Subject: Re: Problems with the IDL TIME_TEST Posted by hahn on Fri, 12 Jul 1996 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

rsmith@zardoz.gsfc.nasa.gov (Randall Smith) wrote:

- > Rick Shafer, my officemate here at NASA Goddard, was looking over the
- > IDL benchmarks rather carefully recently, trying to make sure
- > everything was kosher...and there seems to be a ham&cheese sandwich in
- > there somewhere.

Let me add two remarks here: IDL uses the time-of-day for measuring.

- 1) For reliable results you need an empty machine, no other users.
- 2) The time of day clock isn't updated very often on a couple of systems, maybe only 60 times per second (no, it is nor synchronized to the power supply), giving a resolution of 16 msec. Thus, if one of the tests (shifting a matrix) only needs 0.04 sec, you should run the test several times. With the speed of todays CPUs (Pentium Pro @ 200 MHz) we actually need time_tes3.pro rather than time_tes2.pro
 - ... And watch paging!!
- > The problem is in the floating-point multiplies...here's the code,
- > cut-n-pasted from the library, for the byte-multiplies and the floating:
- >
- > a=replicate(2b,512,512)
- > reset
- > for i=1,10 do b=a*2b
- > timer, 'Mult 512 by 512 byte by constant and store, 10 times'

[snip]

- > a = float(a)
- > reset
- > for i=1,30 do b=a*2b

As the type of a if float, IDL will convert 2B to float before multiplying the matrix. However, this is done 30 time because of the loop.

> timer, 'Mult 512 by 512 floating by constant and store, 30 times'

(snip)

- > It looks to us as though RSI just copied the code, which is not
- > correct, of course, for floating points. Changing the code slightly,
- > as noted above, results in a change in the benchmark from 0.43 to
- > 0.75, on my Dec Alpha, for an ~40% slowdown, in a fairly important
- > benchmark.

I run this test on my PC, which has an Intel i486: I got the same numbers whether the multiplier was 2.000000 or 3.141592.

However, I got different times when the matrix was filled with !PI rather than 4.000000 as in the original program. More funny: Multiplying !pi with 4.000000 takes a lot more time than multiplying with !pi ** on this CPU ***

I know from other benchmarks that CPU behave differently depending on the values of the operands. This is because a floating multiply is made of shifting the mantissae until the exponents are the same and then multiplying the mantissae. Multiplication is skipped when the multiplier is 0 or 1. So the time for a multiplication highly depents on the number of binary zeroes and ones of the mantissa!!

The 40% slowdown you observerd on a DEC Alpha is very common!

- > Randy Smith (& Rick Shafer)
- > randall.smith@gsfc.nasa.gov
- > rick.shafer@gsfc.nasa.gov

Hope this helps Norbert Hahn