Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (filled contours) unsolved? Posted by Andy Loughe on Tue, 03 Mar 1998 08:00:00 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning wrote:

- > Is this the same Andy Loughe who used to work at NOAA!?
- > I'd say RSI must finally be getting their act together to
- > get this kind of comment from THAT Andy Loughe. :-)

Now how many "Andy Loughes" do you run across in your travels!? I still have my moments of frustration, but I like to think I call a spade a spade, and some problems--not all-- have been fixed by RSI. I believe, for example, that map_continents, /fill is greatly improved in v5.0.3.

- > P.S. Did you see Scott Lett's comments yesterday that there
- > would be MEAN, VARIANCE, STDEV, etc. routines in IDL 5.1?
- > Is it just my imagination or is RSI really starting to
- > pay closer attention to what customers want and need?

Yes, and I was surprised by the return email address. For whom does Scott Lett work... (hello, Scott)?

If RSI were to take *any* old routines out of IDL it would affect backward compatability, so I hope they do not remove stdev as was earlier announced. What are we supposed to do, replace all occurrences of stdev with sqrt((moment(array))(1))? I am still unable to use my routines that require the !map.out system variable that was removed in version 5 of IDL. What am I to do, add a call to map_set_II_box? That is exactly what I have done... with mixed success. Help!

- > By the way, I've been writing object-oriented programs
- > this week and I am absolutely blown away by how powerful,
- > flexible, and simple these programs can be. This is the best
- > thing RSI has EVER added to IDL with the exception of widgets.
- > If you haven't yet tried to write an OOP program, give it
- > a go. I guarantee you will be impressed with it.

I'll try and find some time for OOP!
With 3-1/3 kids at home I am an expert at GOOP and SLOP. ;-)

If I could increase our IDL user base here at NOAA, we could have you down for a PAID performance to teach us OOP.

Good luck with the newly expanded teaching role you announced this weekend.

Andrew F. Loughe afl@cdc.noaa.gov University of Colorado, CIRES Box 449 | http://cdc.noaa.gov/~afl

Boulder, CO 80309-0449 | phn:(303)492-0707

fax:(303)497-7013

sense, reason, and intellect has intended us to forego their use."

-Galileo

Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (filled contours) unsolved? Posted by davidf on Tue, 03 Mar 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Andy Loughe (afl@cdc.noaa.gov) writes:

- > My experience is that RSI has addressed many of these problems
- > in their most recent version, which I believe to be 5.0.3.

Is this the same Andy Loughe who used to work at NOAA!? I'd say RSI must finally be getting their act together to get this kind of comment from THAT Andy Loughe. :-)

Cheers.

David

P.S. Did you see Scott Lett's comments yesterday that there would be MEAN, VARIANCE, STDEV, etc. routines in IDL 5.1? Is it just my imagination or is RSI really starting to pay closer attention to what customers want and need?

By the way, I've been writing object-oriented programs this week and I am absolutely blown away by how powerful, flexible, and simple these programs can be. This is the best thing RSI has EVER added to IDL with the exception of widgets. If you haven't yet tried to write an OOP program, give it a go. I guarantee you will be impressed with it.

(I'm not talking about the object graphics class library, which is much more difficult to learn. But just writing

[&]quot;I do not feel obliged to believe that the same God who has endowed us with

programs as objects with their own methods, etc. I spent the last two days writing a plot object that uses direct graphics calls. It does things that I didn't think were possible in IDL! And every time I make it do something else, I get about 10 new ideas for something ELSE it can do. I like it.)

.....

David Fanning, Ph.D.

Fanning Software Consulting E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Phone: 970-221-0438

>

Coyote's Guide to IDL Programming: http://www.dfanning.com/

Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (filled contours) unsolved? Posted by Andy Loughe on Tue, 03 Mar 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

> Oops! Looking further on the net, I came across:

> http://www.dfanning.com/idl5_info/cell_fill.html

> which seems to indicate that the problem has already been raised

> to RSI, and a response is pending.

