Subject: Array intersections
Posted by Robert Moss on Thu, 26 Feb 1998 08:00:00 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

I'm sure there were some clever responses to this same question just a few months ago, but for the life of me I cannot recall what they were. Here's the question:

What is the most efficient way (using IDL, of course) to return the index at which two arrays intersect? e.g.

```
a = [ 1B, 2B, 9B, 5B, 6B, 11B ]
b = [ 5B, 6B ]
idx = intersect( a, b )
idx = 3
```

This is a highly simplified example, but the point is that I want a function that will accept two array inputs (byte arrays in my specific case) and return to me the index in array a where the subset b starts.

Refresh my memory, please.

```
Robert M. Moss, Ph.D. - mossrm@texaco.com - FAX (713)954-6911
```

This does not necessarily reflect the opinions of Texaco Inc.

Subject: Re: Array intersections Posted by David Foster on Mon, 09 Mar 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
J.D. Smith wrote:

> Andy Loughe wrote:

>> What is the most efficient way (using IDL, of course) to return

>>> the index at which two arrays intersect? e.g.

>>> <snip>
>>

> I believe the response of David Fanning does not return the "index"

>> at which two arrays intersect, but the actual values themselves

>> (right?).

>> Here is one solution for what you have asked for...

> I made these comments about this method last year:

> Check out the NASA library routine match(), which is array based. It uses a
```

>> flag array and an index array, so the memory overhead is roughly 3 times the >> sum of the two arrays, but it's pretty fast. It's attached. Note that it takes >> vectors, so you've go to flatten your array upon input (with reform). >> > >> Just make sure you don't try and use [where\_array] with big arrays -- it's an n^2 >algorithm (versus the order n algorithms posted prior). E.g., to compare two >floating 128x128 arrays for overlapping values, the program would create 3 arrays, >each of which takes 1 GB! The routine match() is likely much more efficient for >doing intersections on big arrays. (Unless you have some serious RAM on your >machine). > JD Some time ago someone from RSI posted these routines for doing array computations. I have found them to be very fast, and memory efficient as well. If you need a routine to return the VALUES of the intersection, you can download FIND ELEMENTS.PRO at: ftp://bial8.ucsd.edu pub/software/idl/share/idl share.tar.gz This routine is quite fast! It returns the values, not the indices. Enjoy! Here are the routines posted by RSI: ----- SNIP -----SETARRAY\_UTILS.PRO [RSI] 9-04-97 Routines posted on newsgroup by RSI. SetIntersection() is much faster than Find\_Elements(), but it returns the elements themselves, not the indices. Also, it ignores duplicate elements. Set operators. Union, Intersection, and Difference (i.e. return members of A that are not in B.)

These functions operate on arrays of positive integers, which need not be sorted. Duplicate elements are ignored, as they have no effect on the result.

The empty set is denoted by an array with the first element equal to -1.

These functions will not be efficient on sparse sets with wide ; ranges, as they trade memory for efficiency. The HISTOGRAM function

```
; is used, which creates arrays of size equal to the range of the
; resulting set.
; For example:
 a = [2,4,6,8]
 b = [6,1,3,2]
; SetIntersection(a,b) = [2, 6] ; Common elements
SetUnion(a,b) = [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8]; Elements in either set
SetDifference(a,b) = [4, 8]; Elements in A but not in B
; SetIntersection(a,[3,5,7]) = -1 = Null Set
FUNCTION SetUnion, a, b
if a[0] It 0 then return, b ;A union NULL = a
if b[0] It 0 then return, a ;B union NULL = b
return, where(histogram([a,b], OMIN = omin)) + omin; Return combined set
end
FUNCTION SetIntersection, a, b
minab = min(a, MAX=maxa) > min(b, MAX=maxb) ;Only need intersection of
ranges
maxab = maxa < maxb
 ;If either set is empty, or their ranges don't intersect: result =
NULL.
if maxab It minab or maxab It 0 then return, -1
r = where((histogram(a, MIN=minab, MAX=maxab) ne 0) and $
      (histogram(b, MIN=minab, MAX=maxab) ne 0), count)
if count eq 0 then return. -1 else return, r + minab
end
______
FUNCTION SetDifference, a, b := a and (not b) = elements in A but not
in B
mina = min(a, MAX=maxa)
minb = min(b, MAX=maxb)
if (minb gt maxa) or (maxb It mina) then return, a ;No intersection...
r = where((histogram(a, MIN=mina, MAX=maxa) ne 0) and $
      (histogram(b, MIN=mina, MAX=maxa) eq 0), count)
if count eq 0 then return, -1 else return, r + mina
end
; ----- Message from RSI to NewsGroup
```

```
: A somewhat belated reply to the numerous postings on finding the
common elements of vectors:
; > Given vectors of the type...
; >
; > a = [1,2,3,4,5]
; > b = [3,4,5,6,7]
; > What is the most efficient way to determine which values that occur
in
; > a also occur in b (i.e., the values [3,4,5] occur in both a and b).
; >
; Below appear three IDL functions that operate on sets represented by
; arrays of positive integers. The SetIntersection(a,b) function
: returns the common elements, SetUnion(a,b) returns all unique elements
; in both arguments, and SetDifference(a,b) returns the elements
; (members) in a but not in b.
; It is faster than previously published functions, e.g. contain() and
; find elements().
; Hope this helps,
; Research Systems, Inc.
------ SNIP ------
  David S. Foster Univ. of California, San Diego
   Programmer/Analyst Brain Image Analysis Laboratory
   foster@bial1.ucsd.edu Department of Psychiatry
   (619) 622-5892
                       8950 Via La Jolla Drive, Suite 2240
                La Jolla, CA 92037
```