Subject: Re: Object-Oriented Programming Question Posted by davidf on Wed, 17 Dec 1997 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Peter Stoltz (pstoltz@pppl.gov) writes:

- > I have finally gotten around to trying out object-oriented programming
- > with IDL, and I have a question about data encapsulation.

>

- > I define an object structure A that has as one of its data members
- > another object B (A has a B). So far as I can tell, when one creates
- > an instance of A, one cannot invoke the methods of class B through
- > the syntax

>

- > IDL> a=obj_new('A')
- > IDL> a.b->some_method

I think the correct syntax is this:

a->b::some_method

This will search the superclass b and any of its superclasses.

- > Also, is there a mailing list or anything specifically about
- > object-oriented programming in IDL?

This is a good idea. I've been thinking about some kind of programming newsletter. Maybe when I have some time...

Cheers,

David

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Phone: 970-221-0438

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Subject: Re: Object-Oriented Programming Question Posted by rivers on Thu, 18 Dec 1997 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <34986079.BC1BBB4F@pppl.gov>, Peter Stoltz <pstoltz@pppl.gov> writes: >> Hi everyone-

>

- > I have finally gotten around to trying out object-oriented programming
- > with IDL, and I have a question about data encapsulation.

>

- > I define an object structure A that has as one of its data members
- > another object B (A has a B). So far as I can tell, when one creates an

>

- > instance of A, one cannot invoke the methods of class B through the
- > syntax

>

- > IDL> a=obj_new('A')
- > IDL> a.b->some_method

>

- > % Object instance data is not visible outside class methods
- > % Execution halted

It's much simpler:

IDL> a->some method

will invoke member b's "some_method". This works fine as long as "some_method" is not "overloaded", i.e. "a" does not have a routine of the same name. If it does, then you will get "a's" version by default. There is a syntax which will allow access to b's method even in this case. I forget it, but it's in the manual.

Mark Rivers (773) 702-2279 (office)
CARS (773) 702-9951 (secretary)
Univ. of Chicago (773) 702-5454 (FAX)
5640 S. Ellis Ave. (708) 922-0499 (home)

Chicago, IL 60637 rivers@cars.uchicago.edu (e-mail)

or:

Argonne National Laboratory (630) 252-0422 (office)

Building 434A (630) 252-0405 (lab)

9700 South Cass Avenue (630) 252-1713 (beamline)

Argonne, IL 60439 (630) 252-0443 (FAX)