
Subject: Child widget group leader of its own TLB?
Posted by [nhbkwich](#) on Mon, 30 Jun 1997 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dear IDL experts,

is it possible (allowed) to make a child widget a group leader of its own top level base?

For me (I'm running IDL 4.0.1 under HP-UX 10.20) this works fine, as long as the child widget is the last one in the hierachy. But if it isn't...

Consider the following example:

```
PRO GroupTest1, Id = Id
  Top = WIDGET_BASE(/ROW)
  Text = WIDGET_TEXT(Top)
  Exit = WIDGET_BUTTON(Top, VALUE = 'Dummy')
  WIDGET_CONTROL, Top, GROUP_LEADER = Text, /REALIZE
  Id = Text
END
```

The text widget is the one, whose id is passed outside and which is supposed to act as group leader. Go ahead:

```
IDL> grouptest1, id = id
IDL> widget_control, id, /destroy
% X windows protocol error: (BadWindow (invalid Window parameter)).
```

Apparently, the window manager has something to complain about. Sometimes - not reproducible for me - this even crashes IDL completely. I've fiddled around with different ways to avoid this error. It disappears when updating is switched off before destroying the text widget. But, according to the manual, manipulating the update state has only effect on Motif based window systems. So that solution would be rather unportable.

I ended up with a kind of group leader cascade:

```
PRO GroupTest1, Id = Id
  Top = WIDGET_BASE(/ROW)
  Text = WIDGET_TEXT(Top)
  Exit = WIDGET_BUTTON(Top, GROUP_LEADER = Text, VALUE = 'Dummy')
  WIDGET_CONTROL, Top, /REALIZE
  WIDGET_CONTROL, Top, GROUP_LEADER = Exit
  Id = Text
END
```

This method is meant to ensure, that there is no widget behind "Text" when "Top" is destroyed. This appears to work, but is it reliable?
Is there a defined order of evaluation in such a cascade?

—

PGP fingerprint = FA BE 6C 1C F6 C3 EC 33 DD 42 6B 7F DE CF 84 B8

nhbkmich@rrzn-user.uni-hannover.de wrote:

Michael -

I think you may be confused about the concept of GROUP_LEADER and its effect on widget destruction. When you create a widget heirarchy, any and all widgets that are "below" the TLB will be destroyed automatically when you destroy the TLB. By "below" I mean that you can trace back from a widget up through the heirarchy and get to the TLB. So there is no need for a cascade as you mention above.

The GROUP_LEADER keyword is intended for situations where you have one widget program up and running, and then you wish to call another widget (a program or a modal popup) from within that first program. In the second program you specify the TLB of the first widget as the GROUP_LEADER. When you do this, the second widget will be destroyed if the first is destroyed. You can have a whole series of programs up, and have them all destroyed at once. This is also useful when a program has several (or many!) widgets that all must go when the parent widget is destroyed.

Hope this helps.

Dave

--

~~~~~  
David S. Foster      Univ. of California, San Diego  
Programmer/Analyst   Brain Image Analysis Laboratory  
foster@bial1.ucsd.edu   Department of Psychiatry  
(619) 622-5892      8950 Via La Jolla Drive, Suite 2200  
La Jolla, CA 92037  
~~~~~

"I have this theory that if we're told we're bad,
then that's the only idea we'll ever have.
But maybe if we are surrounded in beauty,
someday we will become what we see." - Jewel Kilcher

Subject: Re: Child widget group leader of its own TLB?
Posted by [nhbkmich](#) on Fri, 04 Jul 1997 07:00:00 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Foster (foster@bial1.ucsd.edu) wrote:
: Michael -

: I think you may be confused about the concept of GROUP_LEADER and
: its effect on widget destruction. When you create a widget heirarchy,
: any and all widgets that are "below" the TLB will be destroyed
: automatically when you destroy the TLB. By "below" I mean that you can

: trace back from a widget up through the heirarchy and get to the
: TLB. So there is no need for a cascade as you mention above.

In the actual case, there is some need. The widget application passes the Id of a (child) text widget outside, rather than its top level Id. The reason is, that I want to be able to manipulate this text widget from outside in more ways than possible by `FUNC_GET_VALUE` and `PRO_SET_VALUE`. Things like dis- or enabling editing, dis- or enabling events created by the text widget or establishing a separate event handler. But one possible manipulation is also destroying the text widget. In this case, there would only remain a kind of ruin of a mini window frame containing meaningless buttons and labels. I intended the whole application to quit in such a situation as if "Done" had been pressed.

```
: The GROUP_LEADER keyword is intended for situations where you have
: one widget program up and running, and then you wish to call another
: widget (a program or a modal popup) from within that first program.
: In the second program you specify the TLB of the first widget as the
: GROUP_LEADER. When you do this, the second widget will be destroyed
: if the first is destroyed. You can have a whole series of programs
: up, and have them all destroyed at once. This is also useful when
: a program has several (or many!) widgets that all must
: go when the parent widget is destroyed.
```

I know, this is the most common use of widget groups. But is it restricted to this use? Neither the online help nor the printed manuals state something like that. Furthermore, wouldn't this imply, that only top level bases can reasonably be group leaders as well as `_group members_`? If so, why do all widget creation functions, including `WIDGET_TEXT`, `WIDGET_BUTTON`, `WIDGET_LABEL` etc., which can never create top level widgets, accept the `GROUP_LEADER` keyword?

: Hope this helps.

Yes it does. Maybe my goal is a bit too exotic. But I'm not yet really convinced :-)

Michael

--

Michael Steffens Institut f. Meteorologie u. Klimatologie
Tel.: +49-511-7624413 Universitaet Hannover
email: Michael.Steffens@mbox.muk.uni-hannover.de Herrenhaeuser Str. 2
steffens@muk.uni-hannover.de D-30419 Hannover

PGP fingerprint = FA BE 6C 1C F6 C3 EC 33 DD 42 6B 7F DE CF 84 B8