Subject: a BUG or not a BUG in IDL? Posted by Luis E. Liziola on Thu, 18 Jul 1996 07:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
I have a "warning in loops" for you guys...
Check this "stupid" routine:
print, 'Integers'
J = 0
for I = 1,10 do begin
  print.l
  I = I + J
endfor
print, 'Float'
J = 0.0
for I = 1,10 do begin
  print.I
  I = I + J
             ; Here I am changing the Type of "I"
endfor
print, 'Long'
J = 0L
for I = 1,10 do begin
  print, I
  I = I + J
              ; Here I am also changing the type of "I"
  ;if i gt 20 then stop
endfor
end
The first loop if OK, the second loop stops after the first
iteration, but the last loop, just keeps going forever...
Any comments?
At least should be a "WARNING" in the manual...
Luis
University of Colorado
```

Subject: Re: a BUG or not a BUG in IDL?
Posted by steinhh on Fri, 26 Jul 1996 07:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <4so62p\$42j@reznor.larc.nasa.gov>, g.d.nowicki@larc.nasa.gov (Gregory D. Nowicki) writes:

I> HOLD IT!!! |> > You all seem to be missing the point. There is no language I know of that > recommends you modify a control variable within a FOR/DO loop. Results are > unpredictable. If fact, there are a fair number of compilers out there > that will produce an error if you mess with the control variable, not just a > warning. |> |> As with any language, there are ways to shoot yourself in the foot. IDL > just allows you to do it differently. |>

As for comments to the original article, I definitely agree with the above. If you want to mess with a FOR loop variable, make the whole thing into a WHILE loop (or use GOTOs, I don't care as long as I don't have to maintain the software). They might have mentioned it in the manual, but who would read it before finding the problem first?

Stein Vidar Haugan