Subject: Re: Numerical Recipes diffs?

Posted by kspencer on Thu, 20 Jun 1996 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

kachun@bogart.Colorado.EDU (Ka Chun Yu) writes:

- > I've now tested the IDL3 and IDL4 equivalents of the above routines
- > with the same inputs, and both routines give different results in
- > the two IDL versions.

One possible reason for the different results is that the new routines take row-major arrays, not column-major. (I might have just switched these two -- anyway, the new routines take the transpose of the old format.) All the differences are described in the on-line help.

Kevin

Kevin Spencer

Cognitive Psychophysiology Laboratory and Beckman Institute University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign kspence1@uiuc.edu

Subject: Re: Numerical Recipes diffs?
Posted by kachun on Thu, 20 Jun 1996 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <4qbh8u\$r9b@fu-berlin.de> marq@strat01.met.fu-berlin.de writes:

- > Ka Chun Yu (kachun@bogart.Colorado.EDU) wrote:
- > : Does anyone know what IDL did between IDL3 and IDL4 as far as
- >: the numerical recipes subroutines go? I've been testing a fitting
- > : program that uses the LUDCMP and LUBKSB routines form _Numerical
- >: Recipes , and I've been using IDL's canned routines. The canned
- > : routines got name changes during the switch from IDL3 to IDL4,
- >: from "nr_ludcmp" and "nr_lubksb" to "ludc" and "lusol". That's
- > : not all though--somehow the code got changed around so that I
- > : get different results depending on which version of IDL I use
- > : even if the input is exactly the same!
- > Check the link 'What was New in IDL version 4.0' / Mathematics Improvements'
- > of the IDL Online Help (idlhelp, or ? from the IDL command prompt).
- > Probably the most important sentence:

>

> "Note that the old routine names still work, but are undocumented."

Yes I noticed this. Unfortunately this statement does not seem to hold for LUDCMP and LUBKSB. Running "nr_ludcmp" or "nr_lubksb" gives me a "Variable is undefined:" error in IDL4.

I've now tested the IDL3 and IDL4 equivalents of the above routines with the same inputs, and both routines give different results in the two IDL versions.

> Chris Marquardt (marq@strat01.met.fu-berlin.de)
-----kachun +** Center for Astrophysics and Space Astronomy
+** University of Colorado, Boulder
+** Email: kachun@casa.colorado.edu
**+
+** http://casa.colorado.edu/~kachun

**+

Subject: Re: Numerical Recipes diffs?
Posted by marq on Thu, 20 Jun 1996 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Ka Chun Yu (kachun@bogart.Colorado.EDU) wrote:

- : Does anyone know what IDL did between IDL3 and IDL4 as far as
- : the numerical recipes subroutines go? I've been testing a fitting
- : program that uses the LUDCMP and LUBKSB routines form _Numerical
- : Recipes , and I've been using IDL's canned routines. The canned
- : routines got name changes during the switch from IDL3 to IDL4,
- : from "nr ludcmp" and "nr lubksb" to "ludc" and "lusol". That's
- : not all though--somehow the code got changed around so that I
- : get different results depending on which version of IDL I use
- : even if the input is exactly the same!
- : I don't think IDL provides the source for these routines in their
- : libraries; they leave them as executables. So does anyone know
- : what IDL did between versions, or should I just forget about this
- : and rewrite everything from scratch (which would be really annoying)?

Check the link 'What was New in IDL version 4.0' / Mathematics Improvements' of the IDL Online Help (idlhelp, or ? from the IDL command prompt). Probably the most important sentence:

"Note that the old routine names still work, but are undocumented."

Hope this helps,

Chris Marquardt (marq@strat01.met.fu-berlin.de)

Subject: Re: Numerical Recipes diffs?
Posted by kachun on Fri, 21 Jun 1996 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <4qchu0\$2qc@vixen.cso.uiuc.edu> kspencer@s.psych.uiuc.edu (Kevin Spencer) writes: > kachun@bogart.Colorado.EDU (Ka Chun Yu) writes:

>

- >> I've now tested the IDL3 and IDL4 equivalents of the above routines
- >> with the same inputs, and both routines give different results in
- >> the two IDL versions.

>

- > One possible reason for the different results is that the new routines
- > take row-major arrays, not column-major. (I might have just switched
- > these two -- anyway, the new routines take the transpose of the old
- > format.) All the differences are described in the on-line help.

Yes! That was the problem. One could either enter in the transpose of the input matrixes, or for some functions, specify the COLUMN keyword to use column-major input. Thanks to all those who helped.

```
> Kevin
--
--kachun +** Center for Astrophysics and Space Astronomy **+
+** University of Colorado, Boulder **+
+** Email: kachun@casa.colorado.edu **+
+** http://casa.colorado.edu/~kachun **+
```