

Subject: Re: ishft(-32768,-1) = 2147467264 ?

Posted by [George McCabe](#) on Thu, 19 Jun 1997 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> (Can't remember what the IDL equivalent of info is...)

the IDL equivalent to info is help

> Basically, IDL parses '-' as the unary operator, operating on an

> unsigned constant, not as part of the constant. This problem

- > arises in other languages which lack explicit typing of embedded

> constants.

Is it true that IDL interprets the explicitly typed `fix(-32768)` as a

negative constant and not as an operator and an unsigned constant?

Thanx for the mail.

George McCabe (HSTX)

NASA / GSFC

Tel: (301)286-8283, Fax: (301)286-0212

Code 693, B2 R151

E-mail: george.mccabe@gsfc.nasa.gov

Greenbelt, MD 20912

[illegible]

Basically, because 32768 is a long integer. When IDL parses the

line of code

$x = -32768$

it sees it as

"x becomes equal to the additive inverse of 32768"

Since 32678 is greater than the biggest possible integer, it is

made a long integer. Compare the following

WAVE> x=-32768

```
WAVE> info, x
```

X LONG = -32768

WAVE> x=-32767-1

WAVE> info, x

X INT = -32768

(Can't remember what the IDL equivalent of info is...)

Basically, IDL parses '-' as the unary operator, operating on an

unsigned constant, not as part of the constant. This problem

arises in other languages which lack explicit typing of embedded

Stephen Ritcey (902)494-3313 (voice) (902)494-5191 (fax)
Physics Dept., Dalhousie Univ., Halifax, N.S., Canada B3H 3J5

Subject: Re: ishft(-32768,-1) = 2147467264 ?
Posted by [George McCabe](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 1997 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

mail message quoted below >>>

On Thu, 19 Jun 1997, George McCabe wrote:

> Is it true that IDL interprets the explicitly typed `fix(-32768)` as a
> negative constant and not as an operator and an unsigned constant?

I guess I have no way of knowing for sure, but what I'd say is that when parsing "fix(-32768)" IDL says to itself...

i) Hmm, "32768"... that can't be an ordinary integer, so I'll make it a long.

ii) There's the unary operator "-", so I'll change the sign.
(value is still a long).

iii) Look here, it's a call to `FIX`, i.e., a request to convert to an ordinary integer. The argument is a value I can map into the set of integers, so I'll do that.

Hope this helps

Stephen Ritcey (902)494-3313 (voice) (902)494-5191 (fax)
Physics Dept., Dalhousie Univ., Halifax, N.S., Canada B3H 3J5

end of quoted message <<<

[illegible]

Subject: Re: ishft(-32768,-1) = 2147467264 ?

Posted by [George McCabe](#) on Fri, 20 Jun 1997 07:00:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Fri, 20 Jun 1997 09:37:31 -0400, Jack Saba wrote:

> From the user's guide (v3.6,p 3-2):

 $\triangleright$

> "Inegers with absolute values greater than 32767 are automatically

> promoted to longword type."

 ∇

Jack,

Thank you for pointing that out. It makes `ABS()` work, since the

absolute value of -32768 is not a member of the short integers. But

when definitions are contradictory I get confused. Ultimately, I am

going to make mistakes in my code, forgetting one or more of the rules.

Still, -32768 is first a short integer and then also a long integer,

in my mind at least.

George

George McCabe (HSTX)

NASA / GSFC

Tel: (301)286-8283, Fax: (301)286-0212

Code 693, B2 R151

E-mail: george.mccabe@gsfc.nasa.gov

Greenbelt, MD 20912