a grid in the 3D,4D,5D...N space -
Advice/Combinatory/Matrices
Posted by [Markus Schmassmann](#) on Tue, 14 Nov 2017 11:29:34 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On 11/14/2017 11:38 AM, Markus Schmassmann wrote:

> On 11/13/2017 03:50 PM, clement.feller@obspm.fr wrote:
>> I coming back to you for some advice on how to properly generate a
>> grid in an N-D space. I hope that this expression is the proper one in
>> english, but in any case, let me illustrate this by the following
>> exemple:
>>> a = indgen(3,3) & print, a
>> 0 1 2
>> 3 4 5
>> 6 7 8
>>
>> What I am looking for would be to find the clean and proper IDL way to
>> generate the following sets of combinations:
>> 0,1,2
>> 0,1,5
>> 0,1,8
>> 0,4,2
>> 0,4,5
>>
>>
>>
>> 6,7,2
>> 6,7,5
>> 6,7,8
>>
>> Now, I have found ways to do this for a 2D,3D,4D,5D space with either
>> nested loops (yuck! I know), or with combinations of rebin, reform and

```
>> transpose.
>> I've been successfully using those solutions for several weeks, yet I
>> wonder on how to expand this to a general case and in the proper IDL way.
>>
>> [...]
>>
>> I've playing around with nested indgen, looking for a repetitive
>> motive from the 2D to the 5D space when using rebin, reform, transpose
>> to assemble a generic command. But nothing much so far....
>>
>> Does anybody out there already had a go with such problem before or
>> any advice ?
> is this what you are looking for ?
>
> array=lindgen(n,long(n)^n)
> for k=0,n-1 do array[k,*]= $
>   rebin((n*lindgen(n^(k+1))+k) mod (n^2),long(n)^n,/sample)
sorry, for n>5 you have an overflow, correct is:
```

```
array=bindgen(n,long(n)^n)
for k=0,n-1 do array[k,*]=rebin(byte( $
    (n*lindgen(long(n)^(k+1))+k) mod (n^2) ),long(n)^n, /sample )
```

it's also better on memory, for n=8 the index is only 16MB

Subject: Re: Generating a grid in the 3D,4D,5D...N space -

Advice/Combinatory/Matrices

Posted by clement.feller@obspm. on Tue, 14 Nov 2017 13:16:45 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hello again,

Thanks both of you for your replies.

@Mike: I had looked into this before (I think Jeremy Bailin has published a code similar to yours called combigen.pro), but I then meet difficulties in selecting part of the generated combinations.

@Markus: I say, your code is sleek and nifty. I like your solution.

In the meantime, I had given this problem some more thoughts and I had come up with another slow ugly one that doesn't work for all cases:

```
function gen_indices_comb, m, n
;d I/O:
;d m -> long integer corresponds to the number of row in original table
;d n -> long integer corresponds to the number of columns in original table
;d
```

```

;d vals -> long array listing the vectors of indices to extract the
;d      different possible combinations from the values of the original
;d      table
;d
;d NOTES: SLOW CODE, a mitigation of the values of m and n is REQUIRED
;d      Cases, where m & n are greater than 9, are not to considered
;d      with this code

```

```

nmax = m^n

```

```

;c Assemble command generating vector of indices
cmd = 'tmp = ['
for ijk=(m-1L),1L,-1L do $
  cmd += ' (lmn/n^'+string(ijk,format='(I03)')+') mod n,'
cmd += 'lmn mod n ]'

```

```

;c initialiase memory
ini = indgen(m,n)
tmp = lonarr(m)
val = lonarr(m, m^n)

```

```

;c execute command for each type of combination
for lmn=0L,(n^m-1L) do begin
  void = execute(cmd)
  if void ne 1 then message, ' > Error generating indices.'
  val[* ,ijk] = ini+tmp*m
endfor

```

```

return, val
end

```

Afn I'm considering this post solved, I'll update it with a definitive version of my solution.

