Subject: Re: project_vol() in IDL Posted by davidf on Wed, 25 Feb 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Grant W. Petty (gpetty@rain.atms.purdue.edu) writes:

> Today's my first day using IDL and I must say...

Sigh...

My next book is going to be entitled How To Do Really, Really Hard Things on Your First Day Using IDL. I'm going to use examples I have collected from this newsgroup. :-)

- > However, I have already run into what seems to be an annoying
- > limitation in project_vol() and am wondering whether I'm just
- > overlooking something.

I don't as a rule try to give advice without also attempting to give some kind of an answer, but I've always believed rules were made to be broken.

Here is my advice. Sounds like you want to do some fairly complex 3D kinds of things. I would NOT be doing these in direct graphics, which is what Project_Vol and Voxel_Proj use. IDL in this incarnation is really a 2 and a half D application. As long as you are committed to learning something new, I would plunge in and try to learn how to do this using the new object graphics, which were designed *specifically* for this kind of 3D application.

This topic is NOT covered in my book because, frankly, I haven't been able to figure out exactly how it works from the documentation that is supplied with IDL. (I am currently scratching my head over Ken Bowman's polygon object problem. I can get *almost* there, but not quite, and I end up doing "experiential programming" in which I make random changes in my programs, hoping beyond hope that something will start to make sense. I hate it.)

What I *have* found is that the people at RSI are wonderfully helpful with giving me advice when I ask for it. And there are clearly people there who understand how this object graphics stuff works. I think if we all work together on this that sooner or later we will be able to get together some simple examples that demonstrate the principles. The fact that there are two object graphics questions here in two days certainly makes me think the time is right for *me*

to learn it better than I do now.

Just looking, for example, at the Volume Object I see that it has four different "composite functions" for how to determine the value of the projected pixel. One of these is an "alpha sum", which sounds to me like what you want. There is also depth cueing and various opacity and lighting options. I would pester the folks at RSI until you learn how it works, and then publish a good example here. :-)

The alternative is to look at the Project_Vol source code, which is written in IDL and is available in the lib subdirectory, and make the modifications to it yourself. This is probably not a first-day-with-IDL kind of a job, but I didn't think the source code looked impossibly hard, either.

- > P.S. As long as I'm posting to this NG, I might as well mention one
- > other suggested improvement to IDL that immediately comes to mind:
- > command line history/editing/completion capabilities, a la the UNIX
- > tcsh shell. It's a pain to have to retype an entire lengthy command
- > from scratch when all I want to do is change one parameter!

Now here is a question I *DO* know how to answer. :-)

There should be no reason to re-type a lengthy command from scratch. IDL has a command "history" buffer that is accessible using the UP arrow key. Simply recalling the command and editing it before hitting the Carriage Return will do the job. The history buffer can be increased in size to more than the default 20 commands if need be. (I was going to give you a reference in my book, but I see that section somehow got deleted in my overzealous editing. I'm going to correct this in the next printing, even if it means adding a couple of more pages.)

Sometimes the terminal window on UNIX machines has to be configured properly to get command recall working. Let me know if this is happening to you, and we can fix it.

Cheers,	
David	
David Fanning, Ph.D.	

Fanning Software Consulting E-Mail: davidf@dfanning.com

Phone: 970-221-0438

Subject: project_vol() in IDL Posted by gpetty on Thu, 26 Feb 1998 08:00:00 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Today's my first day using IDL and I must say I am very impressed with its capabilities (BTW, David Fanning's book made it vastly easier for me to take the plunge and actually accomplish something useful during this foray -- thanks, David!)

However, I have already run into what seems to be an annoying limitation in project_vol() and am wondering whether I'm just overlooking something.

What I want to do is take a 3-D volume, and project it onto a 2-D plane. OK, fine, that's what project_vol() does. But for the life of me, I can't figure out a way to make the values of the projected image consist of the CUMULATIVE TOTAL of the data values along a ray. Rather, it seems to insist on doing an average or something similar. (Same complaint applies to voxel_proj(), by the way).

To give a simple example, if I define a cubical array to contain data values equal to 0.1 everywhere, project_vol() makes the cube look the same shade everywhere, whereas it ought (for my application) to look translucent, with the edges of the cube "thinner" (i.e., darker) than the center.

Playing with OPAQUE etc. has not led me to a satisfactory solution yet. DEPTH_Q seems to do something different, based on geometric depth rather than cumulative data value.

Any suggestions for a workaround?

thanks Grant

P.S. As long as I'm posting to this NG, I might as well mention one other suggested improvement to IDL that immediately comes to mind: command line history/editing/completion capabilities, a la the UNIX tcsh shell. It's a pain to have to retype an entire lengthy command from scratch when all I want to do is change one parameter!

--

Grant W. Petty | Assoc. Prof., Atmospheric Science Dept. of Earth & Atmospheric Sciences | Voice: (765)-494-2544 Purdue University, 1397 CIVL Bldg. | Fax: (765)-496-1210

Page 4 of 4 ---- Generated from comp.lang.idl-pvwave archive