## Subject: TIME\_TEST results for Pentium Pro 200 Posted by zawodny on Wed, 08 May 1996 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hi,

Awhile back I mentioned that I'd be getting a P-Pro 200 and would post the results from TIME\_TEST. Well, here they are, but first some info. The system is a Micron Pro Magnun Plus (B) with a 200MHz Pentium Pro CPU, 64MB (non-EDO) ram running Win NT 3.51. The graphics subsystem is a Diamond Stealth 64 PCI w 2MB VRAM This is a brand new clean system with no other applications installed, let alone running. There were no other users on the system.

```
Test# TIME_TEST TIME_TEST2 GRAPHICS_TIMES GRAPHICS_TIMES2
1 0.141 0.297 0.328 0.312
2 0.281 0.266 0.265 1.016
3 0.219 0.234 0.297 2.562
4 0.219 0.219 0.360 0.360
5 0.172 0.172
6 0.141 1.516
7 0.156 0.781
8 0.203 0.563
9 0.406 1.204
10 0.375 1.125
11 0.485 1.437
12 0.047 0.109
13 0.203 0.141
14 0.031 0.031
15 0.016 0.203
16 0.453 0.031
17 0.141 0.032
18 0.437 0.453
19 0.031 0.125
20 0.094 1.375
21 0.078 0.687
22 0.109 0.219
23 0.266 0.516
```

Total 4.704 11.736 1.250 4.250 G-mean 0.149 0.292 0.310 0.735

I had to run TIME\_TEST a few times before I got a non-zero time for test 15. Needless to say, I am very pleased with these numbers which are about twice as fast as my Dec Alpha 3000 Model 600 (175MHz CPU).

Work: Dr. Joseph M. Zawodny Play: Joe Zawodny

NASA Langley Research Center KO4LW@amsat.org

E-mail: J.M.Zawodny@LaRC.NASA.gov zawodny@exis.net

Subject: Re: TIME\_TEST results for Pentium Pro 200 Posted by craig stevens on Mon, 13 May 1996 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

| Ralph Finch wrote | e: | е |
|-------------------|----|---|
|-------------------|----|---|

[.....deleted...]

- > Conclusion: The 686 seems about 3 times as fast as the Sparc 20. Given
- > that I expect an UltraSparc to be also 3 times as fast as our Sparc
- > 20, that would certainly give the nod to the 686 for
- > price/performance. I wonder how the 686 would do running Solaris.

> --

can someone post time\_test for LINUX OS of comparable hardware? thanks

craig