

---

Subject: A faster way to INTERPOL

Posted by [paul](#) on Fri, 21 Feb 1997 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

I find that using INTERPOL to do 1-d interpolations on large irregular grids can be extremely time consuming. The problem with INTERPOL is that it uses a linear search to find where a given field point fits into the irregular grid. Below you'll find my solution to the problem. The procedure FINDEX uses a binary search to obtain a "floating point index" which can be used with INTERPOLATE. I have found that the FINDEX + INTERPOLATE method can be up to 70 times faster than using INTERPOL. I am donating this procedure to the IDL community in hopes of saving untold millions of machine cycles that would otherwise have been wasted in futile linear searches. But seriously, give it a try and let me know if it breaks.

Regards,

Paul Ricchiazzi

-----8<-----8<-----8<-----8<-----8<-----8 <-----8<

```
function findex,u,v
;+
; ROUTINE: findex
;
; PURPOSE: Compute "floating point index" into a table using binary
;          search. The resulting output may be used with INTERPOLATE.
;
; USEAGE:  result = findex(u,v)
;
; INPUT:
;  u      a monitically increasing or decreasing 1-D grid
;  v      a scalar, or array of values
;
; OUTPUT:
;  result Floating point index. Integer part of RESULT(i) gives
;          the index into to U such that V(i) is between
;          U(RESULT(i)) and U(RESULT(i)+1). The fractional part
;          is the weighting factor
;
;          
$$\frac{V(i)-U(RESULT(i))}{U(RESULT(i)+1)-U(RESULT(i))}$$

;
; DISCUSSION:
```

```

; This routine is used to expedite one dimensional
; interpolation on irregular 1-d grids. Using this routine
; with INTERPOLATE is much faster than IDL's INTERPOL
; procedure because it uses a binary instead of linear
; search algorithm. The speedup is even more dramatic when
; the same independent variable (V) and grid (U) are used
; for several dependent variable interpolations.
;
;
;
;
;

```

```

; EXAMPLE:
;
;

```

```

;; In this example I found the FINDEX + INTERPOLATE combination
;; to be about 60 times faster than INTERPOL.
;
;

```

```

; u=randomu(iseed,200000) & u=u(sort(u))
; v=randomu(iseed,10) & v=v(sort(v))
; y=randomu(iseed,200000) & y=y(sort(y))
;
; t=systime(1) & y1=interpolate(y,findex(u,v)) & print,systime(1)-t
; t=systime(1) & y2=interpol(y,u,v) & print,systime(1)-t
; print,f='(3(a,10f7.4/))', 'findex: ',y1,'interpol: ',y2,'diff: ',y1-y2
;
;

```

```

; AUTHOR: Paul Ricchiazzi 21 Feb 97
; Institute for Computational Earth System Science
; University of California, Santa Barbara
; paul@icess.ucsb.edu
;
;

```

```

; REVISIONS:
;
;
;
;

```

```

nu=n_elements(u)
nv=n_elements(v)

```

```

us=u-shift(u,+1)
us=us(1:*)
umx=max(us,min=umn)
if umx gt 0 and umn lt 0 then message,'u must be monotonic'
if umx gt 0 then inc=1 else inc=0

```

```

maxcomp=fix(alog(float(nu))/alog(2.))+.5)

```

```

; maxcomp = maximum number of binary search iterations

```

```

jlim=lonarr(2,nv)
jlim(0,*)=0 ; array of lower limits
jlim(1,*)=nu-1 ; array of upper limits

```

```
iter=0
repeat begin
  jj=(jlim(0,*)+jlim(1,*)/2
  ii=where(v ge u(jj),n) & if n gt 0 then jlim(1-inc,ii)=jj(ii)
  ii=where(v lt u(jj),n) & if n gt 0 then jlim(inc,ii)=jj(ii)
  jdif=max(jlim(1,*)-jlim(0,*))
  if iter gt maxcomp then begin
    print,maxcomp,iter, jdif
    message,'binary search failed'
  endif
  iter=iter+1
endrep until jdif eq 1

w=v-v
w(*)=(v-u(jlim(0,*)))/(u(jlim(0,*)+1)-u(jlim(0,*)+jlim(0,*)

return,w
end
```

--

---

Paul Ricchiazzi  
Institute for Computational Earth System Science (ICESS)  
University of California, Santa Barbara

email: paul@icess.ucsb.edu

---