Again thanks your replies,
/C

Subject: Re: Generating a grid in the 3D,4D,5D...N space -
Advice/Combinatory/Matrices
Posted by [Dick Jackson](#) on Tue, 14 Nov 2017 18:13:58 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hi Clement,

I found a few efficiencies to improve Markus' method a little, and I think I have a working method to allow unequal numbers of rows and columns—it could be improved, but I have to leave this for the moment.

Report from running the tests:

Markus' method

% Time elapsed: 0.15960312 seconds.

n = 7

Memory used (MB): 12.5667

Dick's method 1

% Time elapsed: 0.19358516 seconds.

n = 7

Memory used (MB): 5.49789

Arrays match!

Dick's method 2

% Time elapsed: 0.34163213 seconds.

nc = 7

nr = 7

Memory used (MB): 12.5669

Arrays match!

Here is the code:

PRO MultiDimCombos

; <https://groups.google.com/forum/#!topic/comp.lang.idl-pvwave/FV6s1s19BJc>

n = 7

; Markus

startMem = Memory(/CURRENT)

Tic

array=bindgen(n,long(n)^n)

for k=0,n-1 do \$

array[k,*]=rebin(byte((n*lindgen(long(n)^(k+1))+k) mod (n^2)), \$
long(n)^n, /sample)

Print

Print, 'Markus' method'

Toc

highMem = Memory(/HIGHWATER)

Print, 'n = '+StrTrim(n, 2)

Print, 'Memory used (MB): ', (highMem-startMem)/1024./1024

arrayM = array

IF n LE 3 THEN Print, array

; Dick 1

startMem = Memory(/CURRENT)

Tic

array=bytarr(n,long(n)^n, /NOZERO) ; Changed from bindgen to bytarr(/NOZERO)

for k=0,n-1 do \$; Removed '*' from destination subscripts

array[k,0]=Reform(rebin(byte((n*lindgen(long(n)^(k+1))+k) mod (n^2)), \$
long(n)^n, /sample), \$
[1, long(n)^n], /OVERWRITE)

Print

Print, 'Dick's method 1'

Toc

highMem = Memory(/HIGHWATER)

Print, 'n = '+StrTrim(n, 2)

Print, 'Memory used (MB): ', (highMem-startMem)/1024./1024

arrayD1 = array

IF n LE 3 THEN Print, array

Print, 'Arrays'+([' do not', ''])[Array_Equal(arrayD1, arrayM)]+' match!'

; Dick 2 -- method for unequal numbers of columns and rows

startMem = Memory(/CURRENT)

Tic

; To compare with Markus and Dick1:

nc = n

nr = n

; To test unequal nc and nr:

;nc = 4

;nr = 3

a = bindgen(nc, nr) ; bindgen is OK to nr = 8

i = lindgen([1, Long(nr)^nc]) ; indgen is OK to nr = 8

array=bytarr(nc,long(nr)^nc, /NOZERO)

for k=0,nc-1 do \$

array[k,0]=a[k,i/(Long(nr)^(nc-1-k)) MOD nr]

Print

Print, 'Dick's method 2'

Toc

highMem = Memory(/HIGHWATER)

Print, 'nc = '+StrTrim(nc, 2)

Print, 'nr = '+StrTrim(nr, 2)

```
Print, 'Memory used (MB): ', (highMem-startMem)/1024./1024
arrayD2 = array
```

```
IF nr * nc LE 12 THEN Print, array
```

```
IF nc EQ n and nr EQ n THEN $
  Print, 'Arrays'+([' do not', ''])[Array_Equal(arrayD2, arrayM)]+' match!'
```

```
END
```

Hope this helps!