> This hopefully means that a solution is on its way from RSI.

My experience is that RSI has addressed many of these problems in their most recent version, which I believe to be 5.0.3. There is still a problem when latmin=-90.00 and latmax=90.00, and so you have to alter those points to be something like +-89.99. This latest work-around came from a kind soul on this newsgroup.

Get the newest version of IDL, and please let us know if your problems are solved. If not, I am curious to know what map projection you are using... just the cylindrical? If it is Mollweide or Polar sterographic, you may find it necessary to limit your data to that portion of the map that is visible-those points which are "mappable" by the map_set routine.

Have fun!

-Andrew F. Loughe |
afl@cdc.noaa.gov
University of Colorado, CIRES Box 449 |
http://cdc.noaa.gov/~afl

Boulder, CO 80309-0449 | phn:(303)492-0707 fax:(303)497-7013 "I do not feel obliged to believe that the same God who has endowed us with sense, reason, and intellect has intended us to forego their use." -Galileo Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (filled contours) unsolved? Posted by Mikael Barfred on Tue, 03 Mar 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message Mikael Barfred wrote: > > Hello, > I have searched dejanews for articles in this newsgroup regarding > the filled contour problems in IDL 5.0.2. I got a handfull of > recent articles on this, indicating that I am not the only > frustrated IDL 5.0.2 user, but none of them had a solution > or even an explanation to the problem, so I will try to raise > the question again: > [... SNIP! ...] Oops! Looking further on the net, I came across: http://www.dfanning.com/idl5_info/cell_fill.html which seems to indicate that the problem has already been raised to RSI, and a response is pending. This hopefully means that a solution is on its way from RSI. Mikael

MSc Mikael Barfred | E-mail : mb@dmi.dk
Danish Meteorological Institute | Phone (work): (+45) 39 15 74 66
Met. and Oceanographic Research Div. | Fax (work): (+45) 39 15 74 60
Lyngbyvej 100 | Phone (home): (+45) 44 49 12 77
DK-2100 Copenhagen 0E, DENMARK | http://chaos.fys.dtu.dk/~mb

Page 4 of 11 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive

Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (filled contours) unsolved? Posted by Mikael Barfred on Tue, 03 Mar 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Hello,

I have searched dejanews for articles in this newsgroup regarding the filled contour problems in IDL 5.0.2. I got a handfull of recent articles on this, indicating that I am not the only frustrated IDL 5.0.2 user, but none of them had a solution or even an explanation to the problem, so I will try to raise the question again:

I have a global dataset, and two vectors holding the lon and lat coordinates.

I plot them using:

```
map_set contour, DATA, LON, LAT, nlevels=10, /cell_fill, /overplot
```

When plotting, it does the right thing most of the time, but suddenly during the process, big spikes and triangles may be drawn across the whole plot, leaving the result useless, of course.

some times, but not always, it writes:

% Program caused arithmetic error: Floating illegal operand

after completing the plot.

I have access to both IDL v. 5.0.2 and v. 4.0.1. In the old version, excactly the same task using excactly the same data, produces the correct plot with no spurious spikes or triangles messing everything up, and no error messages afterwards.

Some detailed info (which may not be relevant?): The DATA array is 320x160 with range: -3000 to 55000 and no undefined values. It starts from (-180, -90), as the IDL 5.0.2 contour doc. says "the X and Y arrays (if supplied) must be arranged in increasing order" when using contour on map projections.

The LON is a vector with 320 points, with range: -180.0 to 180.0 and the LAT is a vector with 160 points, with range: -89.14 to 89.14 The first and last columns in DATA are duplicates, as they in fact represent the same physical locations.

This really beats me! Can it be anything but a (fullfeatured roaring trucksize) bug?

- What do you think?

