Thanks for all the response to my original request for benchmarks.

I have a few points to make:

1. Per the on-line IDL documentation, the pre-Jul 90 version
 of TIME_TEST is 10 (ten) times less intensive than the post-
 Jul 90 version. This means that old version of the test
 will run about 10 times faster. (I dont know which Version
 the change happened at).

2. The last TIME_TEST routine is, in effect, a disk test
 (as pointed out by Glen Carver) so the full printout from
 the tests is needed for meaningfull comparision.

3. A couple of people have had problems running GRAPHICS_TIMES.
 The correct spelling of the routine is as above, and the
 routine is only compiled by running the TIME_TEST routine.

I'm compiling all results into some kind of usable format, and will
post summary time in the next few weeks.

Matt Sexton Sexton@claes.space.lockheed.com