Cheers,
-Dick

Dick Jackson Software Consulting Inc.
Victoria, BC, Canada --- <http://www.d-jackson.com>

On Tuesday, 14 November 2017 05:16:47 UTC-8, clement...@obspm.fr wrote:

```
> Hello again,
>
> Thanks both of you for your replies.
>
> @Mike: I had looked into this before (I think Jeremy Bailin has published a code similar to yours
called combigen.pro), but I then meet difficulties in selecting part of the generated combinations.
>
> @Markus: I say, your code is sleek and nifty. I like your solution.
>
> In the meantime, I had given this problem some more thoughts and I had come up with another
slow ugly one that doesn't work for all cases:
>
> function gen_indices_comb, m, n
> ;d I/O:
> ;d m -> long integer corresponds to the number of row in original table
> ;d n -> long integer corresponds to the number of columns in original table
> ;d
> ;d vals -> long array listing the vectors of indices to extract the
> ;d      different possible combinations from the values of the original
> ;d      table
> ;d
> ;d NOTES: SLOW CODE, a mitigation of the values of m and n is REQUIRED
> ;d      Cases, where m & n are greater than 9, are not to considered
> ;d      with this code
>
> nmax = m^n
>
> ;c Assemble command generating vector of indices
```

```

> cmd = 'tmp = ['
> for ijk=(m-1L),1L,-1L do $
>   cmd += ' (lmn/n^'+string(ijk,format='(I03)')+') mod n,'
> cmd += 'lmn mod n ]'
>
> ;c initialise memory
> ini = indgen(m,n)
> tmp = lonarr(m)
> val = lonarr(m, m^n)
>
> ;c execute command for each type of combination
> for lmn=0L,(n^m-1L) do begin
>   void = execute(cmd)
>   if void ne 1 then message, ' > Error generating indices.'
>   val[* ,ijk] = ini+tmp*m
> endfor
>
> return, val
> end
>
> Afn I'm considering this post solved, I'll update it with a definitive version of my solution.
>
> Again thanks your replies,
> /C

```

Subject: Re: Generating a grid in the 3D,4D,5D...N space -

Advice/Combinatory/Matrices

Posted by clement.feller@obspm on Fri, 24 Nov 2017 15:06:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Hey Dick,

Thanks for your message, that's indeed a nice improvement on Markus' already sleek code.

I had put this question aside for a few days, and I've come up yesterday evening with what I think is a convenient solution that deals with sets of values of unequal lengths. Here's the script, it is a bit raw solution :/

```

function compute_combinations, vec, s_v
;=====
=====
;d AUTHOR:
;d clement <dot> feller <at> obspm <dot> fr
;d
;d PURPOSE: Building all possible combinations given different sets of values.
;d

```

```

;d CHANGELOG:
;d 23-NOV-2017 v1.0 first light
;d
;d I/O:
;d vec -> 1D-array concatenating the different sets of values.
;d s_v -> 1D-array detailing the number of elements per set.
;d result -> array with all possible combinations given the different sets of
;d values.
;d
;d DEPENDANCIES: NONE
;d
;d REMARKS: NONE
;d
;d EXAMPLE:
;d IDL> u = [1,2,3]
;d IDL> v = [3.5,4.5]
;d IDL> w = [!pi/3., !pi/2, 2*!pi/3, !pi]
;d IDL> vec = [u,v,w] & s_v = [n_elements(u), n_elements(v), n_elements(w)]
;d IDL> print, compute_combinations(vec,s_v)
;d /* first set of combinations */
;d 1.00000||| 3.50000|| 1.04720|
;d 1.00000||| 3.50000|| 1.57080|
;d 1.00000||| 3.50000|| 2.09440|
;d 1.00000||| 3.50000|| 3.14159|
;d 1.00000||| 4.50000|| 1.04720
;d 1.00000||| 4.50000|| 1.57080
;d 1.00000||| 4.50000|| 2.09440
;d 1.00000||| 4.50000|| 3.14159
;d /* second set of combinations */
;d 2.00000||| 3.50000 1.04720
;d 2.00000||| 3.50000 1.57080
;d 2.00000||| 3.50000 2.09440
;d 2.00000||| 3.50000 3.14159
;d 2.00000||| 4.50000 1.04720
;d 2.00000||| 4.50000 1.57080
;d 2.00000||| 4.50000 2.09440
;d 2.00000||| 4.50000 3.14159
;d /* third and last set of combinations */
;d 3.00000||| 3.50000 1.04720
;d 3.00000||| 3.50000 1.57080
;d 3.00000||| 3.50000 2.09440
;d 3.00000||| 3.50000 3.14159
;d 3.00000||| 4.50000 1.04720
;d 3.00000||| 4.50000 1.57080
;d 3.00000||| 4.50000 2.09440
;d 3.00000||| 4.50000 3.14159
;d | -> sequence of 4 elements repeated 3*2 times
;d || -> sequence of 2 elements duplicated 4 times and repeated 3 times