Mikael

MSc Mikael Barfred | E-mail : mb@dmi.dk

Danish Meteorological Institute | Phone (work): (+45) 39 15 74 66 Met. and Oceanographic Research Div. | Fax (work): (+45) 39 15 74 60

Lyngbyvej 100 | Phone (home): (+45) 44 49 12 77

DK-2100 Copenhagen 0E, DENMARK | http://chaos.fys.dtu.dk/~mb

Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (filled contours) unsolved? Posted by Reinhold Schaaf on Wed, 04 Mar 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Fanning wrote:

- > By the way, I've been writing object-oriented programs
- > this week and I am absolutely blown away by how powerful,
- > flexible, and simple these programs can be. This is the best
- > thing RSI has EVER added to IDL with the exception of widgets.
- > If you haven't yet tried to write an OOP program, give it
- > a go. I guarantee you will be impressed with it.

>

- > (I'm not talking about the object graphics class library,
- > which is much more difficult to learn. But just writing
- > programs as objects with their own methods, etc. I spent the
- > last two days writing a plot object that uses direct graphics
- > calls. It does things that I didn't think were possible
- > in IDL! And every time I make it do something else, I get
- > about 10 new ideas for something ELSE it can do. I like it.)

>

As a newcomer to IDL with a background in C++, I more or less share David's opinion on object oriented programming in IDL. I am programming a (simple) user-inteface for data-reduction software, tried object graphics, found it full of bugs (or unexpected features?), and settled on a combination of direct graphics and object oriented programming.

When I started digging into IDL, I could not believe that object oriented programming was possible without any typechecking. Well, it can be done (of course one must be prepared to do a lot of testing and debugging which would be unnecessary in C++). As a second point, one really has to get used to the fact that objects cannot be created on the stack, (stack objects are destructed automatically on exit from the

program unit in which the object was constructed). Hence one is forced to destruct any object manually, which is highly error-prone and makes life really uncomfortable.

A third remark concernes event handling: It is not possible to define a method of a class as the event handler of a widget. Consider:

```
PRO CFrame Define
struct = { CFrame,
       wBase:0L. $
       wDraw:0L $
      }
END
FUNCTION CFrame::Init
self.wBase = WIDGET_BASE()
self.wDraw = WIDGET DRAW(self.wBase)
WIDGET_CONTROL, self.wBase, EVENT_PRO='CFrame::Event'; Not allowed!
WIDGET CONTROL, self.wBase, /REALIZE
RETURN, 1
END
PRO CFrame::Event, sEvent
 :handle events
END
```

This leads to the runtime error:

% WIDGET CONTROL: Object method is not allowed in this context.

As a consequence, one is forced to make the event handler a global function. But global functions cannot access members of objects, so one has to add methods to the class which would be unnecessary otherwise. I.e. handling resize events in the example requires:

- A way to get the CFrame object that contains the widget sEvent.id.
 Therefore one has to maintain a list of all existing CFrame objects.
 This list has to be supplied with methods to search for a widget in its
 members.
- CFrame::Resize, xSize, ySize, in which the actual resizing is done.

Things could be ways simpler if global functions were allowed to be event handling routines! I wonder whether this could be changed in future versions of IDL.

