Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (sunos sparc) map_set and contour Posted by Andy Loughe on Thu, 22 Jan 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning wrote:

- > One might argue (as I am sure RSI would) that it actually
- > worked *incorrectly* before and it works correctly now.
- > But, no, I can't tell you what is going on. It would
- > be helpful if RSI could provide a bit more explanation about
- > these kinds of changes.

- > I do notice that most of the people who are experiencing
- > map problems now are using (or moving from) IDL 4. Is there
- > a general feeling among the people who use map projections
- > that they are better in IDL 5?

> Cheers,

> David

I am sticking with IDL 4 because I don't have the time or interest to figure out again (I've been doing this since version 2.?) what home-grown routines must be re-written to handle RSI's incompatible backwards-compatability! I laugh every time they come out with a new version of the software and promise 100% backwards compatability. We aren't idiots... or are we?!

Andrew F. Loughe afl@cdc.noaa.gov University of Colorado, CIRES Box 449 | http://cdc.noaa.gov/~afl Boulder, CO 80309-0449 | phn:(303)492-0707 fax:(303)497-7013

sense, reason, and intellect has intended us to forego their use." -Galileo

Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (sunos sparc) map_set and contour Posted by Jean Newman on Fri, 23 Jan 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, 22 Jan 1998, David Fanning wrote:

Page 1 of 3 ---- Generated from

[&]quot;I do not feel obliged to believe that the same God who has endowed us with

> Jean Newman (jcn@u.washington.edu) writes:

>

- >> I have some IDL routines which under IDL 4* would plot a map and then
- >> place filled contours of bathymetry on the map. Under IDL 5.0.2 it shifts
- >> all the fill colors up 1, the color is on the up side rather than on the
- >> downside of the contour line. The weird part is if I comment out the
- >> map_set command and use plot instead, with everything else the same, then
- >> it works just as it did before/correctly. Could anyone tell me what's
- >> aoina on?

>

- > One might argue (as I am sure RSI would) that it actually
- > worked *incorrectly* before and it works correctly now.
- > But, no, I can't tell you what is going on. It would
- > be helpful if RSI could provide a bit more explanation about
- > these kinds of changes.

>

Ok, so CONTOUR works a little different now. I set up a simple array and using PLOT & CONTOUR I figured out what I needed to do to get my filled contours to look the same, which involved adding an extra contour level equal to my bottom value. But when I made the changes to my mapping routine it still shifted the colors up 1, the color for -500 was used for -250 etc. So I changed the MAP_SET to PLOT just to see if it made a difference and it did, the contouring did just what I expected with no color shifting. Then I went back to my simple set and changed PLOT to MAP SET and nothing changed, no color shifting. So why, with a more complicated data set, does it work differently depending on which command, MAP SET or PLOT, is used with CONTOUR?

- > I do notice that most of the people who are experiencing
- > map problems now are using (or moving from) IDL 4. Is there
- > a general feeling among the people who use map projections
- > that they are better in IDL 5?

The one map thing that I found works in 5 that didn't work correctly in 4 is map continents,/fill. Jean

```
> Cheers,
>
> David
>
> David Fanning, Ph.D.
```

- > Fanning Software Consulting
- > E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com
- > Phone: 970-221-0438
- > Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

>

Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (sunos sparc) map_set and contour Posted by Jean Newman on Tue, 27 Jan 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Just to let you know, we got IDL 5.0.3 and /cell_follow works and my problems went away. Jean