```

```

;d ||| -> sequence of 3 elements duplicated 2*4 times
;=====
=====
;c Sparing a few cycles and allocating memory for result
prd__s = product(s_v)
v_type = size(vec, /type)
n_cols = n_elements(s_v)
result = make_array(n_cols, prd__s, type=v_type)

;c Dealing with the first column
rplct = reform(rebin(indgen(s_v[0]),prd__s), prd__s)
result[0,*] = (vec[0:s_v[0]])[rplct]

;c Dealing with the last column
rplct = reform(rebin(indgen(s_v[-1]), reverse(s_v)), prd__s)
result[-1,*] = (vec[total(s_v[0:-2]):total(s_v[0:])-1L])[rplct]

;c Dealing with all columns in between
for ijk=(n_cols-2L,1L,-1L do begin
  nb1 = product(s_v[ijk+1L:])*s_v[ijk]
  nb2 = product(s_v[0:ijk-1L])
  rplct = reform(rebin(reform(rebin(indgen(s_v[ijk]), nb1),nb1), nb1,nb2), nb1*nb2), nb1*nb2)
  result[ijk,*] = (vec[total(s_v[0:ijk-1L]):total(s_v[0:ijk])-1L])[rplct]
endfor

return, result
end

```

I've tested it multiple times with up to 5 sets of values with different lengths and things seem to work out.

I also tried to see how much memory and time it would require to execute on a simple i5 CPU with 6 sets of indgen(6) and 7 sets of indgen(7) just for fun:

```

IDL> u = indgen(6) & v = indgen(6) & w = indgen(6) & x = indgen(6) & y = indgen(6) & z =
indgen(6)
IDL> vec = [u,v,w,x,y,z] & s_v = [n_elements(u), n_elements(v), n_elements(w), n_elements(x),
n_elements(y), n_elements(z)]
IDL> mem = memory(/current) & t=systime(/sec) & mat = compute_combinations(vec,s_v) &
print,systime(/sec)-t, 's' & print, (memory(/highwater)-mem)/1024./1024, 'MB'
0.0057270527s
1.06842MB

```

```

IDL> u = indgen(7) & v = indgen(7) & w = indgen(7) & x = indgen(7) & y = indgen(7) & z =
indgen(7) & a =indgen(7)
IDL> vec = [u,v,w,x,y,z,a] & s_v = [n_elements(u), n_elements(v), n_elements(w), n_elements(x),
n_elements(y), n_elements(z), n_elements(a)]
IDL> mem = memory(/current) & t=systime(/sec) & mat = compute_combinations(vec,s_v) &

```

```
print,systime(/sec)-t, 's' & print, (memory(/highwater)-mem)/1024./1024, 'MB'  
0.074426889s  
20.4207MB
```

I really thank you all guys for your messages, and if you have some more advice or improvement about this script, I'll be glad to read it.

I'll try it some more and I'll put this script on GitHub over the week-end.

Thanks again,
Clement