Bye Reinhold Reinhold Schaaf Ettighofferstr. 22 53123 Bonn Germany Tel.: 0049-228-625713 Email: schaaf@astro.uni-bonn.de Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (filled contours) unsolved? Posted by hcp on Wed, 04 Mar 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message In article <34FBFD71.3F54@dmi.dk>, Mikael Barfred <mb@dmi.dk> writes: I> Mikael Barfred wrote: **|> >** |> > Hello, |> > I have searched dejanews for articles in this newsgroup regarding |> > the filled contour problems in IDL 5.0.2. I got a handfull of > recent articles on this, indicating that I am not the only |> > frustrated IDL 5.0.2 user, but none of them had a solution |> > or even an explanation to the problem, so I will try to raise |> > the question again: |> > [... SNIP! ...] [The snipped stuff is that the cell_fill keyword causes your plot to degenerate into a mess of flashing triangles] > Oops! Looking further on the net, I came across: |> |> http://www.dfanning.com/idl5_info/cell_fill.html |> > which seems to indicate that the problem has already been raised > to RSI, and a response is pending. When I brought this up a few months ago, RSI supplied a work-around. The work-around is to use this short wrapper function to contour instead of contour itself.

```
PRO CONTOUR_CELL, z, x, y, _EXTRA=e
; This program was supplied by RSI as a fix for the bugs in the
; cell_fill algorithm of the contour
; routine. contour_cell,data,xgrid,ygrid,/cell_fill will work where
; contour ,data,xgrid,ygrid,/cell_fill will not
nx = n_elements(x)
                           :Divide a rectangular grid into
                   ;triangles
ny = n elements(y)
tr = lonarr(6, nx-1, ny-1, /NOZERO)
for iy=0, ny-2 do for ix=0,nx-2 do $; Make the triangles
 tr(0, ix, iy) = [0, 1, nx+1, 0, nx+1, nx] + (ix + iy*nx)
                   :2/cell
CONTOUR, z, x # replicate(1,ny), replicate(1,nx) # y, $
 TRIANGULATION=tr, _EXTRA=e
end
Hugh
Hugh C. Pumphrey
                           | Telephone 0131-650-6026
                             | FAX
Department of Meteorology
                                        0131-662-4269
The University of Edinburgh | Replace 0131 with +44-131 if outside U.K.
EDINBURGH EH9 3JZ, Scotland | Email hcp@met.ed.ac.uk
OBDisclaimer: The views expressed herein are mine, not those of UofE.
```

Subject: Re: Trouble with IDL 5.0.2 (filled contours) unsolved? Posted by rivers on Thu, 05 Mar 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

In article <34FD2406.D7CD49EC@gmx.net>, Reinhold Schaaf <Kakadu42@gmx.net> writes:

```
> A third remark concernes event handling: It is not possible to define a
> method of a class as the event handler of a widget. Consider:
>
> PRO CFrame__Define
> struct = { CFrame, $
> wBase:0L, $
> wDraw:0L $
> }
```

```
> END
> FUNCTION CFrame::Init
> self.wBase = WIDGET_BASE()
> self.wDraw = WIDGET_DRAW(self.wBase)
> WIDGET_CONTROL, self.wBase, EVENT_PRO='CFrame::Event'; Not allowed!
> WIDGET CONTROL, self.wBase, /REALIZE
> RETURN, 1
> END
> PRO CFrame::Event, sEvent
> :handle events
> END
> This leads to the runtime error:
> % WIDGET_CONTROL: Object method is not allowed in this context.
> As a consequence, one is forced to make the event handler a global
> function. But global functions cannot access members of objects, so one
> has to add methods to the class which would be unnecessary otherwise.
I agree that this is somewhat inconvenient, but the workaround is really pretty
easy. The trick I use is to stick the object itself in the uvalue of the top
level base for this object. There is no need to keep the list of objects.
Here is an example:
pro mca display event, event; event processing for MCA application
  widget_control, event.top, get_uvalue = mca_display
  mca_display->event, event
end
pro mca display::event, event : event processing for MCA application
  case event.id of
.... Rest of event processing goes here.
       ********* ***********************
function mca_display::init, font_size=font_size, parent=parent
  base = self.widgets.base
  widget control, base, set uvalue=self
  xmanager, 'mca display::init', base, event='mca display event', $
```

cleanup = 'mca_display_cleanup', /no_block

. . .

Mark Rivers (773) 702-2279 (office)
CARS (773) 702-9951 (secretary)
Univ. of Chicago (773) 702-5454 (FAX)
5640 S. Ellis Ave. (708) 922-0499 (home)

Chicago, IL 60637 rivers@cars.uchicago.edu (e-mail)

or:

Argonne National Laboratory (630) 252-0422 (office)

Building 434A (630) 252-0405 (lab)

9700 South Cass Avenue (630) 252-1713 (beamline)

Argonne, IL 60439 (630) 252-0443 (FAX)