
Subject: Re: Is zero-degree fitting possible?
Posted by [korpela](#) on Wed, 10 Apr 1996 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

In article <4kgkvb\$kpj@lastactionhero.rs.itd.umich.edu>,
Khai Trinh Pham <kpham@umich.edu> wrote:

>
> I am having problems doing a very simple zero-degree fit, i.e. fitting
> only one parameter. I've tried POLY_FIT, CURVEFIT, and SVDFIT.
> They each return the following error:
>
> IDL> F = POLY_FIT(Ycalculated, Yexperiment, 0)
> % INVERT: Input must be a square matrix: A.
> % Error occurred at: POLY_FIT 79 @IDL_DIR:[LIB]LIB.TLB(POLY_FIT)

The internal invert function cannot invert a 1x1 array. Kind of silly.

> I just want to find F such that (F * Ycalculated) gives the best fit
> to (Yexperiment).
>
> Am I missing something really simple here?

It looks to me that what you want is....

$$f = \text{total}(Y_{\text{experiment}}) / \text{total}(Y_{\text{calculated}})$$

Which is the solution to

$$\begin{array}{l} n \\ \text{---} \\ > (f * y_c - y_e) = 0 \\ \text{---} \\ 0 \end{array}$$

or better yet, minimize the rms of $(f * y_c - y_e)$ which would
give you.....

$$f = \text{total}(Y_{\text{experiment}} * Y_{\text{calculated}}) / \text{total}(Y_{\text{calculated}} * Y_{\text{calculated}})$$

Eric

--
Eric Korpela | An object at rest can never be
korpela@ssl.berkeley.edu | stopped.

Click here for more info.

Subject: Re: Is zero-degree fitting possible?
Posted by [thompson](#) on Thu, 11 Apr 1996 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

korpela@islay.ssl.berkeley.edu (Eric J. Korpela) writes:

> In article <4kgkvb\$kpj@lastactionhero.rs.itd.umich.edu>,
> Khai Trinh Pham <kpham@umich.edu> wrote:
>>
>> I am having problems doing a very simple zero-degree fit, i.e. fitting
>> only one parameter. I've tried POLY_FIT, CURVEFIT, and SVDFIT.
>> They each return the following error:
>>
>> IDL> F = POLY_FIT(Ycalculated, Yexperiment, 0)
>> % INVERT: Input must be a square matrix: A.
>> % Error occurred at: POLY_FIT 79 @IDL_DIR:[LIB]LIB.TLB(POLY_FIT)

> The internal invert function cannot invert a 1x1 array. Kind of silly.

>> I just want to find F such that (F * Ycalculated) gives the best fit
>> to (Yexperiment).

>>
>> Am I missing something really simple here?

> It looks to me that what you want is....

> f=total(Yexperiment)/total(Ycalculated)

> Which is the solution to

> n
> ---
>> (f*y_c - y_e) = 0
> ---
> 0

> or better yet, minimize the rms of (f*y_c-y_e) which would
> give you.....

> f=total(Yexperiment*Ycalculated)/total(Ycalculated*Ycalculated)

Or more generally, if you know the errors in each of the measured data points,
then the best fit value for F would be

$f = \text{total}((Y_{\text{experiment}} * Y_{\text{calculated}}) / Y_{\text{error}}^2) / \text{total}(Y_{\text{calculated}}^2 / Y_{\text{error}}^2)$

What you're really asking for is not a fit to a zero-degree polynomial, but a

fit to a first-degree polynomial with the zero-order term forced equal to 0.
Thus, even if you were able to pass the parameter 0 into POLY_FIT or any of the
other routines, then it wouldn't have given you the right answer anyway.

Bill Thompson
