

---

Subject: Re: A TRACKING\_EVENT MYSTERY (was widget\_event mystery)

Posted by [davidf](#) on Fri, 21 Feb 1997 08:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

JD Smith <jdsmith@astrosun.tn.cornell.edu> writes:

```
> I have narrowed the problem. Widget_event is crashing on
> TRACKING_EVENTS. I have used these in the past with no problems. Here
> is a very simple way I generated the error:
>
> pro test_event,ev
>   help,ev,/st
>   return
> end
>
> pro test
>   b=widget_base(/TRACKING_EVENTS,xsize=5,ysize=5)
>   for i=0,100 do begin
>     print,'prior to event call'
>     a=widget_event(b) ; <---- crashes here on first time around
>     print,'after event call'
>     help,a,/st
>   endfor
> end
```

This code worked on my Mac (after I added a line to realize the base widget, which I assume was inadvertently left out of the code).

I don't know what might be happening here, but there is a *very* strange widget bug in IDL that can cause you to think your machine has been taken over by spirits of the underworld. I see it often when I am creating "pseudo" widget events. As soon as you touch a widget, you crash in XManager with an "Array diminsions must be greater than zero" message.

It has to do with defining event structures yourself in event handlers. You may be doing this here because from this code fragment it looks like you prefer to do things the hard way (i.e., manage events yourself instead of letting XManager do it).

The insidious thing about this bug is when it manifests itself. If a widget program is run in the IDL session *before* you run the widget program that tickles this bug, you see nothing wrong with your program. If you run the bug tickling program

\*first\* then every widget you touch fails! I once spent three hellish weeks reinstalling software, checking for viruses, and praying fervently before I discovered the source of this strange behavior. I hear it is fixed in IDL 5.0.

Now I avoid the problem by declaring my pseudo event structures in my widget definition module instead of in my event handlers. For some reason, this makes IDL happy. It's simpler than sacrificing virgins, which is what I was going to try next. :-)

Cheers!

David

-----  
David Fanning, Ph.D.  
Fanning Software Consulting  
2642 Bradbury Court, Fort Collins, CO 80521  
Phone: 970-221-0438 Fax: 970-221-4762  
E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com  
Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: <http://www.dfanning.com>  
-----

---